THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, INC. (NAACP), through its Tulsa, Oklahoma, Branch, et al.,

Case No. 87-C-542-C

Plaintiffs,

and

CHARLES E. CHRISTOPHER, et al.,

Intervening Plaintiffs,

v.

THE CITY OF TULSA, OKLAHOMA, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 1 2 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

IN ACCORDANCE with the Court's Minute Order of July 26, 1989, the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Mootness is hereby granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 12, 90

H. DALE COOK

Chief Judge,

U.S. District Court



CHER-DAC LTD., INC., a
Nebraska corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE TRAVELERS INSURANCE
COMPANIES, a Connecticut
corporation,

Defendant.

Page 12 1990

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR

On Joint Motion of the Plaintiff, the Defendant and AT&T
Information Systems, Assignee, the parties having compromised and settled all claims herein asserted, IT IS ORDERED that the Complaint of the Plaintiff and this action be and they hereby are dismissed with prejudice.

Entered this 2 day of March, 1990.

CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE

HAR 12 1990

ROBERT STANLEY JERNIGAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

ART LEE, SHERIFF, THE TULSA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, JAMES MOODY, DEPUTY SHERIFF and THE COUNTY OF TULSA

Defendant.

JACK G. SILVER, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

89-C-477-B

ORDER

Now before the court is **Plaint**iff's Motion for Change of Venue (Docket #18). Plaintiff asks the court for leave to transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Central District of California in Los Angeles, California.

The court finds that this case should be and is dismissed without prejudice to allow the plaintiff to file in California where he believes venue will be proper.

Dated this // day of March, 1990.

THOMAS R. BRETT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25

¹ "Docket numbers" refer to numerical designations assigned sequentially to each pleading, motion, order, or other filing and are included for purposes of record keeping only. "Docket numbers" have no independent legal significance and are to be used in conjunction with the docket sheet prepared and maintained by the United States Court Clerk, Northern District of Oklahoma.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1390

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRIUT COURT ASB(TW) NO
HARRY D. MALONE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-883-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of $March$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

IN RE:			MAR 1 8 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	;	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
THOMAS RAY CHAMPLIN, et al.,))		
Plaintiff,)	:	
v.)	No. 88-C-878-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,))		
Defendants.)		
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation	of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	Inc.,	, filed herein, IT IS HE	REBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	thi	s matter be and is here	eby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion	n(s) on the same or rela	ated cause(s)
of action, and each party	is	to bear its own costs.	
	<u>.</u>	S/ JAMES O. FLL	ISON
		JAMES O. ELL. DISTRICT JUDG	

MARCH 3 0 1000

		MARCE F 1930
IN RE:	M-1417	Jack C. Shire C. K
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO.	_ U.S. DISHLIGE COURT
BILLY RAY CHANEY,)		
et al.,	, 	
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-877-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cost	cs.
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1.0 1390

IN RE:)	0E-1417	Jack C. Silver, 11 rk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOHNNY LEROY LAFALIER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-876-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this _	12	day of Much	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lati	on of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc	filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED the	at t	his matter be and is he	reby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt T	alc, Inc., with prejudic	e to the filing
of any other or future	acti	on(s) on the same or re	lated cause(s)
of action, and each part		- 10 mg/ - 10 mg/	
		S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
		JAMES O. EI DISTRICT JU	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mar 12 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Other, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. Elistic IT COURT
PAUL DEAN CLARK, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-871-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUD	
NOW on this	12 day of March	7 , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	t Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own c	osts.
	s/ JA	MES O. ELLISON
	The state of the s	O. ELLISON CT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1.5 1990

ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO ALLEN C. HORRELL, et al.,) Plaintiff,) V.) No. 88-C-961-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.) ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed	IN RE:		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Plaintiff, V.	ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DIVIRIET COURT
No. 88-C-961-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this	ALLEN C. HORRELL, et al.,)		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this	Plaintiff,		
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 17 day of March, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed	v. }	No. 88-C-961-E	
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT. VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this	ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
NOW on this	Defendants.)		
pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed			
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed	NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed	pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
	ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is her	ceby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing	against Defendant, Vermont I	Talc, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s)	of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or rel	lated cause(s)
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.	
S/ JAMES O. ELLISON		S/ JAMES	o. Elliso n
JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 0 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Strong Clark
ASBESTOS	LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. D.SALAGT COURT
EDWARD OL et al.,	IN MARTIN,		
	Plaintiff,	}	
v.) No. 88-C-954-E	
ANCHOR PA	CKING, et al.,	(
	Defendants.	3	
		DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDI	
	NOW on this	12 day of March	, 1990,
pursuant	to the Stipu	lation of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant	, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED	and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is	s hereby dismissed
against D	efendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc., with preju	udice to the filing
of any ot	ther or future a	action(s) on the same on	r related cause(s)
of action	, and each part	y is to be ar its own co	sts.
		S/ JAA	MES O. ELLISON
			. ELLISON
		DISTRIC	I OUDGE

IN RE: No. 88-02015-E ROY E. THIGPEN, III, Chapter 7 Debtor, GARY DEHART, individually Adversary No. 89-0263-W and d/b/a SURETY & CASUALTY SURPLUS LINES AGENCY, Plaintiff, NO. 89-C-940-E vs. FILED ROY E. THIGPEN, III, MAR 1 2 1990 Defendant. Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT ORDER

The Court has for consideration the Report and Recommendations of the United States Bankruptcy Judge filed November 9, 1989. No exceptions or objections have been filed and the time for filing such exceptions or objections has expired.

After careful consideration of the record and the issues, the Court has concluded that the Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate should be and hereby are adopted by the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Court abstains from further proceedings in Causes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and all other matters not heretofore disposed of in Adversary No. \$89-0259-W, Gary Dehart v. Roy E. Thigpen. This proceedings is accordingly dismissed without prejudice.

ORDERED this ______day of March, 1990.

JAMES O. ELLISON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1944 1.2 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN RE: M-1417ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.____ JAMES ALBERT JARMIN, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-949-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of March pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk IN RE: U.S. DISTRICT COURT M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.__ WOODROW WILSON WEBBER, et al., Plaintiff, No. 88-C-948-E v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. March NOW on this 12 day of pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clark IN RE: U.S. DISTRICT COURT M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.____ MARVIN LEE WILSON, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1080-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. ___ day of __ Murch NOW on this pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:) .	M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
CECIL R. MOODY, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	}		
v.	\}	No. 88-C-1076-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	{		
Defendants.	;		
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation	of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	t Tal	c, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own cost	s.
	· •	S/ JAM	es o. Ellison
		JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MARCH 8 1390

IN RE:)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	- O.O. DISTANCE COURT
LESLIE M. JOHNSON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	,	
v.) No. 88-C-1066-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,))	
Defendants.))	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	:
NOW on this	2 day of Myrch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	Inc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cost	s.
	S/ JA	MES O. ELLI SON
	JAMES O.	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:) ⁽¹⁾	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT —
LOYDE W. THOMASSON, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,		
v.) No. 88-C-1063-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.	3	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDI	
NOW on this	12 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	l ation of Dismissal W	lith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Talc, Inc., with preju	udice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same of	r related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own co	sts.
	S/ JA/	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O DISTRIC	. ELLISON T JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 1 1 1990 IN RE: M-1417 Jack C. Silver, Clark ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.__ U.S. DISTRICT COURT MARION LOPP EVANS, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1062-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. 2 day of March NOW on this pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

では、大学を表している。

5/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 1999

IN RE:			Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW	. по	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CHARLES EDWARD KINZER,) et al.,)			
Plaintiff,	Asia Taran Taran		
v.)	No. 88	-C-1057-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,			
Defendants.)			
	paragraphic control of the control o	TH PREJUDIC	
NOW on this	<u> </u>	f Much	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of D	ismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed	herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matte	r be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc.	, with prejud	dice to the filing
of any other or future ac	t ion(s) on	the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear	its own cos	ts.
		s/ jA	ames. O. Elliso n
	No. of the second secon	JAMES O.	ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1990 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:	Jack C. filver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
BUFORD CARL MOSELY, et al.,)
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1053-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 8 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CLARENCE LESTER ROOK,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1050-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $_$	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES Ó. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 1 1990

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Stror, Clark U.S. DISTITUT COURT
BOBBY RAY CRAWFORD,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v. (No. 88-C-1047-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	r P. Y	
Defendants.)		
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is mat ter be and is her	eby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or rel	ated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.	
	5/ JAMES O	ETHISOM
	JAMES O. ELI	
	DISTRICT JUD	USE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY

	STATES DISTRICT COURT MAR 1 3 1990
IN RE:	Jack C. Styar, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. (No. 88-C-1045-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE . VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of $March$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	M−1417	Jack C. Silver, Chark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DONALD WAYNE TARTER,) et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v. (No. 88-C-1044-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	7523 272 387	
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	t ion o f Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cos	ts.
	S/ J	AMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON
	DIDINICI	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR IS 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Sthor, Chirk U.S. C.S.S. A. COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HAROLD DWAYNE KIRKSEY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1039-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 1 3 1990 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.__ BENNY PAUL OLDS, et al.,) Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1037-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of M4Vch, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

	TED STATES DISTRICT COURT MARCH 1996
IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	Jack C. Siver, Clark U.S. DISTRUT COURT ASB(TW) NO.
DOYLE JOHNSON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,) v.)	No. 88-C-1032-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this/_	2 day of Much, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 1 1990

IN RE:		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
LAWRENCE E. BARGER,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	- 14년년 - 15년 - 호텔	
v. (No. 88-C-1026-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $\frac{12}{2}$	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	i s to bear its own cost	s.
	5/ JAME	S O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

Mar 1 5 1990 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA IN RE: Jack C. Sthor, Chik U.S. DISTRICT COURT M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO._ WILFRED D. HOLLANDSWORTH,)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1023-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.

NOW on this day of March pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

in the united states district court $F\ I\ L\ E\ D$ for the northern district of oklahoma

TON THE NORTH	DIDIRICI OI ORIZMION	
IN RE:	(1) 1개 : - 기 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 :	MAR 1.0 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Stiver, Chick U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORVILLE C. MACKLIN,) et al.,)	- 경기 - 사용 	
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1018-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	th is matt er be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont!	Falc, Inc., with prejudic	ce to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or r	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bea r its own costs	•

5/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 1 2 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. District COURT

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRICT CO
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EDWARD R. WILBURN, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1007-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	2 day of Much , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	5/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN RE:))	MAR 1.0 1390
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Click U.S. DISTABLE COURT
CHARLES EDWARD BATY, et al.,	}	_
Plaintiff,)	
v.	No. 88-C-1001-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	,)	
Defendants.		
	OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC ENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	17 day of Merch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stip	ulation of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talo	c, Inc., filed herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED to	hat this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Verm	ont Talc, Inc., with prejud	dice to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each par	rty is to bear its own cos	ts.
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O.	ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 8 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COUR ASB (TW) NO
GLENN DALE PITTS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-998-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this -12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1.2 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Styar, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
JULIAN L. WILSON, et al.,	2	
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-993-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.) 	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.

NOW on this 12 day of March, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

JAMES O. ELLISON
DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 10 1990

IN RE:) (All 1997)	Jack C. Stuer, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
LEWIS ADDINGTON, et al.,	?	
Plaintiff,))	
v.) No. 88-C-990-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF OF DEFENI	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDI DANT: VERMONT TALC, IN	CE
NOW on this	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal V	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	nc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont		
of any other or future ac		
of action, and each party		
	5/ JAN	res o. Ellison
		. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 1 1990

IN RE:	**************************************	Jack C Styar, Ock
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
VIRGIL D. BAKER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-983-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipular	tion of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is l	nereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudi	ice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cost	s.
	S/ JAME	S O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Sthar, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT LEON HOOPER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-981-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE C. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 8 1990

IN RE:	M-14 17	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	- DISTRICT COOK!
GLEN A. ROBLYER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-979-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejud	lice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own cost	ts.
	S/ JAMI	es o. Ellison
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 17 1990

		Extractly and 1000
IN RE:		took C. Silvan, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASSESTOS LITTGATION)	ASB(TW) NO.	
CHARLES WATTERSON,)	· • -	
et al.,		
Plaintiff,)	사용 (1995년 - 1995년 - 1 - 1995년 - 1995	
)	하.	
v. ,	No. 88-C-978-E	
)		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
•		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC	
OF DEFENDA	NT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	*
NOW on this 1	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT 1	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cos	ts.
	A .	
	S/ JAA	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O.	ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:	W 0.417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOSEPH F. YINGER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-977-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
<u>-</u> · · ·	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDI	
NOW on this	day of Mucc	h, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with preju	udice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same o	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to be ar its own co	sts.
	5/ JA	MES O. ELLISON
		. ELLISON T JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA FILE D

IN RE:	M-1417	MAR 1.2 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)) ASB(TW) NO	Josk C. Silver, Clerk
ROBERT RAY BRADLEY,) et al.,		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,		
v. }	No. 88-C-967-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudic	ce to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or re	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to b ear its own costs	
	5/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E	LLTSON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	•	100 T 1000
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JEWELL ERNEST CUNDIFF, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	}	
v.) No. 88-C-966-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.	}	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12 day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lation of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is her	reby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or rel	lated cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs.	
	S/ JAMES C). ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELI DISTRICT JUI	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

BILED

war 12 1990 IN RE: M-1417 Inck C. Silver, Clerk ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.___ U.S. DISTRICT COURT EVERETT E. BARGER, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-963-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of March pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s)

of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:		MAR 10 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Stor, Clerk U.S. DISTELL COURT
HELEN HASKINS, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-1620-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)	N.	
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal Wit	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or r	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	90 - 1	
	%/ JA/	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E DISTRICT J	

MAR 1 8 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Chak U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRICT C
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RONALD K. VINCENT,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-1485-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDAL	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 5 1990

IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION))	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Sthar, Clark U.S. DISTRACT HOUR
RAY ALBERT SIPPY, et a	al.,)	All the state of t	
Plaintiff,)		
v.	}	No. 88-C-1406-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al	., }		
Defendants.)		
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	_ day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Sti	pulation	on of Dismissal With	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Tal	lc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED	that th	nis matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Ver	mont Ta	ilc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or futur	e actio	on(s) on the same or m	celated cause(s)
of action, and each pa	arty is	to bear its own costs	; .
		S/ JAME	S O. ELLISON
		JAMES O. E	ELLISON

Mark 1.0 **1990**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN RE:		0.5. Diamite, 65 5.1.
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	_
JIMMIE JANE OSKIN, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-1404-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT VERMONT TALC, INC.

NOW on this 12 day of March, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

JAMES O. ELLISON
DISTRICT JUDGE

MARK 3 C 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Steer, Clerk

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRICT CO		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.		
DONALD PAUL LITLE,) et al.,)			
Plaintiff,			
v.)	No. 88-C-1402-E		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,			
Defendants.)			
	ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,		
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of		
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,		
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed		
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing			
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)		
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.		
	5/ JAMES O. ELLISON		
	JAMES O. ELLISON		

	Ţ	Ţ		17
.aii.	.fle.	4 .	All	¥ 46

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAR 1 1393 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	Jack C. Distriction of U.S. Distriction of U.S
CARL THOMAS COLLINS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1401-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	5/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 5 1300

IN RE:	Joek C. Shiri, C.
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DOYLE BURTON GOINS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1399-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NI. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\sqrt{2}$	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	th is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont!	Talo, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:		Jack C. Piller, Cirlik U.S. District CO JRf
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	0.0.
GERALD D. KROPP, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1398-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)	1	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In		
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t his ma tter be and is h	nereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont !	Falc, Inc. , with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future act		
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs	5.
	MAL \2	nes o. Ellison
	JAMES O. I	LLISON

PILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAH I : 1390

IN RE:) M−14 17	U.S. Digit. Fig. 21 k
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	ASB(TW) NO	-
LINDSEY RAY PATTON, et al.,		
Plaintiff,	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
v.	No. 88-C-1394-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.

JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON

DISTRICT JUDGE

TILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

199**0**

IN RE:	The state of the s
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 U.S. DISTANTING ASB(TW) NO
OLIN H. GOKEY, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v. (No. 88-C-1389-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON
	DISTRICT JUDGE

BITED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

U.S. DIST OF COURT

IN RE:	M-1417	U.S. DIST OF COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
CLOYCE LEE OWEN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-1319-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.

NOW on this 12 day of Murch, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

M-1417
ASB(TW) NO.____

ROY THOMAS COLLINS, et al.,

Plaintiff,

V.

No. 88-C-1317-E

ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.

NOW on this // day of March, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

ST JAMES O. EUISOM

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 1 1993

IN RE:	Jack C. Siver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 U.S. DISTENSIVE CURT
JOHN FERGUSON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1316-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	2 day of Much, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	'alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

	STATES DISTRICT COURT TRI DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA	FILED
IN RE:		to the second
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Stver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ROY WAYNE MORRIS, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1312-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	Honor of the second of the sec	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of Much	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	n is mat ter be and is her	reby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or re	lated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.	
	S/ JAMES O. ELI	LISON
	JAMES O. ELI DISTRICT JUI	

		FILE
	ITED STATES DISTRICT RTHERN DISTRICT OF OK	
IN RE:)	Jock C. Clerk, 11 k
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. (04)
JAMES CHESTER HUGHEY, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.) No. 88-C-1310-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
ORDER OF OF DEFEN	DI SMISSAL WITH PREJU	DICE
NOW on this	12 day of Mus	<u>ch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc. filed herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with pre	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party		
	\$ /	JAMES O. ELLISON
		O. ELLISON ICT JUDGE

1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jock C. Clyr, Cork U.S. DISHLIH COURT IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.__ DAVE N. MALOTTE, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1309-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12^{-1} day of March, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON JAMES O. ELLISON

MAN 1 5 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Strom, Clark U.S. DISTACT COURT

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRICT CO
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND DEAN MCCLURE,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1308-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	i s to bea r its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

M/W 1 % 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Strong & U.S. Distriction
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
RALPH WILLIAM LEONARD, et al.,) (***	
Plaintiff,		
v.)) No. 88-C-1301-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.	j	
	D ISMISSAL W ITH PREJUD D ANT, VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	12 day of Mari	<u>/</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	I nc., file d herein, II	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	: this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own c	osts.
	S.	JAMES O. ELLISON
		O. ELLISON CT JUDGE

ILED

. and 1 % 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Holk C. Shor, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417 ASB(TW) NO SIDNEY MARTIN WOOLDRIDGE,)	<u> </u>
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
V. No. 88-C-1300-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12 day of $Murch$, 199	0,
pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice	of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE	ΞD,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismiss	sed
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the fili	ing
of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause((s)
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	
5/ JAMES O. ELLISON	
JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE	

COURT MAR 1 : 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jock C. Shar, alink U.S. DISTRUTT COURT IN RE: M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.____ ASBESTOS LITIGATION BILLY EUGENE WHITE, et al., Plaintiff, ν. No. 88-C-1298-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of Murch pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s)

of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 1390

			* **
IN RE:)	M-14 17	Jack C. Offer, Click U.S. DISTLUT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. Die 11.5
HENRY W. VANDEVENTER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	>		
v.)	No. 88-C-1296-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12_	day of March	1990

pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

JAMES O. ELLISON
DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 1 0 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTH	ERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clark
IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONALD EUGENE ROBERTS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1293-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of //4/ch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 1999

IN RE:	M-1417	Jock C. Sterr, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISH OF COURT
KENNETH PAUL MILES,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1274-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u>	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	h is matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or r	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs).
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E DISTRICT J	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 1 0 1390

	Jack 1. The state of the state
IN RE:	U.S. D/Sil. or dus or: N-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
PRESTON DAVIS LOFGREN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1269-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of <u>Merch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	\$/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 12 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRICT CC
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
ERNEST PAUL KNIGHT, et al.,	}
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1268-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.	;
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VICANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mark 1.0 1990

IN RE:)	M-1417	Jack C. Oller, Click
ASBESTOS LITIGATION))	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICE COURT
WILLIAM C. HAMBRIGHT, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	į		
v.)	No. 88-C-1260-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.	;		
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of Mary	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	latic	on of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED the	at th	is matter be and is her	eby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future	actio	n(s) on the same or rel	ated cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own costs.	
		S/ JAMES O. ELL	.ISO N
		JAMES O. ELI DISTRICT JUI	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 / 1990

IN RE:	}	M-1417	Jack C. Silvar, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	<u>'</u>	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRUCT COURT
JESSIE LEROY GAINER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	(
v.	{	No. 88-C-1257-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	}		
Defendants.)		
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this _	12	day of Myrch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	latio	n of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at th	is matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Tal	lc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future	action	n(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	ty is	to bear its own cost	s.
		5/ JAMI	es o. Ellison
		JAMES O.	
		DISTRICT	JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MARC 1 3 1998

IN RE:)	Jack C. St. m. C ^{t. k} W-1417 U.S. Dight of Co. Hel
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	; 	ASB(TW) NO
JAMES R. ENGLAND, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	{	
v.	{	No. 88-C-1256-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	,	
Defendants.	;	
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this _	12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	ılatic	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	actio	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty is	to bear its own costs.
		5/ JAMES O. ELLISON
		JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 12 1390

IN RE:)		Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRUT COURT
THEODORE L. DIEBOLD, et al.,) }		
Plaintiff,))		
v.	}	No. 88-C-1255-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	{		
Defendants.)		
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation	of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HE	REBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is her	eby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or rel	ated cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is t	to bear its own costs.	
		S/ JAMES (), ELLISON
		JAMES O. ELL DISTRICT JUD	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1.0 1990

IN RE:)	M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Cliek U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
RONALD E. ARMSTRONG, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	<u> </u>		
v.)	No. 88-C-1251-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	_ day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	latio	on of Dismissal With I	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HER	REBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	at th	is matter be and is here	by dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future	actio	on(s) on the same or rela	ted cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own costs.	
		B/ JAMES O. EU	USON
		JAMES O. ELL DISTRICT JUDG	

Mar 1 / 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FOR THE NOR	THERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
JOHN O. SCHENK, et al.,	<u> </u>
Plaintiff,) }
v.) No. 88-C-1218-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.	Ś
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 1 2 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Other, Click U.S. DISTRUTICOURT

IN RE:)	O.S. DISTRICT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LLOYD WAYNE RANDALL,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1216-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 12 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silvar, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRUCT CO
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CLINTON WAYNE MILLER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. (No. 88-C-1210-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE TT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Musch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 1 8 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Sibrer, Clerk U.S. DISTRAT COURT

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRACT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM LEE HONEY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1209-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Mu-ch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Calc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

MAR 1 8 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. State of CRT

	U.S. DISTRIBUTE
IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JAMES FLOYD RUPERT,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1208-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of $M4r(h)$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 8 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clark IN RE: U.S. DISTA OF COURT M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.__ STANLEY JOE HAMILTON. et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1206-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of Much pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON JAMES O. ELLISON

MARK 1 A 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRUCT COURT

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRICT SA
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROGER LELAND STILL,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1202-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	ralc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE
	DIDIKICI GODGE

MAR 1 2 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clerk ILS. DISTRICT COURT

5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	U.S. DISTRICT COU
IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BILLY R. RADFORD,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff, (
v. (No. 88-C-1195-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	<u>day of Myrch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MARK 1 1990 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:		Jack C. Shor, Clark U.S. DISTRUCT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
WILLIAM O. OWENS,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1193-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	1999) 1978)	
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	t ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to be ar its own cos	sts.
	S/ JA	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O.	ELLISON

: 14 1 2 **1990**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA U.S. A. W. COURT
IN RE:
) M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
DARREL EUGENE SIMMONS,) et al.,
Plaintiff,
No. 88-C-1177-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,
Defendants.)
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12 day of $M4-ch$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.
B/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MARC 1 % 1990

IN RE:	M-141 7	Jack C. Silver, Click U.S. DISTILUT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.O. Digit. of Cooki
THOMAS REED ARNOLD,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. (No. 88-C-1176-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 17	day of Much	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	:ion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	nc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudic	ce to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or r	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs	•
	\$/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E DISTRICT J	

MAR 1 2 1390

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Street, The U.S. DISTE A COURT IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.___ JAMES OWEN RILEY, et al., Plaintiff, No. 88-C-1175-E v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of March pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing

of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s)

of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

1 1990

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

mar C. C. C. R. Clark US. DISTURB COURT IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.___ DONALD STEPHEN MILLER, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1174-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 17 day of Murch pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
VERNON JOE MILLER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v. (No. 88-C-1170-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Mysch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMFS O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 5 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Siber, Clark M-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COORS ASB(TW) NO
WAYNE ALLEN MAHURIN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1168-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	{	
Defendants.	}	
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	7.5	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party		
		5/ JAMES O. ELLISON
		JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 % 1990

IN RE:	M−1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	- Pionect Court
DUANE C. ENOCH, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1157-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	1 A A	
Defendants.)	1.34 - 6.37 - 6.37	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of Merch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is he	reby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudic	e to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or re	lated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.	
	S/ JAMES (D. ELLISO n
	JAMES O. EL DISTRICT JU	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JERRY NICHOLAS TALBOT,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1152-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this/	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Mar 1 1 1990 IN RE: M-1417 Jack C. Silver, Clark ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO._ U.S. DISTRICT COURT DEAN ALLEN SNIDER, et al., Plaintiff, No. 88-C-1150-E v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of Murch pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION Jack C. Silver, Clark ASB(TW) NO._ TERRY V. CHAMBERLAIN, et al., Plaintiff, No. 88-C-1149-E v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of March pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

F I L E D

MAR 1 : 1990

		•	mmit 1 a 1990
IN RE:)	M-1417	Jack C. Styer, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	, ,	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTR OF COURT
JOE D. DEVILLIERS, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-1142-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.	., {	•	
Defendants.)		
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDIC F. VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this	12	_ day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Sti	pulation.	on of Dismissal W:	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Tal	lc, Inc.	., filed herein, IT	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED	that th	n is matte r be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Ver	mont Ta	alc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or futur	e actio	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each pa	arty is	to bear its own cos	sts.
		(C. 19.	AMES O. ELLISON
		JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 15 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. District COURT

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	U.S. DISTRICT CO M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MICKY LEE MILLER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1141-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 0 **1990**

IN RE:	M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CLYDE O. ATTERBERRY,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. ,	No. 88-C-1137-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own cost	s.
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR I. 7 1990

IN RE:	16-1 A 1 7	U.S. Dray and CO.
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
JAMES C. FLEMING, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1125-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	h is matt er be and is he	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudic	e to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or re	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs	•
	5/ JAME	S O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E. DISTRICT JU	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

TOK THE NORTH	MARIA 1990
IN RE:)	Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO. U.S. DISHAU COURT
BLAINE O. BUZZARD,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1124-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	5/ JAMES O ELLICON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

Mar 11 1990 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.__ JIMMY LEE SPUNAUGLE, et al., Plaintiff, No. 88-C-1123-E ٧. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. 2 day of Murch NOW on this pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 10 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Silvar, Clark SM-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JAMES A. COPPEDGE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1120-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
· ·	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Morch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT P I L E D FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:		Maix 1.8 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EARNEST GREEN, et al.,)	en e	
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-1113-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	#**	
Defendants.)		
4	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	1
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont I	Calc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own cost	S.
	5/ JAM	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

-

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	MAR 12 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk LIS. Definition COUR
WESLEY C. BRYANT, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1112-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJU NT. VERMONT TALC, I	
NOW on this	2 day of MWC	h, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	chis matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Calc, Inc., with pre	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own	costs.
	s/	JAMES O. ELLISON
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

May 17 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Sther, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 U.S. DISTRIP
HAROLD CURLEY NEAL,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1111-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
-	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of $M4/ch$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

	I		\boldsymbol{E}	D
A	PER S	4 1	400	-

			ment 11 1990
IN RE:)	M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
RALPH DELBERT AYRES, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-1106-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $_$	12_	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation	of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	at thi	s matter be and is h	nereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Tal	c, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future	action	(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own costs	3.
		S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
		JAMES O.	ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MELVIN L. TREASE, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1104-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE PANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $__$	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 12 1390

IN RE:)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT —
JAMES REVIS MATTHEWS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,))	
v.) No. 88-C-1102-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) }	
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal Wi	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,]	inc., filed herein, IT 1	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	dice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cos	ts.
	S/ JAM	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 3 1990

IN RE:	***	lack C six so
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Cler U.S. DISTRICT COUR
VIRGIL E. WILLIAMS,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-1099-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cost	cs.
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 3 1990

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Ct. k U.S. DIS A. D. COURT
ROBERT EMERY MANN,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-1098-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	•	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or r	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs	·•
	S/ JAMES O	. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1.0 1990

IN RE:		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JOE WENTZ WILLAIMS,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. }	No. 88-C-1095-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDIO	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that to	his matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own cos	sts.
	S/ JAME	es o. Ellison
	JAMES O	. ELLISON

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 3 1990

IN RE:	M-1417 Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO. U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ELDON TROY REAVIS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1094-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Naveh, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Calc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MARK 12 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Cler
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO. U.S. DISTRICT COUR
HARRY D. BURNSIDE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
v. (No. 88-C-1093-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Much, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 12 1990

IN RE:)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ARLIS R. BUTLER, et al.,	1884 17 Handi 18 Handi 18 Handi 18 Handi 18 Handi	
Plaintiff,	1	
v.	No. 88-C-1084-	E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJ ANT. VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this/	2 day of M44.	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	d is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with p	rejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the sam	e or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own	costs.
		S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
		S O. ELLISON RICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISHACT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM J. KELSO, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	(1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
v.)	No. 88-C-1082-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE F. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	<u>day</u> of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	n is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 1 3 1990 IN RE: M-1417 Jack C. Styor, Clerk ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.__ U.S. DISTRICT COURT ARTHUR EUGENE HERREL, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-702-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of March pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FOR THE NORTH	BEEN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMAC
IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
VIRGIL LOUIS CROW,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-717-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	i s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT F I L E D

IN RE:)	1. 200 1. 200	M/Hr 1.2 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Steer, Clak U.S. DiSTRICT COURT
DONALD E. ELSTEN,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-705-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	- 100 mg/mm	
Defendants.)	e (a de la companya d	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJU T. VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Max	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and	l is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	ale, Inc., with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same	e or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own	costs.
	§ s∕	JAMES O. ELUSON
		S O. ELLISON RICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 1 1390

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

M=1417

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

JERRY WAYNE MARTIN,
et al.,

Plaintiff,

No. 88-C-868-E

ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.

Defendants.

NOW on this 12 day of Morch, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 18 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. EISTRICT COURT
JOHN MORRIS DAVIS, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-867-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	
Defendants.) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIO	
NOW on this	12 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own cos	sts.
	MAL VO	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O	ELLISON JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1.2 1990

IN RE:	M−141 7	Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTERCT COURT
GENE JOSEPH PIERCE,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	4 9	
v. }	No. 88-C-857-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	i Militaria Effek	
Defendants.)	Marian Salah S	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC NT. VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal Wi	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bea r its own cos	sts.
	5/ JA/	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O.	ELLISON JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 13 1990

IN RE:	1	Jack C. Steror, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTERNIT COURT
JESSE G. SHAFFER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. }	No. 88-C-856-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	S MISSAL WITH PREJU MT. VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this 12	day of	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, l	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t his matt er be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Tale, Inc., with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own	costs.
	S	/ JAMES O. FLUSON
		O. ELLISON RICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 / 1990

IN RE:	M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
ALVIN H. THOMAS, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v. ,	No. 88-C-851-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	# ¹	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:	36 1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT —
ROY MILT MILLER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	16	
v.)	No. 88-C-850-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	±	
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC T. VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this 12	day of Musch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own cos	sts.
	S/ J	AMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON JUDGE

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)		62k 1 2 1390
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1) ASB	417 (TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CHARLES THOMAS CONKLIN, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.) No.	88-C-847-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	}		
Defendants.)		
		L WITH PREJUMENT TALC.	
NOW on this	17 day	y of Mara	<u>ch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ati on of	Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., fil	ed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this ma	tter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, I	nc., with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s)	on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to be	ear its own	costs.
		\$	JAMES O. ELLISON
		JAMES	O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAR 12 1990 IN RE: M-1417 Jack C. Strage Click ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.___ U.S. DISTE UT COURT ROBERT LOUIS PESEK, et al., Plaintiff, ٧. No. 88-C-846-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of March, 1990,

pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

139**0**

IN RE:	Jack C. Shiar, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 U.S. D.C.R.T.T COURT ASB(TW) NO
W. D. HOPPER, et al.,	*
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-841-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS I Γ \to D

			MAR 1 8 1990
IN RE:)	M-1417	NIIII I 5 1000
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	<u>,</u>		— Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
HAROLD KELLEY CROWNOVER et al.,	,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-835-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.	í		
		MISSAL WITH PREJU P. VERMONT TALC, 1	
NOW on this _	12	day of Music	<i>l</i> 7, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	latio	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	, Inc	., filed herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at th	n is mat ter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Ta	ilc, Inc., with pre	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future	actio	on(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty is	to bear its own	costs.
			M IAMEC O EUROM
		t. P	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
			O. ELLISON ICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 13 1990

IN RE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BILLY JOE DOWNUM, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	THE STATE OF THE S	
v.)	No. 88-C-831-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is h	nereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Falc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or n	celated cause(s)
of action, and each party	s to bear its own costs	5.
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. I	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

P	T	Ľ	\boldsymbol{E}	D
	f 12.	1 -		

FOR THE NORTH	Total 2 1990
IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO. U.S. DIS T. COURT
RAYMOND EDDIE PRICE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	ing the state of t
v.)	No. 88-C-825-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE P. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{17}{2}$	day of $\frac{N(4rch)}{}$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT I LED

IN RE:)			r 44 1 3 199	3
ASBESTOS LITIGATION		M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.		· C. SH-r, C District CC	_
MERVIN LEE EAST, et al.,)		9		
Plaintiff,)				
v.)	No. 88-C-82	4-E		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) ,)				
Defendants.)				
		SSAL WITH F			
NOW on this	12	day of $//$	Inch		1990,
pursuant to the Stip	ulation	of Dismis	ssal With	Prejudic	e of
Defendant, Vermont Talc	, Inc.,	filed here	in, IT IS F	EREBY ORD	ERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	hat thi s	s matt er be	and is he	reby dism	issed
against Defendant, Verm	ont Talo	, Inc., wit	h prejudic	e to th e f	iling
of any other or future	action	(s) on the	same or re	lated cau	se(s)
of action, and each par	ty is t	o bear its	own costs.		
			B/ JAMES	O. FILISON	
		J	AMES O. EI	LISON	

DISTRICT JUDGE

in the united states district court F I L E D for the northern district of oklahoma

IN RE:)		MAR 1.8 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Joek C. Stror, Clerk — U.S. D.SHOUT COURT
FRANK HAROLD DOUTHIT, et al.,)	·	
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-819-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)	•	
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDIC VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this	12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation	of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Tal	c, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is t	to bear its own cos	sts.
		s/	JAMES O. ELLISON
		JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:	18 1417	Jack C. Strar, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
LEROY WILLIAMS, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-818-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	ale aren	
Defendants.		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJU ANT, VERMONT TALC, I	
NOW on this	2 day of Marc	h, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	nc., filed herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with pre	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party		
	5/	JAMES O. ELLISON
		O. ELLISON RICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT F I L E D FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		MAR 3 0 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Coef — U.S. DISTRICT COUR
STANLEY E. ELSTEN,) et al.,)	· .	
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-815-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDION TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Calc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own cos	sts.
	\$/ .	JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O.	ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	O.O. D GING! COOK!
RICHARD WARD WARNER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) No. 88-C-814-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this/	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is he	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc , Inc., with prejudic	ce to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or re	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs	
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E DISTRICT J	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 5 1990

IN RE:	1		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BRENDA GAY ANDREWS, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-808-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation	n of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t thi	s matter be and is her	ceby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Tal	.c, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or rel	lated cause(s)
of action, and each party	, is	to bear its own costs.	
		y ^t	
		S/ JAMES O.	ELLISON
		JAMES O. ELI DISTRICT JUI	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
LAWRENCE E. RIDINGS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. (No. 88-C-802-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:		/ 100 U
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	##-1417 Jack C. 93v ASB(TW) NO U.S. DISTARC	or, Clerk T COURT
DONALD EARL CARDER,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-801-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March,	L990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice	e of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDE	ERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismi	ssed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the fi	lling
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related caus	se(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.	
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON	
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:)	Jock C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	Old. Daniel J. COCK
KENNETH CALVIN MAYS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) No. 88-C-800-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this _	12 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at this matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudic	ce to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or r	elated cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs	
	S/ JAMES	.O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. E DISTRICT J	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:	•	Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DICHELLE COURT
MARVIN E. BEEHLER,) et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v. (No. 88-C-797-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC T, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	day of	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejud	lice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own cost	ts.
	5/ JA	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O.	ELLISON
	DISTRICT	JUDGE

ILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA IN RE: Jack C. Styer, Clark M-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO._ ROBERT L. WAGNER, et al.,) Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-788-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of March pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA IN RE: M-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO. RONALD WINSTON DOWTY, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-786-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. 12 day of March NOW on this pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

5/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

		MARCI 2 1990
IN RE:	M−141 7	Jack C. C.
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DistikiCT COURT
CHARLES F. TUSINGER,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff, (
v. (No. 88-C-783-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	1 	
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	s to bear its own cos	ts.
	S/ JA	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

in the united states district court $F\ I\ E\ D$ for the northern district of oklahoma

IN RE:		MARK I I 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Stvar, Cork — U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EVERETT A. HERREL,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	- 114 - 134	
v.)	No. 88-C-780-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	S. S	
Defendants.)		
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDA	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDI	CE <u>C.</u>
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	th is matt er be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Calc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own co	sts.
	S JA	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O DISTRIC	. ELLISON I JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LLED

IN RE:	12.0 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO. U.S. D.S. KICT COURT
JOHN B. DILLON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-779-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 17	day of Much, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	ale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 : 1390

IN RE:	Jock C. Stiver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
GLEN ELDON WEBER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-775-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	ing 1 Thair Ann
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE INT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. FLUSON
	JAMES O. ELLISON
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Sriver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASB (TW) NO
MERL EMERY SMITH, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	- 12時 - 1 - 4年
v.)	No. 88-C-773-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of $Mysch$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE
	DIDIKICI OODGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT F I L E D

IN RE:	Marc 1 0 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	N-1417 ASB(TW) NO Jork C Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTARCT COURT
TRELLA B. FISHER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-944-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
10	day of
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	그 일반 1
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

EILED

MAR 1: 1990 IN RE: M-1417 Josk C. Silver, Clerk ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO._ U.S. DISTRICT COURT DONALD PAUL DARTER. et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-939-E ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of _ pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 13 1990

IN RE:)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COUR
WINFORD BRASHERS, et al.	,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.	}	No. 88-C-938-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	(
Defendants.	3		
- 	- 1	ISSAL WITH PREJUDIC VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation	of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	filed herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	at thi	s matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Tal	c , Inc., with prejud	lice to the filing
of any other or future a	action	(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bea r its own cos	ts.
		S/ JAME	s o. Ellison
		JAMES O.	

IN RE:)		MAR 1 0 199	-
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) 1	10	Jack C. Silver, C U.S. DISTRICT CC	ller k DUR T
WILLIAM G. MCNELIS, et al.,)) (
Plaintiff,	}			
v.) No. 88-C-	-935 -E		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	,			
Defendants.)			
	DI SMISSA L WITH DANT, VERMONT			
NOW on this	2 day of	March	, 1	990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dis	missal Wit	h Prejudice	of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	I nc., file d he	rein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDE	RED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	: this matter	be and is	hereby dismi	ssed
against Defendant, Vermont	t Talc, Inc., v	vith prejud:	ice to the fi	ling
of any other or future as	ction(s) on th	e same or	related caus	e(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear if	s own cost	s.	
	12: 1	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON	
		JAMES O. DISTRICT		

FILED

IN RE:)	MAR 1 2 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JIMMY HUGH HAYNES,) et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-932-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
ORDER OF D OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
NOW on this	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	tion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	7 12	•
against Defendant, Vermont	2757	
of any other or future act		
of action, and each party	,	

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1.2 1990

IN RE:		lack C so so I
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BILLY & PATRICIA A.) CRISWELL, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. }	No. 88-C-908-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDA	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIO	CE -
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch	<u>/</u>
pursuant to the Stipulat:	on of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc		
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	***	
against Defendant, Vermont T	. 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 1920 - 192	
of any other or future acti		
of action, and each party is	•	
		IMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 10 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. D.STEICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MILDRED L. KINION,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-904-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	5/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEONARD LEROY WINDLE, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-902-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	k Mariana da Mariana da M
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	5/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 1: 1990

FOR THE NORTH	HERN DISTRICT OF OR	к танома мак 1: 1990
IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WAYNE LEROY WEBB, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-901-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	en e	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJU	
NOW on this 12	day of Mar	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this ma tter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	ls to bear its own	costs.
		S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

FOR THE NORT	HERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHO	MAR 12 1990
IN RE:	M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JACK CHARLES THOMAS,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-895-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this/_	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal Wit	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to be ar its own cost	:s.
	S/ JAA	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 12 1990 IN RE: Jack C. Silver, Clerk M-1417 U.S. DISTRICT COURT ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO.____ CLAUDE LAVERNE SHUMAKE, et al., Plaintiff, No. 88-C-891-E v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of Merch pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. **5/** JAMES O. ELLISON JAMES O. ELLISON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:) M-1417	Jack C. Silver, C. ok
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DIU REJECCURT
JOHN BARNEY MCCOIN, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,	}	
v.	No. 88-C-890-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDION NOANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $_$	12 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Talc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own cos	sts.
	S/ JAM	es o. Ellison
	JAMES O.	ELLISON JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Man 10 **1990**

IN RE:	W 1417	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WORTH EDWARD CLAYTON,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-887-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own cost	cs.
	S/ JAME	S O. ELLISON
	JAMES O.	ELLISON

DISTRICT JUDGE

MAIL 1 9 1000

		MAR 1 : 1930
IN RE:)) M-1417	Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ERNEST WAYNE JOHNSON, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,		
v.) No. 88-C-886-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDI DANT, VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	2 day of M4/C	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same of	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own co	osts.
	\$/ \$/ \$/	JAMES O. ELLISON
		D. ELLISON CT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DANIEL JOE TEDLOCK,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-1165-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	F 1 1 E 1990
Defendants.)	
OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC. U.S. DIST
	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	\$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ::	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CLIFFORD RAY WHITEHEAD, et al.,)	·
Plaintiff,	{	No. 88-C-1164-B FILED MAR 2 1990
v.	· •	No. 88-C-1164-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) }	Jack C. Stiver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
*	7164	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	latio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own costs.
		s/ Thomas and a sa
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:))
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EDWARD ALLEN MCFALL, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	{	
v.))	No. 88-C-1162-B F I L F D 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		F 12 1990
Defendants.	'	
ORDER OF <u>OF DEFEN</u>	DISM.	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE Jack C. STORT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC. U.S. DISTANT
		_ day of, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is t	to bear its own costs.
		SCHOOL STEET
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	` ` M−14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	;	ASB(TW) NO
PATRICK MICHAEL PRICE, et al.,	}	· r D
Plaintiff,	\	No. 88-C-1161-B FILED MAR 27 1990
v.)	fair , .
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) }	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. Distri
	1 2	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	latio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Ta l	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own costs.
		S/ THOMAS IS SEEN
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT BRUCE ASH, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	TED
v.)	No. 88-C-1156-B F I L E D MAR 25 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	WAR 22 1350
Defendants.)	Jack C. Street, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Myrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	<pre>ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)</pre>
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
WILBURN FOLSOM, JR., et al.,	
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-1155-B 12 1300
v.	No. 88-C-1155-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Sinel Cony
Defendants.) U.S. D.S. 18-18
	F DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ENDANT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this _	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stip	ula tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc	, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	nat this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	ont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty is to bear its own costs.
	en de la companya de
	O/ Million R. Ball II
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DANNY BILL THOMPSON,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1151-B MAR 6 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. STAT, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this f	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	STATES TO BEEN
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN RICHARD FAHNESTOCK,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	TED
v. }	No. 88-C-1148-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Wall 2 1950
Defendants.)	Jock C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	h is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:			
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO		
CHARLES E. SCHUPBACH,) et al.,)			
Plaintiff,	BILE		
v.	No. 88-C-1203-B FILE D MAR 2 1990		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	C. Levik		
Defendants.)	Jack C. STONE OURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this	<u>day of Murch</u> , 1990,		
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of		
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,		
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed		
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing		
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)		
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.		
	S/ THOM of 2, diving		
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE		

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT L. BLAYDES,) et al.,)	FILED 122 1990
Plaintiff,)	MHU
v.)	No. 88-C-1201-B Jack C. Strict, Court U.S. DISTRUCT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	0.5.
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	A R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	38. 1.4.17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
THEODORE SPARLIN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	- T T T D
v.)	No. 88-C-1194-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	West -
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	2 day of $March$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS P. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ARTHUR WAYNE HALLAM,) et al.,)	FILED 1390
Plaintiff,	Man -
V.)	No. 88-C-1191-B Jack C. Styer, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	_ day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) .	· M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	;	ASB(TW) NO
ELMER DEEWITT WYNN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	· •	
v.)	No. 88-C-1190-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 6 1990
Defendants.)	Joekin in King William COURT
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this	12	_ day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	latio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	at th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part		
		A CHINESE REPRESENTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.
RICHARD ELLIS BARNES,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	ILED
v. (No. 88-C-1187-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Book C. Steer, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
BENTLEY F. REISCH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. }	No. 88-C-1387-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	- 過
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	/ (and a second
in RE:	**************************************
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DELMAR WAYNE HOLDEN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. }	No. 88-C-1318-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Styer, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants. j	
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT TUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
HAROLD CURTIS ROBERTS, et al.,		FILED
Plaintiff,	**************************************	MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1313-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.		
	DI SMISSA L WITH PREJUD D ANT, VERMO NT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	12 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	I nc., file d herein, II	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own c	osts.
	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
	s/ т	yonas il suchi
		R. BRETT CT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM E. WHITEHEAD,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1297-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	0.3. DISTRICT GO SA
Defendants.)	1
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	chis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont I	Calc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	하는 것 - 14명 - - 100명
	- Karamanan dan barata
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	N-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MELVIN LEROY SIMMONS,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 2 1990
v. }	No. 88-C-1295-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Stiver, Clerk B.S. DISTECT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	th is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont!	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOUSE R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GLEN WILLIAM RANDALL,) et al.,) Plaintiff,) V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	FILED MAR © 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
.,	day of March, 1990, of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, is matter be and is hereby dismissed
of any other or future action	<pre>1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing (s) on the same or related cause(s)</pre>
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs. S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HASKELL N. MURPHY,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
v.)	No. 88-C-1291-B U.S. DISTRUM COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	:ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	i s to bea r its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. GRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BILLIE LOUIS MILLER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. }	No. 88-C-1275-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	AND COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	companies R. ERETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.
JAMES ARTHUR MCAFFREY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1272-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 5 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Street Clerk
ORDER OF DIS <u>OF DEFENDAN</u>	Jack C. U.S. DISTRUCT COURT T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{}$	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	n is mat ter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	The displacement of the control of t
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM HARVEY JONES,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR & 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1267-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRUCT COURT
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	그는 사람들이 되었다.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CLAUDE A. HALE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff, (FILED
v. }	No. 88-C-1259-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{}$	<u>day</u> of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	CARLO IN A SHITT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

	** (m. 1) x 1 x
IN RE:	1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
THOMAS E. GRANT, et al.,)	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{p}$
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-1258-B 02 1390
v. (No. 88-C-1258-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	A C. Syot, COURT
Defendants.)	No. 88-C-1258-BAR LA COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOUSE BALT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT OUNCE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BOBBY RAY CRANE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-1254-B F I E I
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	1990
Defendants.)	Clark
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
. •	E S/ THOUATO RESULTS
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES JOHN BUTTON,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,) v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	No. 88-C-1253-B Inch C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDAN	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE F. VERMONT TALC, INC. day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing on (s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DAN MICHAEL ESKRIDGE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-1217-B ILED MAR \$2,1300 (S) 127, Clerk
v. ,	No. 88-C-1217-B MAR 2 1300
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. St. St. COURT
Defendants.)	Jack C. Strot, COURT U.S. DISTECT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONALD LEE CHENOWETH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. (No. 88-C-1207-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	With Clock
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTANCE COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{}$	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	S/ THOUSE R. BRBM
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LESLIE O. NELSON,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	n D
v.)	No. 88-C-1205-B F I 1 15 15 39
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Will E
Defendants.)	Lake C. State Colonia
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE S. D. S. D. S. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	ATTILLIAN BEIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	ि %-1417 ं %-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
ELLEN BERNICE WILSON,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	EIL BD
v. (No. 88-C-1411-B F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	1 m
Defendants.)	Jack C. Swer, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	AND AN BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
HUBERT EUGENE WALKER,) et al.,) Plaintiff,) v.)	No. 88-C-1409-B MAR 2 1990 Jack C. Silver, C) Ierk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT CO	;UR T
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
		.990,
	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDE	
	this matter be and is hereby dismi	
	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the fi	
of action, and each party		
	THOMAS R. BRETT	

IN RE:)	N-1 A 1 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	<u> </u>	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MARION D. TRONE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	}	FILED NO. 88-C-1408-B
v.		No. 88-C-1408-B MAR 0 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	\(\)	Jack C. Silver, Clark
Defendants.	í	Jack C. STATE COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	4.5244	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	_ day of Mh/Lh, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lati o i	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own costs.
		OR BRETT
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JACK RILEY MCCORKELL,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1403-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAN - Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of Myrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	SATIONALS BURNET
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.
J. B. JONES, et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,)	MVII 657 1920
v. (No. 88-C-1397-B Jack C. Silver, Chile
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	J. Howe P. BOIL
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
GILBERT ADRIAN HARRIS, et al.,	}		- r D
Plaintiff,)		FILED MAR 62 1390
v.)	No. 88-C-1396-B	MAR & 1390
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	***	CISSAL WITH PREJUDION OF TALC, INC.	
NOW on this _	12	_ day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	latio	n of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	, filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at th	is matter be and is	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Ta	lc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future a	actio	n(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own cos	sts.
		9.	A Andrews B. D.
		THOMAS I	R. BRETT F JUDGE

IN RE:) M-1417	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO	
LARRY J. NORTH, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,		
v.) No. 88-C-1393-B	FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	\(\)	12,000
Defendants.)	MAR 21 1930
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	Jack C. Sther, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this $_I$	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or r	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bea r its own costs	
	THOMAS R	RRETT

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) }	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ALVIS ELWYN KERBY, et al.,)	- I E D
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.))	No. 88-C-1392-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,))	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRACT
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this	12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,]	inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	thi	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talo	Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is t	o bear its own costs.
		en e
	ī	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) N 1417	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
LAWRENCE HOWARD, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,	FILEI	.
v.) No. 88-C-1391-B	<u>ر</u>
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990	
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Cler U.S. DISTRICT COU	k RT
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this	12 day of March, 199	90,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice	of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDER	ED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t this matter be and is hereby dismiss	sed
against Defendant, Vermon	at Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the file	ing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause	(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bear its own costs.	
	S/ THOMAS R. CRUTT	
	THOMAS R. BRETT	

IN RE:) •
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
AFTON A. HARRISON,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1390-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clark
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	Sy Dominion B R. DRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.
LAROY BATTENFIELD,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1388-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	The Park Control of the Control of t
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Mar 12 1990

IN RE:	M-1417	Jock () Tr. Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTAICT COURT
CHARLES PAUL SILL,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. }	No. 88-C-698-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	lon of Dismissal With	n Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	chis matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or 1	celated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs	3.
	5/ JA	MES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. I	ELLISON

FILED

FOR THE NOR	MINIO DISTRICT OF ORIGINA	MAR 13 1990
IN RE:	M-1417	_
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clerk - U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CHARLES L. ROLLINS, et al.,		
Plaintiff,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
v.	No. 88-C-354-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	3
NOW on this	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal Wit	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	nc., filed herein, IT IS	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own cost	is.
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN RE:	MAR 1 1 1390
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO. U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BOBBY GENE WILLIAMS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-66-03-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of M_{4}/ch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		Jack C. Sther, Clock
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DENNIS EDWARD LYNCH,) et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-771-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	1920 1911	
ORDER OF DE OF DEFENDA	S MISSAL WITH PREJUI	DICE NC.
NOW on this 17	day of Marc	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	i on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., file d herein, I	r is hereby ordered,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i		
	6/ .	JAMES O. ELLISON
		O. ELLISON CT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

FOR THE NORTH	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
IN RE:	W-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CLYDE NELSON SMITH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-768-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. EUISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BENJAMIN ROBERT YOST, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-767-E
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	는 사용함께 보고 있는 사용하는 사용함
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $_\bot$	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 1 2 1990

IN RE:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-141 7) ASB (TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JIMMIE LLOYD SKELTON, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-754-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
	P DIS HISSAL WITH PREJUDIC NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this _	12 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lat ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc. filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED the	at this matter be and is	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Tale, Inc., with preju	adice to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or	r related cause(s)
of action, and each part	cy is to bear its own co	sts.
	s/	JAMES O. ELLISON
	**************************************	. ELLISON T JUDGE

MAR 1.2 1990

TED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA C Styer, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COUR
) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
) No. 88-C-753-E
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
2 day of March , 1990,
ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
this matter be and is hereby dismissed
Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
is to bear its own costs.
S/ JAMES O. ELLISÔN
JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		MAR 10 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	Jack C. Silver, Clirk U.S. District COURT
CLINTON BERNICE DITMORE,) et al.,		
Plaintiff,	- (4) 출시 	
v.)	No. 88-C-751-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	e particular de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della co	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC NT. VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this $-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	2 day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	n c., file d herein, IT	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bea r its own cos	sts.
	S/ JA	AMES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON
	TOTAL DISTRICT	. UUDGE

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

JAMES O. ELLISON DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR 1 2 1990 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO._ JAMES ANTHONY PIERCE, et al., Plaintiff, No. 88-C-748-E v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. 12 day of March NOW on this pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

IN RE:	JIJIKICI OF OR	MAR 1 % 1990
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
GEORGE GRANT HELTON,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	유럽 - 194 - 194	
v.)	No. 88-C-745-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUI	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c. filed herein, I	r is hereby ordered,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	alc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future act:	그 사겠다.	
of action, and each party i		
-		
	S/ J/	AMES O. ELLISON
	- * C * C * C * C * C * C * C * C * C *	O. ELLISON CT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 12 1990

IN RE:		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ELMO JESS BUTLER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-743-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	- 10	
) Defendants.)		
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	2
NOW on this 12	2 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 -	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal Wit	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	file d herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	The life is a second of the li	
of any other or future action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
of action, and each party is	V. V.	
	S/ JAMES	O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	

IN RE:		Jack C. Säver, Clerk
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTERIOR COURT —
CHARLES RAMON SMITH,) et al.,		
Plaintiff,	ing the second of the second o	
v.)	No. 88-C-725-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	ISNISSAL WITH PREJUDIC NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., file d herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	ralc, Inc., with prejud	lice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own cos	ts.
	S/ JAN	RES O. ELLISON
	JAMES O. DISTRICT	ELLISON JUDGE

IN RE:			mak ± 3 199 0
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW)	NO	Jack C. Start Cook U.S. DISTRUT COURT
CHARLES RAY CHANEY, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,			
v.	No. 88-C	-724-E	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,			
Defendants.			
	D ISMISSAL WIT D ANT, VE RMONT		
NOW on this $__$	2 day of	March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dis	missal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed he	erein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this mat ter	be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., v	with prejud	lice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on th	ne same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear it	ts own cost	ts.
	estati su se de su su s	S/ JAME	S O. ELLISON
		JAMES O. DISTRICT	
	5.25		

IN RE:) ()	Jack C. Silver, Clark
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
PATRICK W. PERRY, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) No. 88-C-719-E	1
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	(
Defendants.))	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJ DANT, VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this	12 day of Mir	- <u>ch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissa	l With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	Inc., filed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	d is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with p	rejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same	e or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own	costs.
	\$/ J/	AMES O. ELLISON
	The Mark Control of the Control of t	S O. ELLISON

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EARL DEAN WILCOX,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	BILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1107-B FILED MAR \$2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	out or Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ENTSSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{}$	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES R. COOPER,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	T D
v.)	No. 88-C-1100-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	<u>day of March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Falc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	
	R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MARION D. ALLGOOD et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-1096-B FILED MAR £ 1990
Defendants.)	MAR 2 1900
ORDER OF D OF DEFENDA	PISHISSAL WITH PREJUDICES. DISTRICT COURT ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ti on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ TMC 1.1 AL BREW
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ALFRED CHARLES HALL,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1085-B FILED MAR 22 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	ci - Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	And the second of the second o
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILBUR LOUIS CROW,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	1 T D
v.)	No. 88-C-1083-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 61 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ORDER OF DISM OF DEFENDANT	Jack C. Silver, COURT Jack C. Silver, COURT Jack C. Silver, COURT Jack C. Silver, COURT DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	en e
A.	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONALD DALE HUTSON,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	BILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1081-B FILED MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Clark
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ TICM'S R. POET
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
EDWIN ELLIS RIDDLE,) et al.,)	F 1 L E D MAR 27 1990 No. 88-C-1064-B Clerk	
Plaintiff,	F 12 12 1990	
v. }	No. 88-C-1064-B MAR CAL Clerk Jack C. Silver, COURT	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-1064-B Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT	
Defendants.)		
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	_ day of <u>March</u> , 1990,	
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of	
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,	
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	s matter be and is hereby dismissed	
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing		
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)	
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.	
	D.RETT	
	THOMAS R. BRETT	
	DISTRICT JUDGE	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LLOYD W. FORSON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	TTED
v. }	No. 88-C-1060-B F T 72 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	ower Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	h is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
-	
	A A CLASS CONTRACTOR OF THE SECTION
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO. PAUL O. COMPTON, et al., Plaintiff, V. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDIC FCk C. Short, Clerk OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. S. DISTRICT COURT NOW on this 12 day of Mach, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	IN RE:	ま者で 役員
Plaintiff, V. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICARY COURT OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of Much, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	
No. 88-C-1058-B ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICACK C. Short Clerk OF DEFENDANT VERMONT TALC, INC. S. DISTRICT COURT NOW on this 12 day of Much, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	PAUL O. COMPTON, et al.,)	
Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICECK C. STATE COURT OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. DISTRICT COURT NOW on this 12 day of Much, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	Plaintiff,	
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE COURT OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. DISTRICT COURT OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC., 1990, now on this 12 day of 1990, now on the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-1058-B FILED
NOW on this 12 day of Much, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.		MINIT -
pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICER C. SHART, COURT OF VERMONT TALC, INCU.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.		
of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
ON TUBERT		
of the property of the breather the control of the breather th		
		SV TUDENCO DU BREIT
THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE		

IN RE:	2 (1) - 9 (2) - 1 38-9 4 1 7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
WILLIAM MERLE SWEETEN,) et al.,	FILE 1990	
Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk	
v. (No. 88-C-1052-B U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	2 day of Murch , 1990,	
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of	
	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,	
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed	
against Defendant, Vermont	raic, Inc., with prejudice to the filing	
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)	
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.	
	THOMAS R. BRETT	
	DISTRICT JUDGE	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EDWARD AARON GARRITSON,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1048-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COST
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE I. VERMONT TALC, INC.
	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	n is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	C. C. The second

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DOUGLAS ALLEN WILLIAMS,) et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAK CA 1000
v. }	No. 88-C-1046-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Much</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	MANG R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LARRY WAYNE KUHN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-1038-B FILED MAR & 1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-1038-B MAR 5 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTING
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE C. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Myrch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	M-1417	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
BOBBY WAYNE BROWN,) et al.,)		FILED MAR 27 1990
Plaintiff,	N.	Clerk
v. }	No. 88-C-1036-B	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	MISSAL WITH PREJUD	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, II	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing		
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own c	osts.
		Sympoleto Ruddet
	THOMAS	R. BRETT

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
CHARLES EUGENE STANLEY,) et al.,)	***	FILED
Plaintiff,		MAR 2 1990
v. }	No. 88-C-1034-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIONS, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	h is matte r be and is	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont I	alc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party i		
	<u> </u>	tournesse R. BRETT
	THOMAS I	R. BRETT F JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
ROBERT EUGENE BURROWS,) et al.,)		FILEI
Plaintiff,)		MAR 12 1990
v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-1030-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is he	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont I	Calc, Inc., with prejudic	ce to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or re	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to be ar its own costs	•
		PLETT.
	THOMAS R.	BRETT

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GERALD D. KEITH, et al.,)) Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 1990
v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	No. 88-C-1027-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDAN NOW on this pursuant to the Stipulation Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc. ADJUDGED and DECREED that to against Defendant, Vermont To of any other or future acti	smissal with PREJUDICE To vermont talc, inc. day of March, 1990, ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this matter be and is hereby dismissed Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	THOMAS, R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND E. BARGER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILEI
v. (No. 88-C-1024-B MAR $\frac{2}{1990}$
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerl
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COUR
11 to 12	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	DISTRICT GODGE

IN RE:	M−14 17	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
HERBERT ALLEN HOFFMAN,) et al.,		FILED
Plaintiff,)		MAR 2 1990
v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-1021-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDI ANT, VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	2 day of Mg/c	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal V	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same o	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own co	sts.
	1/4.1. 24	أأسمو ي ي
	THOMAS	R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BILLY JOE GOLD, et al.,)	TIED
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR & 1990
v. į	· NO. COTUTIONED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. STOOT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	h is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	2 14 1 4 1 7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
ORLEY FREEMAN LAWSON,) et al.,)		FILED
Plaintiff,		MAR 2 1990
v. }	No. 88-C-1010-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		U.S. DISTRICT COOK
Defendants.)		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	2 day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is h	nereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs	s.
	en de la companya de La companya de la co	
	S/ Tribin	<u> </u>
	THOMAS R.	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GEORGE KENNETH KAYS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v. ,	No. 88-C-1005-B $FILEI$
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR
7 m	USSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
. 변 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1	S/THOMEO TEST
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
· ·	

IN RE:	* 70°
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT DALE ROPP, et al.,	· }
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1004-B FILED MAR \$2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR \$ 1990
Defendants.	styer, Clerk
order of <u>of defen</u>	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE S. DISTRICT COURT DANT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bear its own costs.
	S/THOAMS R
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
CHARLES S. JONES,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	OTTED	
v.)	No. 88-C-1003-B FILED	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	WHE TO	
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this _/_	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,	
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of	
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,	
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed	
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing	
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)	
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.	
	S/TYPYAS B BREET	
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
SHERMAN RADFORD, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1002-B MAR 22 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this -12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS IL LALLE
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1 2 990	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB (WW) NO COURT	·
ROBERT C. SPRINKLE,) et al.,)	Jack C. STRICT	FILED
Plaintiff,		MAR 12 1990
v. }	No. 88-C-996-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDI	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with preju	udice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same o	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own co	sts.
	<u> </u>	While R. BRIT
		R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JEFF L. LOWE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-994-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	TISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	STHOMAS R. DRITT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M−141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DONALD LEE KARR, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-991-B F I L E D MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	WAK 🔁
Defendants.)	Jack C. Schrer, Clerk
order of dis of defendan	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT T, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DIBIKICI DODGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ALBERT E. BREWSTER, JR.,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	- n D
v.)	No. 88-C-989-BFILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	n Brett.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JACKY DOUGLAS MYERS,) et al.,)	DITED
Plaintiff,	FILED No. 88-C-985-B MAR 2 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-985-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. Distinct
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice o
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
STANLEY C. SHAFER, et al.,	TTED
Plaintiff,	FILED MAR 2 1990
v.) No. 88-C-1143-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
— 	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	1) day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	os Os o processos de la constanta de la BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
NAOMI BLACK, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	RILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1139-B FILED MAR 2 1990 MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Strer, Clark
Defendants.)	Jack C. Sher, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this \Box	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ Table 18 July 1
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
TOM J. BINGHAM, et al.,)	No. 88-C-1138-B MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	F 1 12-109N
v. ()	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	U.S. DIST
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFEND ANT	USSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
	e, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	Marketing and the second of th
	🤹 S/ TWOMMO R. DRUM
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
LAUREN EDWARD JOHNSON, et al.,	>
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-1135-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Sthor, Cl. k
Defendants.	Jack C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	l ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMV4 1 THE W
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)
BILLIE M. ROBERTSON, et al.,	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	MAR 25 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1134-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS RUBBUT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CLARENCE H. SCHULTE,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,)	MAR 25 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1129-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont!	Falc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/THOULD IN BOST
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ELBERT M. SANDERS,) et al.,)	- n D
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1127-B MAR 21 1930
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. Die
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 1	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	i s to be ar its own costs.
	S/ TYONY 5 R. DRAIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
ERNEST L. ABLE, et al.,	\
Plaintiff,	ntt E D
v.	No. 88-C-1126-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-1126-B F I L E D MAR 2 1930
Defendants.	Jack C. Ster. Court U.S. DISTRUT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ HIOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	X-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HENRY DALE FOSTER,) et al.,	(2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)
Plaintiff,)	
v. ,	No. 88-C-1182-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	FILED
Defendants.)	MAR 4 1390
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE COLK FR. VERMONT TALC, INC. DISTRICTORY
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	BRETT BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES A. COOK, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.	No. 88-C-1181-B FILED MAR & 1990
ODDED OF F	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF COURT AND VERMONT TALC, INC.
	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	COLL S. BREIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EDWIN OSCAR KERLEY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. (No. 88-C-1178-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MOR 6 1990
Defendants.)	Jock (1. S. o. 1 o.k.)
	U.S. DESTRUCT COURT WISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	AND THOMAS OF BRITE
•	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M-141 7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO	
JACKIE BERNARD RANSOM, et al.,		- + T D
Plaintiff,	194 - 194 - 195	FILED
v.)) No. 88-C-1173-B	MAR 62 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.		U.S. DISTRICT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDI DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this	2 day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula		
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	Inc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	: Talc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same o	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own co	sts.
	(2) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	DAME R. BREIT
	THOMAS	R. BRETT

M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
FILED
No. 88-C-1169-B FILED MAR & 1990
Jack C. Strot, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
matter be and is hereby dismissed
ale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
to bear its own costs.
Sympolen B. Zadi
THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MARVIN GRESS, et al.,) et al.,)	T D
Plaintiff,	FILED MAR 62 1990
v.)	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DIOTING
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $_$ L	2 day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	:ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	- I PLOMAC D DOUT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONALD RAY STREET,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ;	No. 88-C-1407-C MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	<u>day</u> of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
HAROLD STARK, et al.,)	
Plaintiff, (
v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-1497-C FILED MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	
	Jack C. Silver, Clerk VERMONT TALC, INC. U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	A TUCOK
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RESSIE MAE WALL, et al.,) Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 22 1990
v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	MAR 22 1990 No. 88-C-1410-C Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this 12 pursuant to the Stipulation	day of Murch, 1990, on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the against Defendant, Vermont Ta	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, his matter be and is hereby dismissed alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action of action, and each party is	on(s) on the same or related cause(s) to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	¥41 4 1 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
KENNETH GLENN, et al.,)	T D
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1600-C MAR 27 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC. day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	· 7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.	
NAYDEEN LADUKE, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,))		
v.) }	No. 88-C-162-B	FILEI
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)) }		MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)		Jack C. Silver, Cler
	100 PC 700	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	U.S. DISTRICT COUF
NOW on this	12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion	of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc.,	filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	thi	s matter be and is her	reby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	1000	:	
of any other or future ac	tion	(s) on the same or rel	lated cause(s)
of action, and each party	is t	o bear its own costs.	
	4. 87	\$/ ii.che.,	in Energy
		THOMAS R. BI DISTRICT JUI	·· = =

IN RE:	?
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
MARVIN REX CORRELL, et al.,) }
Plaintiff,	FILED No. 88-C-697-B MAR 2 1990
v.	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	U.S. DISTRICT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	The state of the s
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
LONNIE EARL DAVIS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	TED
v.)	No. 88-с-700-В FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR DE COOK
Defendants.)	lack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	ralc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to be ar its own costs.
	The second secon
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ,	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
THOMAS M. OCHSENBEIN, et al.,)	FILED MAR 22 1990
Plaintiff,) }	MAR 22 1990
v.	`	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	\(\frac{1}{2} \) \(\frac{1}{2} \)	Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	}	
	250 370	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2	day of Murh , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	atio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is	to bear its own costs.
		SATE TO BE DEED TO THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF THE PE
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
KENNETH ROY SPARKMAN,) et al.,)	No. 88-C-714-B MAR 27 1990 MAR C. Silver Clerk Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT
Plaintiff,)	MAR Clerk
v.)	No. 88-C-714-B "Silver COUR"
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	n'e Die
Defendants.)	(4) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ WIDWAS R. BALIF
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LARRY EUGENE STOGSDILL,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	FILED MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	s char Clark
Defendants.)	Jack C. SIVEL COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\underline{12}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	n 17 Singa <u>e de la la la la la Co</u> pe de Rei della pr
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN W. GULLETT, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	. r. D
v. }	No. 88-C-718-B F 1 12 4000
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-718-B F T L E D MAR 22 1990 Clerk
Defendants.)	inck Control Court
ORDER OF DISE OF DEFENDANT	MAR COURT Clerk Jack C. Silver COURT Jack DISTRICT VERMONT TALC, INC. day of Murch 1990,
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	Strain Coult
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT LEE GRAHAM,) et al.,	- T D
Plaintiff,)	FITED No. 88-C-723-B RAR 2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-723-B MAR 27 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. District
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	5/ W. Carrier 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EARL MORRIS OLEMAN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-744-B FILED
v. (No. 88-C-744-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Cerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	TO MIDMAS R. DAETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
THOMAS EDWARD BASS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	r D
V.)	No. 88-C-746-B F T T F D MAR 12 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	MAR DE COURT
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	Jack C Strict COURT IISSAL WITH PREJUDIÇES. DISTRICT VERMONT TALC, INC.
3	_ day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	The second secon
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEO B. IRICK, et al.,) Plaintiff,) V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	FILED No. 88-C-749-B MAR 2 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC. 2 day of March, 1990,
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this matter be and is hereby dismissed
	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing ion(s) on the same or related cause(s) is to bear its own costs.
	S/ WASHA & IN EMPT THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
GENE REED WILLAIMS, et al.,	PILED No. 88-C-774-B MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	12 1990
v. :	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver Court U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.	
	OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE PENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the .Stip	oulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talo	e, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	hat this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Verm	ont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	rty is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
<pre>KENNETH RAY BATTENFIELD,) et al.,) Plaintiff,)</pre>	FILED MAR 2 1990
V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	No. 88-C-770-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT
OF DEFENDAN	smissal with Prejudice Fr. vermont talc, inc. day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
against Defendant, Vermont T	his matter be and is hereby dismissed alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
FLOYD DWIGHT OSBURN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-с-769-В FILED MAR 2 1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-769-B MAR 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Clark
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOAM 3 R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DIDIRICI OUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT CAREY) ARCHDALE, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-765-B FILED MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	12 1990
Defendants.)	Clark
	Clerk DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE Jack C. S. WEI, COUR NOT. VERMONT TALC, INC. U.S. DISTRICT
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	en e
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH WILLIAM SMITH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-763-В F T Т Е D MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	1990
Defendants.)	
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE Jack C. STARLET COURT T. VERMONT TALC, INC. U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	- 12 年66年 - 12 年67年
	「 一般」。 ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	16-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH LEROY RICE,) et al.,)	- FD
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-755-B FILED MAR \$2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-755-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRIC.
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	VINATUR BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DANNY EUGENE HIMES,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	- FD
v	No. 88-C-752-B F T L E D MAR 2 1990 MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR & 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ORDER OF DISM OF DEFENDANT	Jack C. Silver, COURT
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	e, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	148 147 - 1 147 - 1 148 - 1
	State of the state
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN WILLIAM GRENINGER,) et al.,	TED
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 1990 MAR 1990 MAR 1990
v. (No. 88-C-806-B MAR
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-806-B MAR Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	0.3 .
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	- day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	D. ERETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	_
TERRY P. WARREN, et al.,) Plaintiff,) V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	No. 88-C-804-B	FILED MAR 2 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDA	SHISSAL WITH PREJUDIC NT. VERMONT TALC, INC. day of Much	<u>.</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat Defendant, Vermont Talc, In ADJUDGED and DECREED that	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
against Defendant, Vermont of any other or future act of action, and each party	ion(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
	THOMAS E	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MILLARD L. ABLE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-803-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR £ 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	U.S. DISTRICT COURT ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	_ orthones P BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
CHARLES LEE BOWMAN, et al.,		
Plaintiff,	e de la companya de l	- T T T T
v.	No. 88-C-799-B	FILEL
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		MAR 2 1990
Defendants.	}	lack C. Silver, Cler J.S. DISTRICT COUR
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12 day of Myrch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With F	rejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,]	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HER	EBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is here	by dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice t	to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or rela	ted cause(s)
	is to bear its own costs.	
		RETT.
	THOMAS R. BRE DISTRICT JUDG	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
JUNIOR LEROY MASHBURN, et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,) MAR 25 1990
V.) No. 88-C-798-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. SIVET, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	ʻ
ORDER OF OF DEFENI	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990
pursuant to the Stipula	ition of Dismissal With Prejudice o
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ Cabaras R. Lacit
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
NORMAN GENE RUTH, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. (No. 88-C-796-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE TI. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	William William William
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BOBBY MILLS HIGHSMITH, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v. ,	No. 88-C-787-B FILED MAR 占 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 25 1990
Defendants.)	a cilvar Clerk
ORDER OF D OF DEFEND	Jack C. STORT COURT DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICES. DISTRICT COURT ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $_$	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) }
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
JOHN BRUCE MORGAN, et al.,)
Plaintiff,	
v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-785-B F T T E D MAR 1990
Defendants.	Jack C. Shier, Clerk
ORDER OF I <u>OF DEFEND</u>	Jack C. Sher, COURT Jack C. Sher, COURT DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE.S. DISTRICT COURT ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	
	S/ THICHARS R. BREIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1、機等1 - 大学2 - 1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、1、
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEONARD W. C. ODOM,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-782-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1930
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	¹ Fairy
	C/ TEDITOS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
DOUGLAS BRYAN KLAUS, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-781-B F T T E D MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.	Jork C. Silver, Clerk
ORDER OF OF DEFEN	Jock C. STIVET COURT DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICEUS. DISTRICT COURT DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	<pre>Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,</pre>
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bea r its own costs.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONALD JAMES DUNIPHIN,) et al.,	- r D
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-842-B FILED MAR 63 1990
v. (No. 88-C-842-B MAR 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. District
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Calc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	erio Reigio de la companya
	・異 ・名・・・・ <u></u>
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CLARENCE EDWARD SPENCER,) et al.,	FILED MAR 6 1990
Plaintiff,	MAR 🔄 1990
v. }	No. 88-C-840-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-840-B Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	grand in the BORNT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HAROLD GENE JONES,) et al.,)	F 1 T E D NO. 88-C-839-B MAR 2 1990 Clerk
Plaintiff,)	F 121990
v.)	No. 88-C-839-B MAR MAR Clerk Court Jack C. Silver COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver COURT
Defendants.)	U.J.
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	- day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	chis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont I	Pale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	lon(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WAYNE LEON FURNAS,) et al.,)	No. 88-C-837-B MAR 27 1990 Clerk
Plaintiff,)	F 1 12 1990
v.	No. 88-C-837-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-837-B MARY Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	V.5.
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	The state of the s
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GEORGE DAVID KASTEN,) et al.,)	FILED No. 88-C-836-B No. 88-C-836-B No. 88-C-836-B
Plaintiff,)	F 1 12 1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-836-B MAR Clerk Jack C. Silver Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. STICT COUNTY
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this \bot	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	<pre>ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)</pre>
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	AND THE BULTT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EARL HAROLD FOSS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	RILED
v. }	No. 88-C-834-B FITED MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	OF THE STATE OF TH
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
HAROLD MAHAN, et al.,)	TED
Plaintiff,) }	F T 12 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-826-B MAR
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)))	No. 88-C-826-B MAR 2 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	j	0.5.
	1925	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is t	o bear its own costs.
•	1	
		S/ THOM IN A. BLUTT
	: .	
	4	
	9 9 9 9	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	. 1.	

IN RE:)	Tare Tarente de la companya de la company Tarente de la companya d
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT EUGENE PETERNELL,) et al.,)	TED
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-823-B FILED MAR 27 1990
v. (
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\sqrt{2}$	day of, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
· :	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EDWARD L. MCKEE, et al.,) Plaintiff,) v.)	No. 88-C-820-B FILED MAR 27 1990 Mo. 88-C-820-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	No. 88-C-820-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDANT	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC. day of Much, 1990,
	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	is matter be and is hereby dismissed lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of action, and each party is	on(s) on the same or related cause(s) to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EUGENE ELLIS HARRIS,) et al.,)	FICED MAR 第 1990
Plaintiff,)	MAR 紅 1990
v.)	No 99-C-016-B Cler
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, COUI U.S. DISTRICT COUI
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETTI DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
LELAND WEBSTER KAHLER, et al.,	FILED MAR 1990
Plaintiff,	MAR 2 1990
v.	1 NA 99-C-907-19 CINK
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	;
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROY WILLIAM MURPHY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	. 7
v.)	No. 88-C-936-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-с-936-В FILED MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Clerk
ORDER OF DISP OF DEFENDANT	Jack C. Silver, COURT Jack C. SILVER, COURT TESSAL WITH PREJUDICE).S. DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	lc, Inc. , with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOUGH 2. PRITT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JERRY REED CAVIN,) et al.,	FD
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-930-B FILE D
v. (No. 88-C-930-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Salver COURT U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	U.S. Dist
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ THO WE REMARK
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM CLARK, et al.,	₹
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-912-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $__$	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	R. BRETT
	THOMAS R BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RALPH W. STONER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-910-B FILED
v. (No. 88-C-910-B 12 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	•••
Defendants.)	Jack C. Sther, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party :	is to bear its own costs.
	s/ Thoraga Burgalan
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONNA L. CAPTAIN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. į	No. 88-C-907-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DI <u>OF DEFENDAN</u>	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE TT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that ${\sf t}$	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
_	
	TA TUOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)
HEDY MARIE MASTERSON, et al.,)
Plaintiff,))
v.	No. 88-C-906-B FIEED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.) C silver, Clerk
ORDER OF OF DEFEND	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $__$	12 day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	a tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ Y: R. BRITT
	THOMAS D RDFTT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	95 - 1
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
VERNA BRADEN, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-905-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDA	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Falc, Inc. , with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GARNETT A. BUZZARD,) et al.,)	・ ・
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. į	NO. 88-C-903-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	h is mat ter be and is hereby dismissed
	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
HELEN AMELIA BRASHERS, et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-900-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NOT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Much , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	l ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc. , with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bear its own costs.
	sy thiert for the
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
JACK B. THOMAS, et al.,)
Plaintiff,	но. 88-с-893-В FILED MAR 2 1990 MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-893-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	l ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs.
	R. BRETT.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
DONALD DEAN HUBBELL,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)	1 : 1 	FILED MAR & 1990
v.	No. 88-C-892-B	F 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		clerk
Defendants.)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion o f Dismissal With Pr	ejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HERE	BY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby	y dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	falc, Inc., with prejudice to	the filing
	ion(s) on the same or relate	
of action, and each party i		, ,
		A.FT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE	<u> </u>

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EARL DEAN SOPER, et al.,)	2008
Plaintiff,	- D
v. }	No. 88-C-889-B F I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	Jack C Strer, Clerk
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	Jack C STORT COURT MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
: 	S/ 70 TO MIC R. BRATT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JACK J. PHILLIPS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-888-B FILED NAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Clark
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	SATISMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROY LEE LANKFORD, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	TIED
v. ,	No. 88-C-874-B FILED 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	The state of the s
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DI <u>OF DEFENDAN</u>	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE TO VERMONT TAIC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	i on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND EVERITT BOYD,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v. ;	No. 88-C-873-B & T T E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-873-B F T T E D
Defendants.)	MAR
ORDER OF D OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DISTRICT COURT NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
	2 day of Mych , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	i on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	nc. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
BILLY JOE REYNOLDS, et al.,	}
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-872-B FILED 1990
v.	No. 88-C-872-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR Jack C. Silver COUR Jack DISTRICT COUR U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.	Jack DISTRICT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	v is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND DEWAYNE RHODES,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	ED
v. }	No. 88-C-869-B F 1 12 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	Jack OU' Jack DISTRICT OU' U.S. DISTRICT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. V.S. T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LOGAN W. BROWN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-854-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	F 1 13 1990
Defendants.)	MAR Cityer C
	No. 88-C-854-B P 1 2 1990 MAR 2 1990 MAR C. Silver C. SILVERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	BREIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	사용하는 1985년
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MARTIN HENRY POTTER,) et al.,)	No. 88-C-853-B R 1 1 2 1990 NAR 27 1990 NAR 27 COURT
Plaintiff,	F 1 12 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-853-B MAR Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-853-B MAR Clerk Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	Ú.S. D
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE FT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	Lon(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	But the state of t
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DELMAR DALE PUGH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	rtus Burgarian Burgarian
v. }	No. 88-C-852-B F I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 42 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
order of di s <u>of defendan</u>	HISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	m(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. DRIFT
•	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RICHARD KEITH HUNT,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-843-B FILED MAR 2 1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-843-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	部 着 も sythe deleast
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
J. D. WARD, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-980-B	MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUI T. VERMONT TALC, I	
NOW on this 12	day of Marc	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., file d herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own o	costs.
	10.5 (A. 1900) (A. 1900) (A. 1900)	
		, resp. BRETT
		R. BRETT

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLEY RAY JONES, et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	{	MAR 2 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-976-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	\	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	3	
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	_ day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is	to bear its own costs.
	1:	operation of BOST
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)
JOHN KENNETH OLEMAN, et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,) v.	No. 88-C-968-B MAR 2 1990 MAR Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this f'	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/THOP IS REPORTED
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	•
FLOYD LAWRENCE CAMPBELL,) et al.,		FILED
Plaintiff,)		MAR 23 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-9	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR
Defendants.)		
OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH INT. VERMONT TA	IC, INC.
NOW on this	day of /	1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismi	ssal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	nc., filed here	in, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	th is matt er be	and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., wit	th prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the	same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	s to bear its	own costs.
		eren e jag de de de la companya de l
		HOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	171 Ray Maria (1818)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEONARD HOMER HERREL,) et al.,)	- D
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-962-B FILED MAR 2 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-962-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR C. Silver, Clerk Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that to	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	us Nggaran na n
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
FRANCIS EUGENE WILMOTH, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-952-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE PANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	STATE OF THIS IN BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EUGENE WILLIAM STITCH,	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-с-950-В F I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAK
Defendants.)	Jack C. S. Vet, Clerk
order of di s i <u>of defendant</u>	Jack C. S. VET, COURT DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	OF THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CLARENCE JACK KNOX,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,)	MAR 12 1990
v. (No. 88-C-947-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jock C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{2}$	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Falc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ Tilly the second
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
ELLIS M. KIRK, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-946-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDAN	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
•	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	ic, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	TO MOMAS D. DOME
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

	TOTAL CONTROL OF THE
IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DAVID L. DILLINGHAM,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-942-B FILED MAR 5 1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-942-B MAR 25 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	real control of the c
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	- 1995年 - 1995年 - 1995年 - 1988年
	A THE RESERVE OF THE
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES EMMETT COYNE, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	TED
v.	No. 88-C-940-B F I L E D NO. 88-C-940-B F I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Clerk
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Myrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	a tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	t Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	SATATE A DESTRUCTION OF THE SAME
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	7.0 \$500 cm - 1.0 \$500 cm - 1.0 \$400 cm
)	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH M. BRADY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-937-FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 22 199U
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
order of dis of defendan	Jack C. SHVET, COURT DISTRICT COURT TAIC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S R. BRETT
•	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM R. HAMILTON,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,)	MAR 27: 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-984-B Jack C. Silver, Cieck U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	- day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Pale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	DELLE R. ELIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ADOLPH F. WALSER, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-1122-B 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFEND	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. 12 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	W_1417		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO		
CHARLES RICHARD OLSON,) et al.,)			
Plaintiff,)	BILED		
v.)	No. 88-C-1110-B FILED MAR 1390		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,			
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE F. VERMONT TALC, INC.		
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,		
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of		
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,		
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed		
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing		
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)		
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.		
- ·	S/ THO		
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE		

TH DE.	
IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
EVERETTE D. PRICE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1108-B MAR 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. SIMPT, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	e, adam a balat Ba
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

1		1	7
	- 1	`	100
	- 1.	2	
			200
		1	JU

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LOUIE VERNON LEAMON,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. (No. 88-C-708-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	ment cook
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:)	7.4.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
JOHN BURTON HEMPHILL, et al.,		
Plaintiff,	er film Aller Aller	
v.	No. 88-C-721-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUD ANT, VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that		
against Defendant, Vermont	* '\\	
of any other or future act		
of action, and each party	1.78 cm	
		elin nin badok
	H. DALE DISTRIC	
	DISTRIC	T JUDGE
	の の の の の の の の の の の の の の	

	12	
~	25	1
,		1.

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EUGENE W. MCGHEE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	사용 - 사용 - 사용
v. }	No. 88-C-722-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	(1985년) - 1일 - 1일
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
_	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that to	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	eradi Again

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

. 7	
12	
25	
U	

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA	2
IN RE:	and the second s
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
JEHU WILLIAM HOUSMAN,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
V. No. 88-C-726-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12 day of Much	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is he	reby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice	to the filing
of any other or future action(s) on the same or re	lated cause(s)
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	
	i i na saya waka

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

A	7	ŕ	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
		1	2	(ii -
		2	2	Fije

IN RE:)		44.44
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) }	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	-
MORRIS V. WOOLDRIDGE, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	(:	
v.)	No. 88-C-747-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	(
Defendants.	;		
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of Nych	
pursuant to the Stipu	latio	on of Dismissal Wi	th Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	file d herein, IT I	S HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at th	is matter be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Ta	1c, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future a	actic	n(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is	to bear its own cost	cs.
			1.000 K
		H. DALE O	-

			. "		į.	- 1
ì					r	
1	70	3) —	- [-

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
COLIN LESTER MCMINN, et al.,	- 1	
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-750-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDANT, VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this	12 day of	rch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t th is ma tter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	t Talc, Inc., with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own	costs.
		, JOK
		LE COOK

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HOWARD EUGENE MCKIBBEN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-764-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
		MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stip	ulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talo	, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	hat t l	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Verm	ont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty is	to bear its own costs.
		H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	12
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
SANFORD MARION BOWEN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-772-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
Now on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.

	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO.
, and the second	ADD(IW) NO.
BILLY L. GABBARD,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-766-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	TSSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	.c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
•	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:		170 6 1000
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
JOE MONROE BERRY,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-784-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	h is mat ter be and is h	nereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudi	ce to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or n	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs	3.
	H. DALE CO	OK

22 1000

IN RE:	M-1417	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
JACK DALE SOPER, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. (No. 88-C-789-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDIO T. VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this 12	day of March	1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	. on of Dismissal W	ith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with preju	dice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own cos	sts.
		JUOK
	H. DALE	COOK

	1	
, 7		
1/		
1	•	•
C. F.	ŀ	
	·	

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
BUDDY EUGENE JONES, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-790-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
ORDER OF	DISMISSAL WITH PREJU	DICE

OF DEFENDANT VERMONT TALC,

NOW on this 12 day of Murch pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

·			!!,	į.	4
					of .
r r.	b	Z	<u>}</u>	a.	-

IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
C. JERRY PIERCE, et al.,		
Plaintiff,) }	
v.	No. 88-C-791-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUD ANT. VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	2 day of M470	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	nc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own c	osts.
	e de la companya de l	
	<u> </u>	13.

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	30 b2
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ELORES E. ENGLAND,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-805-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	う機 National Control
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Myrch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK

,	· ·
	en G
	01
	1 131

ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
JOSEPH WILLIAM NIGGEMANN,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	# . 	
v. ,	No. 88-C-817-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.

NOW on this 12 day of March, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		12
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
JACK DEAN GRANT, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-821-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	3	
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	i on of Dismissal With Pr	ejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HERE	BY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	th is matt er be and is hereby	y dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to	the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or relate	ed cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.	
		S COOK
	H. DALE COOK	<u></u>
	DISTRICT JUDGE	

FOR THE N	ORTHER DISTRICT OF URL	1/
IN RE:)	110 22 127
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
EUGENE FRANCIS DEEMS, et al.,	}	
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-822-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.		

ORDER OF DISHISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.

> H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

6546 000**K**

1,74				1
-		17	n .	• •
1 - 11 - 12 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 1	. 6	2		99

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEONARD HARLAN RIGGINS,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	. 한다. 1 - 1 전략 - 1 전략 - 1 전략
v.)	No. 88-C-832-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Musch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	i on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	oc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	s/H. T.A.
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:	DISTRICT OF ORLAHOMA
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JACK N. BENBROOK,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-833-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Mg/ch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	Y∰Y Y∰Y X∰Y
	H DAIR COOK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA	12
IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HENRY GEORGE GANDY, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-943-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.		
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DE	OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE FENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.	

NOW on this 12 day of March, 1990, pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

WHAT AT COOK

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
ROY ALVIN EAST, et al.,)		
Plaintiff, (
v.)	No. 88-C-941-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	(2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	
Defendants.)		
	MISSAL WITH PREJ	
NOW on this 12	day of Murc	h, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	., file d herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and	l is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same	e or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own	costs.
:		ACCOUNTED TOOK
	H. D	ALE COOK

	:	. " : "	ľ
(e e	1	2	

IN RE:) M-141 7	the state of the state of
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO	
J. M. ANDREWS, et al.,	>	
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-911-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDANT, VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this	12 day of Marc	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	a tion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t this mat ter be and	l is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Tale, Inc. , with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same	e or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own	costs.

FOR THE NORTH	SKA DISTRICT OF O	KLAHOMA 12
IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
CHARLIE HAROLD FRANCE,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)	The second secon	
v. (No. 88-C-909-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	1	
Defendants.)	The state of the s	
	EMISSAL WITH PREJ T. VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this 12	day of Mur	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul ati	on of Dismissal	. With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	h is mat ter be and	d is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with p	rejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same	e or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own	costs.
		NOC2 . 1. 17 . 1
	H. D	ALE COOK

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
TOMMY JOE AYRES, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-894-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	COOKK
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA IN RE: M-1417 ASBESTOS LITIGATION ASB(TW) NO. WALTER STEPHEN BROWN, et al., Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-885-C ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. 12 day of NOW on this _ pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s) of action, and each party is to bear its own costs. COCK

IN RE:		138 12 139
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
JOE D. HAWKINS, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	1	
v.)	No. 88-C-884-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	(11) (12) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13	
Defendants.)		
ORDER OF DEFENDA	IS MISSAL WITH PREJUI NT. VERMONT TALC, I	DICE NC.
NOW on this	day of Myrc	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	1761	
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	4.5 ⁴ -9-6	
against Defendant, Vermont!	Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future act	1 4 4	
of action, and each party i		
		A COK
	H. DAL	E COOK

100		£ :	li me	
174	n	Ł	2	io go Iodu

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RICHARD SCOTT WHITWORTH,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-875-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	- 1. (2017) - (2017) - (2017)
	HISSAL WITH PREJUDICE P. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Myrch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	ale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.

	3	2	i is Esser	
		2	-	
112 112		6	2	130

IN RE:		AND A COLUMN A SERVE
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	N-1417 ASB(TW) NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
JOHN AUSTIN LISH, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	
v.)	No. 88-C-870-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUI	
NOW on this $_{\perp}$	2 day of Marc	h, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	n c., file d herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own o	costs.
		esti CCOK
	1, 1, 1, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11	LE COOK
	DISTRI	ICT JUDGE

TOK THE WORLD	William Control of the Control of th	100 1 1000
IN RE:)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	چان کی در این از از این از این از این ا
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	World Links Links
KENNETH LEE BROWN,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	1944 1944 -	
v. (No. 88-C-855-0	2
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	- 54 - 155	
Defendants.)	1	
311111111111111111111111111111111111111	ISMISSAL WITH PREA NT. VERMONT TALC,	
NOW on this 12	L day of Mq	<u>nd</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	: ion of Dismissa	l With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	n c., file d herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be ar	nd is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with p	orejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the sam	ne or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bea r its own	n costs.
	1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1	
	15 44.0	DALE COOK TRICT JUDGE
	DIO.	TVICI OCDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
THOMAS EARL NIGH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-849-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont !	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

South In the Land

IN RE:		MON OF THE PARTY O
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
BOBBY JOE HULSEY, et al.,)	1.000 1.000 1.000	
Plaintiff,)		
v.)	No. 88-C-848-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	e territorio. Per esta de la companya de la compa	
Defendants.)		
	MISSAL WITH PREJUGATION OF TAIC,	
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of	<u>d</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, file d herein,	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and	l is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with pr	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own	costs.
		SOUTH AND THOSE
	н ра	TE COOK

्राप्त कर क्षित्र क्षित्र क्षेत्र स्थापन

FOR THE NORTH	ABRICE OF ORIE	in 12 mar
IN RE:	ar Santa a a m	Sand Company
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
DELMAR RAY)		
ANDERSON et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-838-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	And the second s	
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUD ANT: VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this $\perp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	2 day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	tion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same of	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own co	osts.
		LE COOK

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RAY STANLEY HARROD,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1009-C FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.)	= ₹% IMAN 21 1330
ORDER OF D OF DEFENDA	Jack C. Silver, Clerk PREJUDICES. DISTRICT COURT ANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 1	2 day of $M4r/h$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	R ₄ =
	1
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1117	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
JAMES E. WESTERVELT,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. }	No. 88-C-1008-C F I	$\mathbf{L}_{D}E$
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR	1990
Defendants.)	Jack C.	Silver, Clerk
	SHISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	Silver, Clerk RICT COURT
NOW on this 12	day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Pre	judice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREB	Y ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby	dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to	the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related	i cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.	
	A STATE OF THE STA	
	H. DALE COOK	

1 3	9 4	and a	
			2. 19
27.5	E	<u>2</u> 	7

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEO PRESTON STAFFORD,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v. ;	No. 88-C-1006-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NI, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	
	A CLOK
	H DATE COOK

8 10

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
PAUL FAHNESTOCK, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-997-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	chis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	'alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same or related cause(s)

of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.

1, 1	7	#	172
•	ľ	ה ה	
1119	0	_	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
W. R. KERBY, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-995-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SNISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
NOW on this 12	day of Merch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	chis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	inger Tige
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

FOR THE NORTHE	N DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
BILL JOE BALDRIDGE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	전화 1명
v.)	No. 88-C-992-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	[] (本) <u>4</u>] 大概
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	AND COCK

 100		ŗ	1491.4	
, . , 1.,}	f)	Į.	2	in an Kaba

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
BILLY E. RENTFROW,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v. (No. 88-C-982-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	- 실택 - 12급	
Defendants.)		
 	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDI II, VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this 12	day of Nurch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal N	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	t his mat ter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Calc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same of	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own co	osts.
	- 1/2 - 1/2 - 1/2	r T
	H. DALI	COOK

B

IN RE:	그래
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WOODROW L. STANLEY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-969-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	A COOK
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

			. ,
eg re Jan	子	1.	

IN RE:		MAZI SECTION OF THE S
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
ALBERT MERLE JONES,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-965-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUD T. VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this 12	day of Mgrc	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., file d herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and i	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same of	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own co	osts.
		TO LAIT COOK
	H DATI	T COOK

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA		
IN RE:		refere 🕊 i — result.
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
ROBERT J. GANDY, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-960-C	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is he	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudic	e to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or re	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.	
	The second secon	
	1 (144) 1 (144)	W. Carry

7.,	1	5	n march K	
. J.	۲.	2	<u>7</u>	in did Livin

IN RE:	- 151 - 151 - 2010 - 1017 L. 151 - 2011 - 1017 L. 151
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES WADE SMITH,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-955-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that to	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont To	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	TANDER OF THE TRANSPORT
	H. DALE COOK

		f	
Z	2		

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
OPAL CATHERINE MATTHEWS,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-953-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	<u>day of Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	(1) 	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO	
WILLIAM JACKSON WYNN, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		
v.	No. 88-C-951	-c
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	A. A.	
Defendants.) •	
	DISMISSAL WITH PR ANT. VERMONT TALA	
NOW on this	2 day of M4	<u>rch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismiss	al With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed hereir	, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be	and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with	prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac		
of action, and each party	en e	
		THE PARE COOK
		DALE COOK

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CLAUDE ELVIN BEST,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. {	No. 88-C-1077-C MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH NOBEL CAVIN,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. (No. 88-C-1061-C MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DISE OF DEFENDANT	ITSSAL WITH PREJUDICE . VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	IN THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOIE RAY SHARP, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1065-C MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	- COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	
	COOK
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1 () [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
WILLIAM W. LANDERS,) et al.,)		FILED
Plaintiff,) v.)	No. 88-C-1059-C	MAR & 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	・ 関係なり ・ はない ・ 1 を発表し ・ 1 を発表し	
ORDER OF D OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUD ANT. VERMONT TALC, IN	ICE IC.
NOW on this		.
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	n c., file d herein, II	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and :	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same of	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	- 1.000 j.	
		MARIE COOK
	H. DALI DISTRIC	COOK T JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES MARVIN LEE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILET
v. ,	MO 99-C-1051-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	TOOK
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DANIEL A. INMAN, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-1049-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR & 1390
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF D OF DEFENDE	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this \bot	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)
DAVE EDWARD CHARLOE, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1035-C FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 紅 1990
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ORDER OF OF DEFENDER	Jack C. SILVER, COURT DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICEU.S. DISTRICT COURT DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $_\bot$	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	a tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	· No Admits - No Admits
-	
	The state of the s
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:			
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW)	NO	
WILLIAM DEAN DE MOSS, et al.,			
Plaintiff,			FILED
v.) No. 88-	C-1033-C	MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,			lank C Silver Clark
Defendants.	land the state of		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WI DANT. VERMON	TH PREJUDICE TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $_$	2 day of	Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Di	smissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	inc., filed h	nerein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter	be and is he	reby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc.,	with prejudice	e to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on t	the same or re	lated cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear	its own costs.	
		$e^{f^{(k)}}$	
	1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	H. DALE COO	
		DISTRICT JU	DGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JAMES D. COUNTRYMAN,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,)	F 1 1200
v. j	No. 88-C-1031-C MAR 64 1550
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
- 	
<u> </u>	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) 1.45 1.45 1.45 1.45 1.45 1.45 1.45 1.45
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONALD LEE COX, et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1025-C FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 24 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF D OF DEFENDA	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this I	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipular	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party :	is to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DILLON PRICE PATTERSON,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	No. 88-C-1022-CF I L E D MAR 21 1990
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDA	Jack C. Silver, Clerk ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ISTRICT COURT NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:	Services The services of the
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM ERNEST BROWN,) et al.,)	TLED
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 23 1990
v. j	Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC. day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	•/11
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
FRED THOMAS SENNETT,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-1219-C FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICES. DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
Now on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	AND THE COOK
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ALVIN F. HELTZEL,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. j	No. 88-C-1204-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR & 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:)		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	Ś	ASB(TW) NO	
KENNETH E. STRICKLAND, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,)		FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1192-C	MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.) :	THE STATE OF THE S	
		MISSAL WITH PREJUD T. VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this _	12	day of March	1, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	ılati	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc	., filed herein, II	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at t	his matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt T	alc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future	acti	on(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty i	s to bear its own c	osts.
			7.0007
		 -	E COOK CT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
DONALD E. MCCORD, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1273-C FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Much, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
WILLARD JOHNSON MATHIS,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	FILED	
v.)	No. 88-C-1271-C MAR 22 1990	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	//A Jack C. Silver, Clerk	
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,	
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of	
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,	
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed	
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing	
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)	
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.	
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) No
RICHARD DONALD MARTIN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1270-C MAR 24 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SNISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
PAUL R. WITTE, et al.,)	・ (本語) - 1
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-1299-C FILED
v. (No. 88-C-1299-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAK & 1330
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NI. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	chis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:	M-141 7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
ALVIN E. ROBINSON,) et al.,)		FILED
Plaintiff,		MAR 21 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1294-C	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		0.0.
Defendants.)		
	I SMISSAL WITH PREJUI NT. VERMONT TALC, I	
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of Merc	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	i on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., file d herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with pre	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	i s to bear its own	costs.
		7008 000 K
	と 2 機能の 人	LE COOK ICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND LEROY RHODES,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1302-C MAR 3 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT GODGE

IN RE:	3-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JAMES ALVIN VINCENT,) et al.,)	FILET
Plaintiff,	MAR 23 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1311-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont :	ralc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISIKICI OODGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
HOWARD WAYNE HOOVER,) et al.,) Plaintiff,) v.)	No. 88-C-1266-C	FILED MAR 22 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)		
	PISMISSAL WITH PREJUD ANT. VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	n c., file d herein, II	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bea r its own c	osts.
		Unit watercook
	H. DAL	E COOK

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND L. BARNETT,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1252-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 21 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	5/11. TM 11. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17. 17.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
FRANK H. HARRIS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	1
v.	No. 88-C-1078-C FILEI
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR & 1990
Defendants.)	
	Jack C. Silver, Clerk SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	en de la colonia de la coloni
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JAMES A. MILLER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1079-C FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 27 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	U.S. DISTRICT COURT TESSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	H DALE COOK

IN RE:	M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND C. MERIT, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1097-C FILE
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 47 1990
Defendants.)	lock C. ou
 	Jack C. Silver, Clerk DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COUR ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $_{\perp}$	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	8-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CLIFFORD J. STONER,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 21 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1101-C Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISNISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Mych, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	- 19
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ARTHUR LEE MILLER,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1105-C MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	h is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T a	ale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	<u></u>
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	- 1 전경 - 1 전경 - 1 전설
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN W. MCVAY, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-1109-C FIEEI
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 21 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Cler
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COUP NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	t his mat ter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	
	- 1987 - 1987 - 488
	H. DALE COOK

M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
No. 88-C-1121-C F I L E D MAR 2 1990
MAR 2 1990
Jack C. Silver, Clerk ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DISTRICT COURT NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
2 day of March , 1990,
ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
th is matter be and is hereby dismissed
Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
s to bear its own costs.
H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JACK SHERMAN MCCOY,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.)	N= 00 0 1100 0
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 1	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	H DALE COOK

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ELMER RAY ATKINSON,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1136-C MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
1.00年。	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	(f. 1) Response to the second of the second
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT JUDGE
:	
·	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JAMES W. ELDRIDGE,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1140-C MAR 27 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	tools C. Silver Clork
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this I	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	Table Dear Tes own Coses.
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT HARRY WHITE,) et al.,) Plaintiff,) V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	FILED No. 88-C-1153-C MAR 21 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{2}$	day of $March$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	l on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	시간 - 수.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
GORDON HOBART LEE, JR.,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1154-C
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 21 1990
) Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant. Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
·	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
_	
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	୍ର ପ ୍ର
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH JONATHAN PENNOCK,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. į	No. 88-C-1163-C MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAK 🚄 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	10
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RICHARD LEE PLILER, et al., Plaintiff, V. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants.	FILED MAR 2 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
pursuant to the Stipulation Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc. ADJUDGED and DECREED that the against Defendant, Vermont Ta	day of Murch, 1990, on of Dismissal With Prejudice of filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, is matter be and is hereby dismissed ic, Inc., with prejudice to the filing on(s) on the same or related cause(s) to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROGER D. CULVER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1179-CF I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 21 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
order of dis of defendant	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HARSE EDWARD WATERS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1180-C MAR 27 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	min (Constitution of the Constitution of the C
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	s/H. Final Duck
	H. DALE COOK

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WALDRON STANLEY COWLEY,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1186-C MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	WISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	ranger i de la companya de la compa Na companya de la co

H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	2417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JAMES E. BRUCE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-1188-C FILED
Defendants.)	MAR 2 1990
ORDER OF DISM OF DEFENDANT	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICS. DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	et Marie de la Companya de la Companya Marie de la Companya
	H DALE COOK

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	-
GEORGE WALKER CHANEY,) et al.,)		
Plaintiff,	and the second s	FILEI
v.	No. 88-C-1189-C	MAR 2 1999
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal Wit	h Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	h is matt er be and is	hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejud	ice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or	related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own cost	s.
	- 1975 - 1975 - 1975	
	H. DALE C	OOK

IN RE:	- (2-14) - 10 - 함:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
EMMET N. HOLLON, et al.,) et al.,) Plaintiff,) v.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-1314-C	FILE I MAR 21 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk J.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	y man	
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	#14 ¹⁷	
against Defendant, Vermont T	The control of the co	
of any other or future acti		
of action, and each party is		. ,
		1 LE COOK
	H. DALE COOK	

IN RE:	en e	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
WILLIAM TAYLOR FLINT,) et al.,)	1000	
Plaintiff,)		FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1315-C	MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	IS MISSA L WITH PREJUDI NT. VERMONT TALC, INC	
NOW on this 12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal W	lith Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same o	r related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own co	sts.
	**************************************	jana and suk
	H. DALE	COOK
	DISTRIC	T JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MICHAEL L. DANNELS; KARLA D. DANNELS; COUNTY TREASURER, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; and BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Tulsa County, Oklahoma,

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 1 2 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 90-C-0129-E

ORDER

Upon the Motion of the United States of America acting on behalf of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs by Tony M. Graham, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Nancy Nesbitt Blevins, Assistant United States Attorney, to which there are no objections, it is hereby ORDERED that this action shall be dismissed without prejudice.

Dated this 9th day of Mach, 1990.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

TONY M. GRAHAM

United States Attorney

NANCY NESBITT BLEVINS, OBA #6634 Assistant United States Attorney

3600 United States Courthouse

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

(918) 581-7463

IN RE:	%-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
BENTLEY F. REISCH,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	lack C. St. C. J.
v.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SNISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOM/S R. DRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT GODGE

IN RE:) M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
LAROY BATTENFIELD, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-1388-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	at Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y i s to bear its own costs.
	S R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
AFTON A. HARRISON,) et al.,)	FILEI
Plaintiff,)	11000
v.)	No. 88-C-1390-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jock C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR
Defendants.)	
- 79	TSSAL WITH PREJUDICE . VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of
pursuant to the Stipulatic	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	n brett
- 1 - 2 - 2 - 3	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) 14-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
LAWRENCE HOWARD, et al.,)
Plaintiff,
v. Ro. 88-C-1391-B FILE I
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,
Defendants.) Jack C. Silver, Clear U.S. DISTRICT COU
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12 day of $Mulih$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.
s/ Thomas a distribution of the second of th
THOMAS R. BRETT
DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M=14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
ALVIS ELWYN KERBY,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-1392-B FILED MAR 2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1392-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Simer, OURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	W-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
LARRY J. NORTH, et al.,)	
Plaintiff, (FILED
v. {	No. 88-C-1393-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	0.3. 2.31.
	WISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this <u>12</u>	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GILBERT ADRIAN HARRIS,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 12 1990
Plaintiff,	MAR 2 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-13666BC. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISHISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{7}{2}$ day of $\frac{14rch}{1}$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	s/ Thomas R. Taliff

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
J. B. JONES, et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 6 1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-1397-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DIDTOUT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Musch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
·	s/ the total the same of the s
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

417
ASB(TW) NO
FILED No. 88-C-1403-B MAR 6 1990
No. 88-C-1403-B MAR 2 1990
Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
day of M1/1/h, 1990,
of Dismissal With Prejudice of
filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
s matter be and is hereby dismissed
c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
(s) on the same or related cause(s)
bear its own costs.
et mome a filth
THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

in RE:	**************************************
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MARION D. TRONE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FIL2
v.)	No. 88-C-1408-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. S'Iver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	#-1417 #5B(TW) NO
HUBERT EUGENE WALKER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED MAR L ² 1090
v. (No. 88-C-1409-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTACL COURT
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In-	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	; R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	***
	ASB(TW) NO
ELLEN BERNICE WILSON,) et al.,	MAR 62-1990
Plaintiff,	Jack C. Swit Court U.S. DISTRICT COURT
v.)	No. 88-C-1411-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{}$	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is t	o bear its own costs.
	N CHOMAS B. CAPT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
·	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM WYRICK, et al.,)	F 1 12,000
Plaintiff,	MAR & WAR
v.)	No. 88-C-1412-B C. S. D.S. D.S. D.S. D.S. D.S. D.S. D.S
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. CIS
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE P. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	n is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LONNIE EARL DAVIS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	жо. 88-с-700-В FILED MAR & 1990
v. ()	No. 88-C-700-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	. c silver Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COUR
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Calc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
THOMAS EDWARD BASS,) et al.,)	r t t E D
Plaintiff,)	FITED MAR 2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-746-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
-	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EUGENE ELLIS HARRIS,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	MAR 2 1990
v.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	U.S. 2
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	rale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	8-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
	FILL
EDWARD L. MCKEE, et al.,)	1990
Plaintiff,)	MAR 22 1000
;	No. 88-C-820-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk
v.)	No. 88-C-820-B Jack C. SIVELY COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Pale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
, <u>-</u> -	10 R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	%-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT EUGENE PETERNELL,) et al.,)	FILED MAR \$\frac{1}{2} 1990
Plaintiff,)	MAR 2 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-823-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	\mathcal{L}_{q} , \mathcal{L}_{q}
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	<u>day of Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. DRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT DUDGE

IN RE:	M-141 7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	_
HAROLD MAHAN, et al.,)		FILED MAR 经1990
Plaintiff,)		MAR 22 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-826-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		U.S. DIG.
Defendants.)		
¥======	DI SMISSAL W ITH PREJUDI ANT, VERMONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	12 day of Murc	<u>ļ, </u>
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and i	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	. Tale, Inc., with prej	udice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same of	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own c	osts.
	% ************************************	TUDIMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS	R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EARL HAROLD FOSS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	10. 88-C-834-B I L E D MAR 22 1990 MAR Clerk
v. ,	No. 88-C-834-B MAR 22 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	Jock C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	5/ THOM 13 2, SMITH
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1977 1767 1884	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
JERRY REED CAVIN,) et al.,)	1	
Plaintiff,		FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-930-B	MAR 25 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Selfer Till Selfer	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	S HISSAL WITH PREJU NT. VERMONT TALC, I	
NOW on this 12	day of Mure	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	o c., file d herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with pro	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party :	i s to be ar its own	costs.
	0/100	MAS R. BRETT
		S R. BRETT ICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES EMMETT COYNE, et al.,	} - TD
Plaintiff,	FILED No. 88-C-940-BMAR 22 1990 Silver, Clerk
v.) No. 88-C-940-BMAR
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	j U.S. Dio
OF DEFEN	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Much, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	SARVOYAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES EUGENE STANLEY, et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,) MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1034-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE UDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bea r its own costs.
	S/ THOM, IS IN AREA
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
EARL MORRIS OLEMAN, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-744-B FILED MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-744-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Clerk
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC. INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, 1	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	etion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	M-14 17	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	7.30	ASB(TW) NO	
JUNIOR LEROY MASHBURN, et al.,) :		FILED
Plaintiff,	\	()) (. - 2년 - 2년	MAR 2 1990
v.		No. 88-C-798-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	\	- Sir - Ar 	U.S. DISTRICT COOK
Defendants.	3		
	-1190	VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12	day of March	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lat ion	of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	file d herein, IT IS I	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t thi	s matt er be and is he	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Tall	, Inc., with prejudic	e to the filing
of any other or future a	ction	(s) on the same or re	elated cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is t	to bear its own costs	•
	1 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /	ga in Simple and a second seco	ETT
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	THOMAS R. DISTRICT JU	

IN RE:	W-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
LELAND WEBSTER KAHLER,) et al.,)	FILED MAR & 1990
Plaintiff,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
v. (No. 88-C-807-B Jack C. Silver, U.S. DISTRICT COUR
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	raic, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GEORGE DAVID KASTEN,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff, (MAR 22 1930
v.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	0.0
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of M4rch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	ralc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	N-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RICHARD KEITH HUNT,) et al.,)	F D
Plaintiff,	FILED MAR 2 1390
v. (
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTANCE
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE P. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of My-ch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	of momes rubret
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION		SB(TW) NO
JACK J. PHILLIPS, et al.	,)	
Plaintiff,		- T F D
v.		ю. 88-с-888-В FILED MAR 22 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Defendants.		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF OF DEFE		SAL WITH PREJUDICE
NOW on this $_$	12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	at this	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Talc ,	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	acti on (s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is te	bear its own costs.
		S/ YEOMAS R. BRETT
		THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
VERNA BRADEN, et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. {	мо. 88-с-905-в MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	ale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.cn(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ WICHUR R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	K-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH M. BRADY,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. (No. 88-C-937-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	lack C Silver Ct 1
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDA	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
,	
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
EUGENE WILLIAM STITCH,		
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-950-В FILED MAR 2 1990	
v. (No. 88-C-950-B	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,	
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of	
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,	
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed	
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing	
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)	
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.	
	CATHOMAS R. BRETT	
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE	

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
J. D. WARD, et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 22 1990
v. }	No. 88-C-980-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of <u>Nyrch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	th is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JEFF L. LOWE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. (No. 88-C-994-B MAR 25 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
Now on this 12	_ day of Mgrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

ASBESTOS LITIGATION No. 88-C-1139-B MAR		17
Plaintiff, v. No. 88-C-1139-B MAR 2 1990 ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of 1990 pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of Macch, 1999 pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE	BLACK, et al.,)	- T T D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants. ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this 12 day of Macch, 1999 pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE	Cutwint :	FILED
Defendants.) ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT. VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this, 1990 pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	No.	88-C-1139-B MAR 🙋 1990
ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this, day of, 199 pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE	OR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Check
OF DEFENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC. NOW on this, day of	Defendants.)	0.3. 270
pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE	- 5.7 miles (1.5 miles	
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE	NOW on this 12 day	of Murch, 1990,
	ant to the Stipulation of	Dismissal With Prejudice of
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this matter be and is hereby dismiss	ndant, Vermont Talc, Inc., file	ed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
	OGED and DECREED that this mat	tter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the fili	nst Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	ac., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action(s) on the same or related cause(ny other or future action(s)	on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is to bear its own costs.	ction, and each party is to be	ar its own costs.
ey miologia R. Brett		S/ WIOLIAG R. BRETT
THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE		

IN RE:) M-14 17		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NO	
ROBERT L. BLAYDES, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,			
v.	No. 88-0	C-1201-B	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		TED	
Defendants.		FILED 1990	
ORDER O	F DISMISSAL WI	TH PREFUDICE WEW	
OF DEF	12 day of	TALC, INC. Clerk C. Silver Clerk Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT 1996	T O,
pursuant to the Stip	ulat ion of Di	ismissal With Prejudice	of
Defendant, Vermont Talo	, Inc., fi led h	nerein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERE	D,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	nat this mat ter	r be and is hereby dismiss	ed
against Defendant, Verm	ont Tale, Inc.,	with prejudice to the fili:	ng
of any other or future	action(s) on t	the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty is to bear	its own costs.	

STATE OF R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M-1417	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO	
JAMES ARTHUR MCAFFREY, et al.,		FILED
Plaintiff,		MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1272-B	Jack C. Silver, Clark
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.		
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDING TALC, I	
NOW on this	2 day of Marc	<u>h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, I	r is hereby ordered,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bea r its own o	costs.
		CS P. DRETT
	- Pession	R. BRETT

IN RE:	1-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DELMAR WAYNE HOLDEN,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR & 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1318-B Jode C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
7. 1	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Mhrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
أتي	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
THOMAS E. GRANT, et al.,)	FIL 2 1390
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1258-B Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. Die
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE P. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	n is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
 	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CLAUDE A. HALE, et al.,)	FILE
Plaintiff,	12 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1259-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Just 1 Styler, Clerk U.S. District COUR
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	OR DRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM HARVEY JONES,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED MAR 2 1390
v. (No. 88-C-1267-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants. j	
ORDER OF DI SM <u>OF DEFENDANT</u>	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{1}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	• of Dismissal With Prejudice of
The state of the s	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
Telephone	
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
· <u></u>	*************************************

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BILLIE LOUIS MILLER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED NO. 88-C-1275-B MAR 2 1390
v. (No. 88-C-1275-B MAR 2 1390
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	0.3. Dio
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	16, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	SJULIOMAS R. BRETT
#	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	ARREST DISTRICT OFFICE

IN RE:	M-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
HASKELL N. MURPHY,) et al.,	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	
v. (No. 88-C-1291-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ TUOMIO R. CREIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	N-1417 ASB(TW) NO
GLEN WILLIAM RANDALL,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	MAR 22. 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-1292-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	VISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS IL LALIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	8-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
MELVIN LEROY SIMMONS,) et al.,)	FILE I MAR & 1990
Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
v. (No. 88-C-1295-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	IISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	PARTY PARTY
; ; ;	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM E. WHITEHEAD, et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 4 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1297-Bjack C. Stiver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	}
Defendants.	;
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of MRNCh , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	l ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bea r its own costs.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	TUOMAC D ROPTOT

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
HAROLD CURTIS ROBERTS, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-1313-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
	F DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this _	12 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	al ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	ont Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty is to bea r its own costs.
	S/TIONAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HENRY DALE FOSTER,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-1182-B
v. (No. 88-C-1182-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	(部) 27 38 しい しい しい しい しい しい しい しい
Defendants.)	
	TISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
. . -	j m bratt
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
RICHARD ELLIS BARNES, et al.,	} FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 22 1990
v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) No. 88-C-1187-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.	U.S. DISTRICT COURT)
	P DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bea r its own costs.
	A DRE tt
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	№-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
ELMER DEEWITT WYNN,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-с-1190-в ГІСЕГ
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	1990
Defendants.)	の Application Styler, Clerk
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISKUT COURT T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont I	Pale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	A THOMAS CONTRACTOR
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	?
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
ARTHUR WAYNE HALLAM, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.) No. 88-C-1191-B. T. T.
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-1191-B. T T E D
Defendants.	i Curk
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of $March$, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	l ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs.
	A DRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT DUDGE

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
THEODORE SPARLIN, et al.	,
Plaintiff,	
v.	} No. 88-C-1194-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Mar 2 1990
Defendants.	Jock C. Silver, Clerk
	U.S. DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y i s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS IL LILLI
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	- FILED
CHARLES E. SCHUPBACH,) et al.,)		MAR 1990
Plaintiff,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR T
v. (No. 88-C-1203-B	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUIT. VERMONT TALC, I	
NOW on this 12	day of Marc	<u>4</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	file d herein, I	T IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	ale, Inc., with pre	ejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to be ar its own	costs.
	0/7	KOMMAS R. BRETT
	1. 2506 4.	S R. BRETT ICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
LESLIE O. NELSON, et al.,	FILED MAR 2 1930
Plaintiff,	Clerk
v.	Jack C. STATE COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	la tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at this mat ter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to be ar its own costs.
	MANA U.R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DONALD LEE CHENOWETH,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-1207-B FILED MAR 22 1993
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	en er, Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Street, Clerk U.S. DISTINCT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DAN MICHAEL ESKRIDGE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	BILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1217-B F T L B D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. St. or, Cork
Defendants.)	Jack C. COURT U.S. DIST. OF COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	OF THE RESERVE OF THE
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES JOHN BUTTON,) et al.,)	- + F D
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-1253-B MAR 2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1253-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clock U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. District
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Mg/h , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BOBBY RAY CRANE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILEL
v. ,	No. 88-C-1254-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE L. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	et typing r. brett
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	N-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CLARENCE H. SCHULTE,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 经1990
Plaintiff,	and the second s
v. }	No. 88-C-1129-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	(화선 번째 기 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전
ORDER OF DISM OF DEFENDANT.	VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
· ab	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	CATTOMAS R. TREIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
BILLIE M. ROBERTSON,) et al.,)	F I L E D MAR 27 1990
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1134-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of March, 1990,
	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talo, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to be ar its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	8–141 7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
NAYDEEN LADUKE, et al.,)		
Plaintiff,		
v.)	No. 88-C-162-B	FILED MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		12 1990
Defendants.)		
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this	2 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS I	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is he	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudic	e to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or re	elated cause(s)
of action, and each party	. (3.9 g/mg/s)	
	- 1 (1) 	
	S/ THOMAS	S R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. DISTRICT JU	

IN RE:) N=1417	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO	
CLIFFORD RAY WHITEHEAD, et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,		MAR 2 1990
v.) No. 88-C-1164-B	1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
 	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
NOW on this	12 day of Murch	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lat ion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS	HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is h	ereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, In c., with prejudic	ce to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or r	elated cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bea r its own costs	
		in. Creff
	THOMAS R.	BRETT

IN RE:)	M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	5	ASB(TW) NO
DANIEL JOE TEDLOCK, et al.,)))	
Plaintiff,	į	T D
v.))	мо. 88-с-1165-В Е I I В 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	THE PARTY OF THE P
Defendants.	Ś	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
		Jack C. STOT COURT
NOW on this _	12	day of M4.6h, 1990,
		n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc	, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	on t Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	actio	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par	ty is	to bear its own costs.
		S. T.
		THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	8–141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
MARVIN GRESS, et al.,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.)	№. 88- C-1166-В 12 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	,
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:)) M-141	7	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(Tr	•	
JOE PATRICK MONTGOMERY, et al.,)		FILED MAR 22 1990
Plaintiff,			Jack C. Silver, Clerk
v.) No. 8	8-C-1169-B	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	- 1		
Defendants.			
		WITH PREJUD ONT TALC, IN	
NOW on this	/2day	of Marc	<u>. h</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	ation of	Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., file	d herein, II	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this mat	ter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Tale, Inc	., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) o	n the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bea	r its own c	osts.
		THOMAS	R. BRETT
	1.5795	TUOLING	1/ • TIVITT

IN RE:	M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JACKIE BERNARD RANSOM,) et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR & 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-1173-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this \bot	2 day of March, 1990,
	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
· 138	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EDWIN OSCAR KERLEY,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1178-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAK C. 1330
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is t	bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. DRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
Linguist Control of the Control of	DIDINIO DOUGH

IN RE:	
	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES A. COOK, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-1181-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	強調 Ja ok C Siker, Clerk
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICEUS DESTRUCTIONS VERMONT TALE, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	• bear its own costs.
	Table 200 BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	4-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	SB(TW) NO
WILBURN FOLSOM, JR.,) et al.,	F. D
Plaintiff,	1 1 E D 10. 88-C-1155-B 1390 S. W. Clerk
v. ,	10. 88-C-1155-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jock C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	0.3 .
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	o bear its own costs.
	型型 S/THOWAL ALL LAN

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
- E D
FILZ
FILED No. 88-C-1156-B MAR 2 1990 NO. 88-C-1156-B MAR 2 1990
Jack C. Styer, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
U.S. DISTINCT
ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
day of $Me-ch$, 1990,
n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
is matter be and is hereby dismissed
lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
to bear its own costs.
DRETT
THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	8-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
PATRICK MICHAEL PRICE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	No. 88-C-1161-B FILED MAR \$2 1990
v. }	No. 88-C-1161-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	The Silver Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	n is matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	A POST
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
- A Table 1	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EDWARD ALLEN MCFALL,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 88-C-1162-B FILED 12 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
··· 30.00G	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of // // // / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale	. Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is t	o bear its own costs.
	PATHODAG R. DOETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	3.4.1.7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DANNY BILL THOMPSON,) et al.,	FI 1390
Plaintiff,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
v. (No. 88-C-1151-BU.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	A DRITT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN RICHARD FAHNESTOCK,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	
v. (No. 88-C-1148-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-1148-B FILED MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Chark Clark
ORDER OF DIS	Jack C. STOT COURT INC. DISTRICT COURT IN VERMONT TALC, INC.
	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	s/ midmis R. Daill
	THOMAS R. BRETT

in re:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO I L E D
STANLEY C. SHAFER,	
et al.,)))))))))))))))))))	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
v. }	No. 88-C-1143-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs. The ENERT
	ey worms a saft
্র -	THOMAS B BRETT

IN RE:	1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
TOM J. BINGHAM, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.) No. 88-C-1138-B F
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Mo. 88-C-1138-B F I L E D MAR 2 1990
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bea r its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BASIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LAUREN EDWARD JOHNSON,) et al.,	FILED MAR 台1990
Plaintiff,)	MAR 2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1135-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Sheer COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
. A. 安徽湖市	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	o bear its own costs.
	S/ TIONID R. TIDE
	THOMAS R BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	N-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ERNEST L. ABLE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	F D
v.)	No. 88-C-1126-B FILED MAR 2 1390
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	* 1980 * 1980
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $-/2$	day of Musch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	th is matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	<pre>ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)</pre>
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ TWOMANS R. GRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	N=1417 ASB(TW) NO
ELBERT M. SANDERS,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,)	Clent
v.)	No. 88-C-1127-B Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COUR
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	0.3. 0.9
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	i on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	th is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	C/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	S/THOMAS CRO BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	-1417
. it says	SB(TW) NO
CHARLES RICHARD OLSON,) et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,)	MAR 2 1990
v. į	69-C-1110-D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is t	bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	%-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	ASB(TW) NO
ADOLPH F. WALSER, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1122-B FILT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 42 1990
Defendants.	Jack C. Silve, U.S. DISTRICT COUNT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	A CRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:) M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
LLOYD W. FORSON, et al.,	}
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-1060-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR CA 1990
Defendants.) Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
NOW on this	12 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	nt Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bea r its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:) 4-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
EDWIN ELLIS RIDDLE, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	10. 88-C-1064-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.) J.S. 2181
*	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lati on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at th is matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs: "" R. BRETT
•	
	STRUMS West

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	%-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DONALD DALE HUTSON,) et al.,)	T F D
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1081-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. Diotis
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	ELTIONES DE DOETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)
EVERETTE D. PRICE, et al., Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-1108-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.) DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
	DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Mg/ch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermor	t Talo, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to bear its own costs.
	CONTROLLAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	1-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
EARL DEAN WILCOX,) et al.,	FILED 122 1990
Plaintiff,)	MAR £ 1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-1107-B Jack C. Silver, Clark COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. SWAI, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In-	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	th is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	3 R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	V-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES R. COOPER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	№. 88-C-1100-B Р I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT INT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	2 day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	A BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	M−14 17	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
MARION D. ALLGOOD et al.,)		D
Plaintiff,)		FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-1096-B	FILED MAR 2 1390
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)		U.S. District
	IS MISSAL WITH PREJUC NT. VERMONT TALC, I	
NOW on this	2 day of	<u>uh</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	n c., file d herein, I	r is hereby ordered,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	i s to be ar its own o	costs.
		MODIAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS	R. BRETT

IN RE:)
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
ALFRED CHARLES HALL, et al., Plaintiff,	FILED No. 88-C-1085-B MAR 2 1990
v.	No. 88-C-1085-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
	F DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this _	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	ont Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each par-	ty is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	6-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
WILBUR LOUIS CROW,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	мо. 88-с-1083-ВГ Т L E D MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.)	- Clerk
ORDER OF DO OF DEFENDA	Jack C. STORT Jack C. STORT OURT ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDITE DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:) ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
PAUL O. COMPTON, et al.,) Plaintiff,) V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	FILED MAR 2 1990 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDAN NOW on this 12	shissal with PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC. day of Macch, 1990,
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	<pre>ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, this matter be and is hereby dismissed</pre>
	ralc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing ion(s) on the same or related cause(s) is to bear its own costs.
of accion, and caon party 2	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM MERLE SWEETEN, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-1052-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Shier, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.) (J.S. District)
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NOANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	cy is to bea r its own costs.
	(大型では 5.0 U.D.T.)
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-1417 SB(TW) NO
EDWARD AARON GARRITSON,) et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR & 1990
v.)	6. 88-C-1048-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is t	bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
1 0 0	NTCMPTOM TUNCE

E with a second of miles

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO. FILED
DOUGLAS ALLEN WILLIAMS,) et al.,)	MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
v. (No. 88-C-1046-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ITSSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	TO THE STATE OF TH
:	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LARRY WAYNE KUHN, et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 22 1990
v.	Ro. 88-C-1038-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	le, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
:: :	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
BOBBY WAYNE BROWN, et al.,	erred
Plaintiff,	FILED MAR 2 1990
v.) "
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Strer, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of Myrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
<u></u>	
	gingones R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	k -1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION		ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT EUGENE BURROWS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)))	
v.		No. 88-C-1030-B F I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	- 100 mg	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.	5	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	17.1998	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lat ion	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	at thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	acti on	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is t	to bear its own costs.
	Position 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (R. BRETT
	1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (2)	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	¥-1417
	ASB(TW) NO
GERALD D. KEITH, et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 22 1990
v. (No. 88-C-1027-B MAR 27 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
3000	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	o bear its own costs.
	symping a little
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	3-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND E. BARGER,) et al.,)	FILE
Plaintiff,	- 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
v.	No. 88-C-1024-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Cler U.S. DISTRICT COUR
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\sqrt{2}$	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	i s to bear its own costs.
	n de Sr eil
	THOMAS R. BRETT

1	
ASB(TW) NO	
F	I L E D MAR 2 1990
Jack	C. Silver, Clerk
No. 88 -C-1021-B U.S.	DISTRICT COURT
ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.	
2 day of Murch	, 1990,
t ion of Dismissal With	Prejudice of
nc., file d herein, IT IS H	EREBY ORDERED,
this matter be and is her	reby dismissed
Talc, Inc., with prejudice	e to the filing
tion(s) on the same or re	lated cause(s)
is to bear its own costs.	
	n DRETT
. A . C	
	No. 88-C-1021-B Jack U.S. ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT VERMONT TALC, INC. day of Much cion of Dismissal With nc., filed herein, IT IS H this matter be and is her Talc, Inc., with prejudice cion(s) on the same or re-

IN RE:	1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
BILLY JOE GOLD, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	- T E D
v. (No. 88-C-1019-B F
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	No. 88-C-1019-B F T L E D MAR 是 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Styer, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s mat ter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	ey tijonus r. slett
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	%-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
ORLEY FREEMAN LAWSON,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-1010-B FILE
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 🕰 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Cital U.S. DISTRICT COUR
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	ld, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	ST MICHAELS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
GEORGE KENNETH KAYS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v. (No. 88-C-1005-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
1,0	U.S. DISTRICT COURT T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	41 7
The state of the s	ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT DALE ROPP, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILEI
v. (No. 88-C-1004-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silve r, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COUR
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that this	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Talk	Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is t	o bear its own costs.
	SITACINIS TARAN

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	,	ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES S. JONES, et al.,		
Plaintiff,		No. 88-C-1003-B FILED MAR 4 1390
v.		No. 88-C-1003-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,		Late C Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
		ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this _	12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	at thi	s matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Tal	e, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	cy is	to bear its own costs.
		S/ TLOM S W SKLIF
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
SHERMAN RADFORD, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. }	No. 88-C-1002-B F I L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.)	
	Jack C. Silver, Clerk SHISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DISTRICT COURT NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Falc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	la to bear its own costs.
	017001102 10011
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

S/ TRIDAVIS R. EXETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT C. SPRINKLE,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	Wo. 88-C-996-В FILED MAR 2 1990
v. (No. 88-C-996-B MAR 经 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. STROT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
1000	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	bea r its own costs.
	Mitwork of all erett Mitwork of all erett
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	8-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DONALD LEE KARR, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v. ,	No. 88-C-991-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR & 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
order of dis <u>of defendan</u>	U.S. DISTRICT COURT WISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ VIIDNAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ALBERT E. BREWSTER, JR.,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	
v. į	No. 88-C-989-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 21 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
	U.S. DISTRICT COURT IN ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	<u>day</u> of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	. filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	in a. erett
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	DISTRICT DODGE

) 1-1417
) ASB(TW) NO
FILED
No. 88-C-985-B MAR 2 1990
Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT
) U.S. Dioimes
F DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ENDANT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
12 day of March , 1990,
ılati on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
, Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
ont Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
ty is to bear its own costs.
COMMINS R. BRETT
THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WILLIAM R. HAMILTON,) et al.,)	FΙĽΕD
Plaintiff,)	MAR 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-984-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH LEROY RICE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	- FD
v.)	No. 88-C-755-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR &Z 1550
Defendants.)	Jock C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	**************************************
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DANNY EUGENE HIMES,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff, (- TT F D
v. (No. 88-C-752-B FITED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR ZZ 1000
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. D.STRICT COURT
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	h is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEO B. IRICK, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 88-C-749-B F T L E D MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.)	- Clerk
ORDER OF DIA	Jack C. Silver, COURT ILS. DISTRICT COURT SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. DREFT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE: ASBESTOS LITIGATION) M-1417) ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT LEE GRAHAM, et al., Plaintiff,	FILED MAR 22 1990
v. ANCHOR PACKING, et al., Defendants.	MAR 22 1530 No. 88-C-723-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFEN	DISHISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DANT. VERMONT TALC. INC. 12 day of March , 1990
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED t this matter be and is hereby dismisse
of any other or future a	nt Talc , Inc., with prejudice to the filing oction(s) on the same or related cause(say is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JOHN W. GULLETT, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	- n
v.)	No. 88-C-718-B F T L E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk Jack C. Silver, Clerk DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE. DISTRICT. T. VERMONT TALC. INC.
NOW on this 12	day of M17ch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

in RE:	M−14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
KENNETH ROY SPARKMAN,) et al.,)	- F D
Plaintiff, (FILL
v. }	No. 88-C-714-B FILED MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	rate, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act:	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:) () () () () () () () () () (
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
THOMAS M. OCHSENBEIN, et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 22 1990
v.) No. 88-C-703-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.	
	P DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NDAME. VERMONT TALC. INC.
NOW on this _	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	l ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED th	at this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future	action(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	ty is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

	The second secon
IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
MARVIN REX CORRELL,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 2 1990 &
v.	No. 88-C-697-B MAR 22 1990 X
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR
Defendants.)	
	ISHISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	는 경우 (1) - 사용 (1) - 사용 (2)
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

29

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
WAYNE LEON FURNAS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	DILED
v.)	No. 88-C-837-B FILED MAR 2 1990 NO. 88-C-837-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk Jack C. Silver, COURT
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE I VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	<u>day</u> of <u>Murch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	nis matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	VIDIO R. LLI
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
HAROLD GENE JONES,) et al.,)	- T F D
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
v. (
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	0/71101110 n. 3RSIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	K-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CLARENCE EDWARD SPENCER,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	
V.) ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.)	No. 88-C-840-B F I L E D MAR 2 1990
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDANT	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE: Silver, Clerk F. VERMONT TALC, THE DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this 12	day of <u>Ngrch</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	C/TIDLID III BIEN
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	3 R. BRETT

IN RE:		
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO	
MILLARD L. ABLE, et al.,) Plaintiff,)		FILED MAR 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-803-B	MAR 22 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,) Defendants.		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUC T. VERMONT TALC, I	NC.
NOW on this	day of Mur	<u>Lh</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	. on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, I	r is hereby ordered,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to be ar its own o	costs.
		THE POLICE R. BRETT
	THOMAS	R. BRETT

IN RE:	FILED
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO MAR 2 1990
TERRY P. WARREN, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	FICEI
v. (No. 88-C-804-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 21 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Palc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN WILLIAM GRENINGER,) et al.,	FILED MAR MAR MAR MAR M
Plaintiff,	
v. }	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
ORDER OF DI OF DEFENDA	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	th is mat ter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	
	granding R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
NORMAN GENE RUTH, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	- 14년 - 14년 - 1 - 14년 -
v. }	No. 88-C-796-B FILE L
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-796-B FICE I MAR 经 1990
Defendants.)	Cler
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COU
NOW on this 12	day of M4rch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	ASSET OF THOMAS REPORT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	M−1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES LEE BOWMAN,) et al.,)	FICET
Plaintiff,	
v. {	No. 88-C-799-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{1}{2}$	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	i on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont !	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	C'TUDMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
BOBBY MILLS HIGHSMITH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. }	No. 88-C-787-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ORDER OF DIS OF DEFENDAN	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	.on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	
	S/ THOMAS R. DATT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:)	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN BRUCE MORGAN,) et al.,)	EITED
Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 4 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-785-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SHISSAL WITH PREJUDICE PT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{12}{12}$	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	Company of the Compan
	S/ WIOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEONARD W. C. ODOM,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. (No. 88-C-782-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 22 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is t	bear its own costs.
	CALIDIANS R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	U-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DOUGLAS BRYAN KLAUS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v. {	No. 88-C-781-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	FILED
Defendants.)	FTCED MAR 旨 1990
- W	VERMONT TALC, INC. Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROY WILLIAM MURPHY,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,	
V.)	No. 88-C-936-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	FILED
Defendants. j	MAR 4 1990
ORDER OF DI SM OF DEFENDANT	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICEDCK C. Strar, Clark VERMONT TALC, INCL.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this12	day of Myrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	Property of the second
	S/ THOM: OR. DREFT
·神	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
RALPH W. STONER, et al.,) Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR & 1990
v	No. 88-C-910-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	\$ / TMO. Mark 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

	1.0 kg () No.	
IN RE:	M-1417	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO	
DONNA L. CAPTAIN,) et al.,)		FILED
Plaintiff,)		MAR 22 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-907-B	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)		
Defendants.)		
	EMISSAL WITH PREJUD T. VERMONT TALC, IN	
Now on this $\frac{12}{}$	day of Marc.	<u>/</u>
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal	With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, file d herein, IT	IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	h is matt er be and	is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with pre	judice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same	or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bea r its own c	osts.
		MICHANS R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:)	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION		ASB(TW) NO
HEDY MARIE MASTERSON, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,		
v.		No. 88-C-906-B FILED
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	MAR 4 1990
Defendants.	3	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
ORDER OF OF DEFEN	DIS MI NDANT.	Jack C. SHOEL COURT SSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12	day of Mhrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	lation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at this	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talo	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is t	bear its own costs.
		SANTANIA (S. R. BRETT)
		THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
GARNETT A. BUZZARD,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. ;	No. 88-C-903-B MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF T

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
HELEN AMELIA BRASHERS,) et al.,)	- + D
Plaintiff,	FILED MAR 22 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-900-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of Mrch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	o'Thomas R. Brett
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JACK B. THOMAS, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	- + E D
v.)	No. 88-C-893-B FILED MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAK
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this \bot	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future ac	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S R. ERETT.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DONALD DEAN HUBBELL,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v. (No. 88-C-892-B MAR 22 1300
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Cock U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	A MONYS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	**************************************
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
EARL DEAN SOPER, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	мо. 88-с-889-В FILED MAR 2390
v. (No. 88-C-889-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	- Maria Chrik
Defendants.)	Jack C. Styer COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
-	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IT, VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	his matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	alc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	3.4.17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROY LEE LANKFORD, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-874-B FILED MAR 1990
v	No. 88-C-874-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Clerk
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this #	L day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulatio	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matte r be and i s hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	S/ WOM S.R. LIGHT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND EVERITT BOYD,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	мо. 88-с-873-В Г I Г E D MAR £ 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 2 1990
Defendants.)	CARP CARPORE
ORDER OF DEFENDA	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this	2_day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Ir	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	ST TUCHAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

IN RE:) 11-1	117	. T	\mathbf{E} \mathbf{D}
ASBESTOS LITIGATION		(TW) NO	FIL	2 1990
BILLY JOE REYNOLDS, et al.,	}		WHIL	Silver, Clerk
Plaintiff,			U.S. DIST	161 -
v .) No.	88-C-872-B		
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,				
Defendants.)			
	400	L WITH PREJUI		
NOW on this	12 da	y of Myr	h	, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipu	lation of	Dismissal	With Prej	udice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., [i	ed herein, I	T IS HEREBY	ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	at th is ma	atter be and	is hereby	dismissed
against Defendant, Vermo	nt Talc, I	nc., with pre	ejudice to t	the filing
of any other or future a	action(s)	on the same	or related	cause(s)
of action, and each part	y is to b	ear its own	costs.	
			C D ROPERT	

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
RAYMOND DEWAYNE RHODES,) et al.,)	P D
Plaintiff,)	но. 88-с-869-В F 1 12 1990 МАР 27 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-869-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Mo. 88-C-869-B MAR 27 1990 MAR 27 1990 Jack C. Silver Court U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	V.s. Dis.
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) } W-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
LOGAN W. BROWN, et al.,)
Plaintiff,	
v.	No. 88-C-854-B F 1 12 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	1
Defendants.	inch C. Shirt COUR.
ORDER OF <u>OF DEFENI</u>	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICEUS. DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICEUS.
NOW on this	2 day of Murch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	t th is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	t Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y is to bear its own costs.
	: 予報的 - 2015 - 1017 -
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
MARTIN HENRY POTTER,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	Mo. 88-C-853-B F 1 2 1990 MAR 22 1990 Clerk
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAIR The Clerk
Defendants.)	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NAME C. SINGET COURT JOCK C. SINGET COURT U.S. DISTIRLED
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE V.5.
NOW on this	2 day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	tion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	of theorems. Brett
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DELMAR DALE PUGH,) et al.,	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.	No. 88-C-852-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of <u>March</u> , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	h is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont T	ald, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	.on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	s to bear its own costs.
	R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	8-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DONALD JAMES DUNIPHIN,) et al.,) Plaintiff,)	FILED MAR 2 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-842-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	rale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
FLOYD DWIGHT OSBURN,)	
et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,)	62-1990
v. ,	No. 88-C-769-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE ANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
	cion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Tale, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	cion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
ROBERT CAREY) ARCHDALE, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	ED
v.)	No. 88-C-765-B F 1 /2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	No. 88-C-765-B F T T E D MAR 2 1990 MAR C. Silver, Clerk Jack C. Silver, COURT U.S. DISTRICT
Defendants.)	Jack C. DISTRICT COO
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this $\frac{17}{12}$	day of March
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actio	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	6/ TUCAMS R. BRETT
	The state of the s

THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:) M=141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION) ASB(TW) NO
JOSEPH WILLIAM SMITH, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	i n D
v.	No. 88-C-763-B F T E D
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	The state of the s
Defendants.	Jack C. Silver, Clerk DISTRICT COURT
order of <u>of defen</u>	DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT DANT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	12 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipul	ation of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc,	Inc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED tha	t this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermon	nt Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future a	ction(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	y i s to bea r its own costs.
	SA NACIONA R. SOST
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 -ASB(TW) NO
KENNETH RAY BATTENFIELD,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	· ***
v.	No. 88-C-770-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	FITED MAR 6 1990
Defendants.)	MAR 2 1990
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC. Jack (SOUT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NOW on this	2 day of March U.S. DISTRICT 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	is to bear its own costs.
	R. BREYT
	THOMAS R. BRETT

DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	H-141 7
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
GENE REED WILLAIMS,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 88-C-774-B FILED MAR 2 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-774-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	TO THE PARTY OF TH
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2 day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipula	t ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, I	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	tion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	es mioning R. Brett
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE

in RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
DAVID L. DILLINGHAM,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-942-B MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	0.0.
-01	NISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	_ day of, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matt er be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	1c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
- Age of the control	of thomas a butt
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	I-14 17
The state of the s	ASB(TW) NO
ELLIS M. KIRK, et al.,	
Plaintiff,	FILEL
v.	No. 88-C-946-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of M47h , 1990,
	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tale	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	bear its own costs.
	S/ THOMAS & UMBIT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	K-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CLARENCE JACK KNOX,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,)	FILED
v.)	No. 88-C-947-B 12 MAR 22 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Musch , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	FAROMAS R. BRETT
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	W-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
FRANCIS EUGENE WILMOTH,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILED
v. ,	No. 88-C-952-B MAR 2 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OF DEFENDANT	VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future actic	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	E DAVID
	THOMAS R. BRETT DISTRICT JUDGE
	Manage DIDIKICI DODGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
LEONARD HOMER HERREL,) et al.,)	FILED MAR 27 1990
Plaintiff,	MAR 22 1990
v. (No. 88-C-962-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. STRICT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
FLOYD LAWRENCE CAMPBELL,) et al.,	FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 42 1990
v.)	No. 88-C-964-B
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Cierk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	SSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.,	filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that thi	matte r be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	o bear its own costs.
は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、	
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-1417
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
JOHN KENNETH OLEMAN,) et al.,)	FILED
Plaintiff,)	MAR 2 1990
V. ,	
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Defendants.)	
	ISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of MACA, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulation	n of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc.	, filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that th	s matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Tal	c, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	n(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
- "## ## ##	
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
- 74 - 1 - 1	THOMAS R. BRETT
".	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	M-14 17
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	ASB(TW) NO
CHARLEY RAY JONES,) et al.,)	FIEED MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	Service State of the service of the
v. (No. 88-C-976-B Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this	2_ day of March , 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	nc., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party	is to bear its own costs.
	erejudia diri babit
	THOMAS R. BRETT
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
JOHN EDWIN HARDCASTLE,) et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	FILEL
v.)	No. 88-C-1395-C MAR 21 1990
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	U.S. DISTRICT COUR
	MISSAL WITH PREJUDICE VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of March, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulati	on of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that the	is matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont Ta	lc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future action	on(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party is	to bear its own costs.
	(2015년) 기교(1917년) 1월 18일 -
	屬 - Took Took
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
DALE C. MAYHOOD, et al.,)	
Plaintiff,	
v.)	No. 88-C-1400-C FILEI
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	MAR 21 1990
Defendants.)	Jack C. Silver, Clei U.S. DISTRICT COU
	ISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE NT. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Murch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, In	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont	Talc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future act	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	H. DALE COOK
	DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE:	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION)	M-1417 ASB(TW) NO
CHARLES E. SIMMONS,) et al.,)	FILE I MAR 2 1990
Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
v. (No. 88-C-1405-C U.S. DISTRICT COUR
ANCHOR PACKING, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
	SMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE T. VERMONT TALC, INC.
NOW on this 12	day of Wyrch, 1990,
pursuant to the Stipulat:	ion of Dismissal With Prejudice of
Defendant, Vermont Talc, Inc	c., filed herein, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED and DECREED that t	this matter be and is hereby dismissed
against Defendant, Vermont 1	ralc, Inc., with prejudice to the filing
of any other or future acti	ion(s) on the same or related cause(s)
of action, and each party i	s to bear its own costs.
	E LA COOK
	H. DALE COOK DISTRICT JUDGE

KANSAS CITY FIRE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.: 89-C-853 C

PRENTICE ANTWINE CRAWFORD, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 1 2 1990

ORDER

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

COMES ON FOR HEARING the Joint Application of Plaintiff and Defendant Maurice Jerome Barnes, III for an Order granting judgment as against said Defendant, and only said Defendant, in the sum of One Hundred Thirty Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty-Seven and 06/100 Dollars (\$138,887.06) and further denying costs, attorney fees, and pre-judgment interest.

THE COURT FINDS that said Application should be and is hereby sustained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

day of Much

APPROVED:

DANIEL L. DUROCHER - OBA #10639

Lee, Beuch, Buford, Durocher & Mauritson P.O. Box 57320

Oklahoma City, OK 73157-7320

Phone: (405) 948-7730 Attorney for Plaintiff

BRANSFORD SHOEMAKE - OBA #8195

Shoemake Law Offices

Box 177

Pawhuska, Oklahoma 74056 Attorney for Defendant-

Maurince Jerome Barnes, III

AND

BILL HESKETT - OBA #4152
HESKETT, HESKETT, & HESKETT
304 1st National Bank Building
Pawhuska, Oklahoma 74056
Co-Counsel for `fendantMaurice Jero Barnes III

KANSAS CITY FIRE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.: 89-C-853 C

PRENTICE ANTWINE CRAWFORD, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 1 2 1990

ORDER

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COUR

COMES ON FOR HEARING the Joint Application of Plaintiff and Defendant Fields for an Order granting judgment as agaisnt said Defendant in the sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred and 00/100 Dollars (\$2,500.00) payable on or before March 1, 1990 and further staying execution until said date and further waiving costs, attorney fees, and/or interest, so long as said judgment is paid on or before March 1, 1990.

THE COURT FINDS that said Application should be and is hereby sustained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 12 day of Ma

1996

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

APPROVED:

DANIEL L. DUROCHER - OBA #10639
Lee, Beuch, Buford,
Durocher & Mauritson
P.O. Box 57320
Oklahoma City, OK 73157-7320
Phone: (405) 948-7730
Attorney for Plaintiff

BRANSPORD SHOEMAKE - OBA #8195 Shoemake Law Offices Box 177 Pawhuska, Oklahoma 74056 Attorney for Defendant-Stephanie Fields

AND

BILL HESKETT - OBA #4152
HESKETT, HESKETT, & HESKETT
304 1st National Bank Building
Pawhuska, Oklahoma 74056
Co-Counsel for DefendantStephanie Fields

Interes

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

MAR 12 1990

THOMAS ELMER BROADDRICK,

JACK C. SILVER, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Petitioner,

vs.

No. 89-C-305-C

STANLEY GLANZ, SHERIFF; RAYMOND C. VAN PUTTEN, United States Marshal,

Respondents.

ORDER

Pursuant to the Order and Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit,

It is the Order of the Court that the petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is hereby granted. The petitioner is hereby freed from any restraint based upon the sentence imposed in case No. 75-CR-103. This Order has no effect upon the sentence and commitment to hospitalization imposed upon petitioner in connection with his plea of nolo contenders to criminal contempt in case No. 89-C-305.

IT IS SO ORDERED this day of March, 1990.

H. DALE COOK

Chief Judge, U. S. District Court

WRC:sam 3-5-90 890038

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NO. 89-C-871-C

URIAH ST. LEWIS and AMANDA ST. LEWIS, husband and wife; KENT BRETZ, d/b/a OKLAHOMA PUBLIC ADJUSTING COMPANY,

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 1 2 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER

COMES on for hearing the application of the plaintiff, for an Order of Dismissal without Prejudice as to defendant, KENT BRETZ, d/b/a OKLAHOMA PUBLIC ADJUSTING COMPANY.

The Court does find that the application should be granted and that plaintiff, STATE PARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY'S, cause of action against defendant, KENT BRETZ, d/b/a OKLAHOMA PUBLIC ADJUSTING COMPANY, should be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

APPROVED:

W. R. CATHEART, Attorney for

STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

JAMES E. FRASIER, Attorney for KENT BRETZ, d/b/a OKLAHOMA PUBLIC

ADJUSTING COMPANY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24

IN RE:

ROY E. THIGPEN, III,

Debtor,

GARY DEHART, individually and d/b/a SURETY & CASUALTY SURPLUS LINES AGENCY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARVIN LEWIS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 88-02015-E Chapter 7

Adversary No. 89-0263-W

NO. 89-C-938-E

FILED

MAR 1 2 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER

The Court has for consideration the Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate filed October 19, 1989. No exceptions or objections have been filed and the time for filing such exceptions or objections has expired.

After careful consideration of the record and the issues, the Court has concluded that the Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate should be and hereby are adopted by the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Adversary No. 89-0263-W, Gary Dehart v. Roy E. Thigpen, et al., is remanded to the District Court of Tarrant County, Texas, 348th Judicial District, Cause No. 348-111872-88 for disposition.

.-مرك ORDERED this 77th day of March, 1990.

JAMES O ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAH 1 2 1990

ROBERTA D. PULLEY, Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. 8. District court
vs.	Case No. 89-C-724 E
CITY OF PICHER, a municipal corporation,	
Defendant.	
JOURNAL ENT	RY OF JUDGMENT
NOW on this <u></u> <u></u> day of	, 1990, comes on before me,
the undersigned of the above entitled Co	ourt Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment Pursuant to
Stipulation and the Court having review	ewed the pleadings filed herein, being fully
advised in the premises finds as follows:	
That Plaintiff shall be grant	ed judgment against Defendant in the sum of
FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000.00), - 196
IT IS THEREFORE ORDER	ED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court
that Plaintiff ROBERTA D. PULLEY h	ave judgment against Defendant CITY OF
PICHER, a municipal corporation in the	sum of \$5,000.00 inclusive of attorney fees
and costs.	S/ JAMES O. ELLISON
DICHODO NOTATE ID. ODA HOSAO	JUDGE
RICHARD D. WHITE, JR., OBA #9549 Attorney for Plaintiff	

CHARLES C. CHESNUT, OBA #1647

Attorney for Defendant

ANDERMAN & CO.; DONA M. MOHAN; ST. MARY PARISH LAND COMPANY; KENT J. HARRELL d/b/a HARRELL ENERGY CO.; RALPH H. SMITH; JAMES C. HUNT, JR.; GEORGE G. ANDERMAN; and ANDERMAN/SMITH OPERATING COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MESA OPERATING LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware limited partnership,

Defendant.

FILED

MAR 12 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Case No. 88-C-1483E

JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

plaintiffs and Defendant hereby stipulate that this action shall be and is hereby dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear its own costs and attorney fees.

Danny P. Richey, OBA#10458

Brian R. Huddleston, OBA #13295 BRUNE, PEZOLD, RICHEY & LEWIS

700 Sinclair Building Six East Fifth Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 (918) 584-0506

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

Michael G. Harris, Esq.

Leslie B. Christensen, Esq.

MORICOLI, WILSON, HARRIS & DUBBERSTEIN

First Oklahoma Tower, Suite 1200

210 West Park Avenue

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102-5664

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

EILED

MAR 1 2 1990

SHIRLEY F. JONES,

Plaintiff,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

vs.

No. 89-C-480-E

MARRIOTT CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; and KARL ARMBRUST,

Defendants.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Upon Application by the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that the above styled and numbered cause of action should be dismissed with prejudice to refiling in the future.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ____ day of March, 1990.

S/ JAMES O. ELUSON

JAMES O. ELLISON, U. S. District Judge

WILLIAM C. BESS, JR.,) (2.23)	
Plaintiff,	į	
vs.	}	No. 88-C-1056-E
FLIGHTSAFETY INTERNATIONAL, INC., a New York Corporation, A. L. UELTSCHI, an individual and DENNIS GULASY, an individual	, -) ual,)	
Defendants.	<u>'</u>	FILED
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,)	MAR 1 2 1990
Plaintiff-Intervenor		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
vs.	}	
FLIGHTSAFETY INTERNATIONAL, INC.,		
Defendant.	,	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff's Complaint and the Plaintiff-Intervenor's Complaint should be, and hereby are, dismissed with prejudice.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

James O. Ellison United States District Judge

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

Bingham

Attorney for Plaintiff

David L.

Attorney for Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff-Intervenor

Stephen T. Hyder Attorney for Plaintiff-Intervenor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

KEVIN BULLER,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Counterclaimant,

CASE NO.: 89-C-345B

FILED

MAR 9 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

v.

KEVIN K. BULLER and HERBERT MASLAN,

TIME STUDY CASE

Reford Time Spant by Judge or Magistrate

Counterclaim Defendants.

ORDER

set for February 15, 1990. Randee Koger appeared for the plaintiff Kevin Buller and Kimberley Forseth appeared for the United States. In addition to scheduling matters, there is pending before the Court the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate and the United States Objection filed in response to that Report. The Court has heard the statements of counsel with regard to scheduling and has conducted a de nove review of the facts supporting the United States Counterclaim filed in this action. Upon due consideration, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

- 1. The parties shall file and serve any motions to amend the pleadings or to join additional parties on or before March 9, 1990.
- 2. The parties shall complete all discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on or before May 31, 1990.
- 3. The parties shall serve their trial exhibit list and trial witness lists (including expert witnesses, if any) on or before May 10, 1990. The witness list shall include a short statement regarding the subject matter of the anticipated testimony unless the deposition of the witness has been taken.
- 4. All dispositive motions shall be filed and served on or before June 4, 1990, to which a response must be filed and served on or before June 14, 1990, and the reply on or before June 21, 1990.
- 5. This cause is set for a pretrial conference on July 9, 1990, at 9:00 a.m. at which time the Court will also hear oral argument on any dispositive motions filed pursuant to paragraph 4 of this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate recommending that the United States Counterclaim be dismissed is hereby Overruled.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers this grand day of March 1990.

THOMAS R. BRETT U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

cc: Randee Koger, Esq. Kimberley S. Forseth, Esq. Phil Pinnell, Esq. AUSA

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

S

MAR 9 1990

Jack C. Silver, Gert. U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DARRYL S. HAYES, an individual,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 89-C-382-B

VS.

THE CITY OF NOWATA, et al, \$ \$ \$ Defendants. \$

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED ATTORNEY'S LIEN CLAIMED

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

NOW on this the <u>fay</u> day of March, 1990, Plaintiff's Application to Dismiss Without Prejudice having been considered; and the Court, having found good cause for Plaintiff's dismissal without prejudice to refiling; and having been further advised that counsel for Defendants have no objections thereto;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the causes of action existing by and between Plaintiff, DARRYL S. HAYES, and the individual Defendants, THE ESTATE OF HAROLD LAY, HARIS L. STANART, JACK C. DUGGER, PHILIP W. MOORE, and THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF NOWATA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA, are hereby dismissed without prejudice to refiling.

\$/ THOMAS R. BRETT U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

MAR

L. D. ROGERS, an individual,

Plaintiff.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISIPICE COURT

vs.

Case No. 89-C-314-B

HAROLD LAY, in his Official Capacity as Sheriff of Nowata County, Oklahoma,

Defendant

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Judse or Magistra<u>te</u> day of March, 1990, Plaintiff's NOW on this the Dismiss Without Prejudice having Application to considered; and the Court, having found good cause for Plaintiff's dismissal without prejudice to refiling; and having been further advised that counsel for Defendants has no objections thereto;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the causes of action existing by and between Plaintiff, L. D. ROGERS, and the individual Defendants, THE ESTATE OF HAROLD LAY, HARIS L. STANART, JACK C. DUGGER, PHILIP W. MOORE, and THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF NOWATA COUNTY, STATE OF OKLAHOMA, are hereby **dismissed** without prejudice refiling.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FILED NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 9 1990

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

Vs.
RAY COUSINS, SANDRA K. COUSINS,

and ROBERT REINHARDT,

Defendants.

No. 89-C-123-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Upon the application of the plaintiff and for good cause shown, this action is dismissed with prejudice.

S/JOHN LEO WAGNER

JOHN L. WAGNER, U.S. MAGISTRATE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR -9 1990

HARPCO WELL DRILLING, INC.,	BAOK OLD LVERLOLER k Dia mediator gode r t
Plaintiff,	
v	Case No. 89-C-596 C
AIR-X-CHANGERS, a Division of) PATTERSON-KELLEY CO., a Division) of Harsco Corporation,)	
Defendants.)	

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

The Plaintiff, Harpco Well Drilling, Inc., pursuant to Rule (a)(i)(ii)

41(A) Federal Rules of Civil of Procedure, hereby enters into a stipulation of dismissal without prejudice with the Defendant, Air-X-Changers, a Division of Patterson-Kelley Co., a Division of Harsco Corporation, upon the following terms and conditions, to wit:

Defendant releases Plaintiff from any and all liability for damages suffered by Defendant in the defense of the present action, including any and all attorney fees, costs and expenses. However, if the Plaintiff commences an action in any Court in any jurisdiction against said Defendant upon the same or similar facts and basis as the present action, save and except where Plaintiff files a counterclain, cross-claim or a claim for setoff in an action brought by Defendant, Plaintiff shall pay, upon service of process, Defendant's estimated attorney fees, costs and expenses incurred in the defense of the present action. It

is understood an agreed that the Defendant estimates that it incurred \$3,200 in attorney fees, costs and expenses in its defense of this action.

It is further stipulated that the obligations of the Plaintiff and Defendant set forth in the Stipulation shall be binding upon the Plaintiff and Defendant and their successors in interest, stockholders, officers and directors. Counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendant represent to each party and to this Court that each of them have the requisite authority to enter into this stipulation and to bind their respective clients to their respective obligations herein stipulated.

Respectfully submitted,

TERENCE P. BRENNAN, OBA #10036 35 East 18th Street Tulsa, OK 74119 (918) 582-4775

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIPF

MIKE HARPER, PRESIDENT OF HARPCO WELL DRILLING, INC.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA)
)ss.
COUNTY OF TULSA)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this [7] day of February, by Terence P. Brennan and Mike Harper, President of Harpco Well-P-Drilling, Inc.

PUTLICE

WY Commission expires:

NOTARY PUBLIC

FELDMAN, HALL, FRANDEN, WOODARD & FARRIS

Anthony P. Sutton, OBA #8781 Park Centre - Suite 1400 525 South Main Tulsa, OK 74103-4409 (918) 583-7129

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

STATE OF OKLAHOMA)

)ss.

COUNTY OF TULSA

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ay of February, 1990, by Anthony P. Sutton.

My commission expires: 5-20-92

(MBC#74) (Stipulation)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

LESLIE T.	COATS,	
	Plaintiff,	
v.		88-C-411-B
GIT-N-GO,	INC., Defendant.	FILED
		MAR 9 1990 P

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Upon verdict of the jury, it is hereby ordered, and decreed that judgment be entered in favor of the defendant,
together with costs pursuant to Rule 54(d), F.R.C.P.

Dated this <u>9</u> day of March, 1990.

UNITED STATES MAGASTRATE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ALAN JAMES McDONALD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RON CHAMPION, Warden,

Defendant.

EILED

MAR 8 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER

The Court has for consideration the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate. After careful consideration of the record and the issues, including the briefs and memoranda filed herein by the parties, the Court has concluded that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate should be and hereby are adopted by the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's application for habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2255 is denied.

ORDERED this ______ day of March, 1990.

JAMES O. ELLISO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT F LEF

PATSY J. BISHOP,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FACET ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Defendant.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the motion of Defendant Facet Enterprises, Inc. to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint on the grounds that the complaint was filed outside the time limit specified in the applicable statute of limitation. Plaintiff has not responded to Defendant's motion and the time for filing a response has expired. The Court being fully advised finds as follows.

Plaintiff's complaint is barred by the applicable statute of limitations. Plaintiff's original complaint was dismissed without prejudice on October 12, 1988 in Case No. 84-C-629-E. Thereafter, Plaintiff did not file a new complaint and the statute of limitations period expired in January 1989. Plaintiff did not file the instant complaint until August 1989. The limitations period was not extended by operation of Okla. Stat. tit. 12 §100 because the limitations period had not expired when Plaintiff's original action was dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's motion to dismiss is sustained. This action is dismissed with prejudice and Defendant is awarded the costs of this action.

ORDERED this 1990.

JAMES O. ELLISON

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 8 1990 dd

MARSHALL PODELL, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY.

Defendant.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 87-C-189-E √ 87-C-190-E

ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSING ORDER

The Court has been advised by counsel that this action has been settled, or is in the process of being settled. Therefore it is not necessary that the action remain upon the calendar of the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk administratively terminate this action in his records, without prejudice to the rights of the parties to reopen the proceedings for good cause shown for the entry of any stipulation, order, judgment, or for any other purpose required to obtain a final determination of the litigation. The Court retains complete jurisdiction to vacate this order and to reopen the action upon cause shown within thirty (30) days that settlement has not been completed and further litigation is necessary.

ORDERED this _____ day of March, 1990.

JAMES O. ELLISON



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

RUDOLPH ALAN LEE JENKINS,

Petitioner,

vs.

STEVE HARGETT,

Respondent.

No. 89-C-710-E √

Jack C. Silvet, Clerk

ORDER

The Court has for consideration the Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate. No exceptions or objections have been filed and the time for filing such exceptions or objections has expired.

After careful consideration of the record and the issues, the Court has concluded that the Report and Recommendations of the Magistrate should be and hereby are adopted by the Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's motion for summary judgment is denied; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's application for habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 is denied.

ORDERED this 4th day of March, 1990.

TAMES O ELLISON

FILED

cook & Allina Alma

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MAR 8 1990 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DECREE, INC., RONALD L. MOORE, the Tax Matters Person,	Jack C. Bilver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,) (i) (ii) (ivil No. 89-C-152-E
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Magistrate Wolfe))
Defendant.)

ORDER

On this day of man, 1990 Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss, filed the day of February, 1990, comes on for hearing and the Court finds that Defendant does not object to said motion. The Court therefore finds that Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss in Case No. 89-C-152-E should be and is hereby granted.

57 JAMES O. EIUSON
JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that **I mail**ed a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document this ____ day of ____, 1990, postage prepaid, to:

Phyllis Jo Gervasio
Tax Division
U.S. Dept. of Justice
P. O. Box 7238
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044

Tony Graham
United States Attorney
333 West Fourth
Tulsa, OK 74103

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	e ST 305 Medities — Garage	MAR 7 1990
Plaintiff,		Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
v.		U. S. District Court
JIMMY L. BLUMENBERG,	Tall to	
Defendant.		Civil Action No. 89-C-555-E

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this _____ day of _______, 1990, the Plaintiff appearing by Tony M. Graham, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Catherine J. Depew, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Jimmy L. Blumenberg, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the court file finds that Defendant, Jimmy L. Blumenberg, was served with Summons and Complaint on November 3, 1989. The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment against the Defendant, Jimmy L. Blumenberg, for the principal amount of \$27,323.73, plus accrued interest of \$1,484.58 as of January 31, 1989,

plus interest thereafter at the rate of four (4) percent per annum until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the current legal rate of 297 percent per annum until paid, plus costs of this action.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

VS.

STEVEN R. FLAMING; JUDY E. FLAMING; BRYON GIBSON INVESTMENT, an Oklahoma corporation; DON E. GASAWAY; COUNTY TREASURER, Delaware County, Oklahoma; and BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Delaware County, Oklahoma,

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 7 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. B. District Court

CIVIL ACTION NO. 89-C-467-E

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

This matter comes on for consideration this ______ day

of _______, 1990. The Plaintiff appears by Tony M.

Graham, United States Attorney for the Northern District of

Oklahoma, through Phil Pinnell, Assistant United States Attorney;

the Defendants, County Treasurer, Delaware County, Oklahoma, and

Board of County Commissioners, Delaware County, Oklahoma, appear

by Robert C. Jenkins, Assistant District Attorney, Delaware

County, Oklahoma; the Defendants, Bryon Gibson Investment, an

Oklahoma corporation, and Don E. Gasaway, appear by their

attorney Don E. Gasaway; and the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming

and Judy E. Flaming, appear not, but make default.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that Defendant, County Treasurer, Delaware County, Oklahoma, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on June 7, 1989; and that Defendant, Board of County Commissioners, Delaware County, Oklahoma, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on June 7, 1989.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, were served by publishing notice of this action in the Delaware County Journal, a newspaper of general circulation in Delaware County, Oklahoma, once a week for six (6) consecutive weeks beginning November 23, 1989, and continuing to December 28, 1989, as more fully appears from the verified proof of publication duly filed herein; and that this action is one in which service by publication is authorized by 12 O.S. Section 2004(C)(3)(c). Counsel for the Plaintiff does not know and with due diligence cannot ascertain the whereabouts of the Defendants, Steven R. Plaming and Judy E. Flaming, and service cannot be made upon said Defendants within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma or the State of Oklahoma by any other method, or upon said Defendants without the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma or the State of Oklahoma by any other method, as more fully appears from the evidentiary affidavit of a bonded abstracter filed herein with respect to the last known addresses of the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming. The Court conducted an inquiry into the sufficiency of the service by publication to comply with due process of law and based upon the evidence presented together with affidavit and documentary evidence finds that the Plaintiff, United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, and its attorneys, Tony M. Graham, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Phil Pinnell, Assistant United States Attorney, fully exercised due diligence in ascertaining the true name and identity of the

parties served by publication with respect to their present or last known places of residence and/or mailing addresses. The Court accordingly approves and confirms that the service by publication is sufficient to confer jurisdiction upon this Court to enter the relief sought by the Plaintiff, both as to the subject matter and the Defendants served by publication.

It appears that the Defendants, County Treasurer,
Delaware County, Oklahoma, and Board of County Commissioners,
Delaware County, Oklahoma, filed their Answer on June 9, 1989;
that the Defendants, Bryon Gibson Investment, an Oklahoma
corporation, and Don E. Gasaway, filed their Answer and
Cross-Complaint on June 22, 1989; and that the Defendants,
Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, have failed to answer and
their default has therefore been entered by the Clerk of this
Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a certain mortgage note and for foreclosure of a mortgage securing said mortgage note upon the following described real property located in Delaware County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot 5, Block 3, Oakwood First Addition to the Town of Jay, Delaware County, Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

The Court further finds that on December 31, 1986, the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, their promissory note in the amount of \$35,000.00, payable in monthly installments, with interest thereon at the rate of 9.5 percent per annum.

The Court further finds that as security for the payment of the above-described note, the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, a mortgage dated December 31, 1986, covering the above-described property. Said mortgage was recorded on January 2, 1987, in Book 515, Page 491, in the records of Delaware County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that on December 31, 1986, the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, an Interest Credit Agreement pursuant to which the interest rate on the above-described note and mortgage was reduced.

The Court further finds that on October 26, 1987, the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting through the Farmers Home Administration, an Interest Credit Agreement pursuant to which the interest rate on the above-described note and mortgage was reduced. On March 30, 1988, this interest credit agreement was cancelled since the borrower ceased to occupy the dwelling on the subject property.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, made default under the terms of the aforesaid note and mortgage by reason of their failure to make the monthly installments due thereon, which default has continued, and that by reason thereof the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, are indebted to the Plaintiff in the

principal sum of \$34,945.61, plus accrued interest in the amount of \$3,648.46 as of April 11, 1989, plus interest accruing thereafter at the rate of 9.5 percent per annum or \$9.0955 per day until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the legal rate until fully paid, and the further sum due and owing under the interest credit agreements of \$2,747.00, plus interest on that sum at the legal rate from judgment until paid, and the costs of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, County
Treasurer and Board of County Commissioners, Delaware County,
Oklahoma, have a lien on the property which is the subject matter
of this action by virtue of personal property taxes in the amount
of \$271.19, plus penalties and interest. Said lien is inferior
to the interest of the Plaintiff, United States of America.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Bryon Gibson Investment, an Oklahoma corporation and Don E. Gasaway, have a lien on the property which is the subject matter of this action by virtue of a Journal Entry of Judgment in the amount of \$5,954.48 with interest at the rate of 14 percent per annum from the first date of default, plus interest thereon at the rate of 14 percent per annum from the first date of judgment, plus an attorney's fee of \$900.00 and all costs, District Court in Delaware County, State of Oklahoma, Case No. C-87-380, dated April 6, 1988, and recorded on April 20, 1988, in Book 539 at Page 232 in the records of Delaware County, Oklahoma.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment in rem against the Defendants, Steven R. Flaming and Judy E. Flaming, in the principal sum of \$34,945.61, plus accrued interest in the amount of \$3,648.46 as of April 11, 1989, plus interest accruing thereafter at the rate of 9.5 percent per annum or \$9.0955 per day until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the legal rate of 2.97 percent per annum until fully paid, and the further sum due and owing under the interest credit agreements of \$2,747.00, plus interest on that sum at the legal rate of 2.97 percent per annum from judgment until paid, plus the costs of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

Defendants, Bryon Gibson Investment, an Oklahoma corporation and Don E. Gasaway, have and recover judgment in the amount of \$5,954.48 with interest at the rate of 14 percent per annum from the first date of default, plus interest thereon at the rate of 14 percent per annum from the first date of syson.00 and all costs, Journal Entry of Judgment, District Court in Delaware County, State of Oklahoma, Case No. C-87-380, dated April 6, 1988, and recorded on April 20, 1988, in Book 539 at Page 232 in the records of Delaware County, Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendants, County Treasurer and Board of County Commissioners,

Delaware County, Oklahoma, have and recover judgment in the amount of \$271.19 for personal property taxes, plus penalties and interest.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell with appraisement the real property involved herein and apply the proceeds of the sale as follows:

First:

In payment of the costs of this action accrued and accruing incurred by the Plaintiff, including the costs of sale of said real property;

Second:

In payment of the judgment rendered herein in favor of the Plaintiff;

Third:

In payment of the Defendants, Bryon Gibson Investment, an Oklahoma corporation and Don E. Gasaway, in the amount of \$5,954.48 with interest at the rate of 14 percent per annum from the first date of default, plus interest thereon at the rate of 14 percent per annum from the first date of judgment, plus an attorney's fee of \$900.00 and all costs;

Fourth:

In payment of Defendants, County Treasurer and Board of County Commissioners, Delaware County, Oklahoma, in the amount of \$271.19, personal property taxes which are currently due and owing.

The surplus from said sale, if any, shall be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further Order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that from and after the sale of the above-described real property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the Defendants and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the Complaint,

be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the subject real property or any part thereof.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

TONY M. GRAHAM United States Attorney

PHIL PINNELL, OBA #7169

Assistant United States Attorney

ROBERT C. JENKINS, OBA #4641

Assistant District Attorney

Attorney for Defendants, County Treasurer and

Board of County Commissioners,

Delaware County, Oklahoma

DON E. GASAWAY, OBA \$276

Attorney for Defendants,

Bryon Gibson Investment, an Oklahoma corporation

and Don E. Gasaway

Judgment of Foreclosure Civil Action No. 89-C-467-E

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SHARON A. DELOSIER a/k/a SHARON ANNETTE DELOSIER f/k/a SHARON A. BASHAM; ROBERT L. DELOSIER; THE FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF TULSA; COUNTY TREASURER, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; and BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Tulsa County, Oklahoma,

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 7 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL ACTION NO. 89-C-998-E

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that the Defendant, Sharon A. DeLosier a/k/a Sharon Annette DeLosier f/k/a Sharon A. Basham, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on December 4, 1989; that the

Defendant, Robert L. DeLosier, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on December 4, 1989; that the Defendant, The Fourth National Bank of Tulsa, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on December 18, 1989; that Defendant, County Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on December 5, 1989; and that Defendant, Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on December 5, 1989.

It appears that the Defendants, County Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, filed their Answers on December 26, 1989; and that the Defendants, Sharon A. DeLosier a/k/a Sharon Annette DeLosier f/k/a Sharon A. Basham, Robert L. DeLosier, and The Fourth National Bank of Tulsa, have failed to answer and their default has therefore been entered by the Clerk of this Court.

The Court further finds that on October 4, 1988, Robert Louis DeLosier and Sharon Annette DeLosier filed their voluntary petition in bankruptcy in Chapter 7 in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of Oklahoma, Case No. 88-03002-W. On January 25, 1989, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma entered a Discharge of Debtor releasing debtors from all dischargeable debts. Case No. 88-03002-W was closed on March 16, 1989.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a certain mortgage note and for foreclosure of a mortgage securing said mortgage note upon the following described real property located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Eleven (11), Block Twenty (20), Valley View Acres Addition to the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded Plat thereof (a/k/a 327 West 48th Street North, Tulsa, Oklahoma).

The Court further finds that on August 3, 1972,
Sharon A. Basham executed and delivered to the United States of
America, acting on behalf of the Administrator of Veterans
Affairs, now known as Secretary of Veterans Affairs, her mortgage
note in the amount of \$9,750.00, payable in monthly installments,
with interest thereon at the rate of four and one-half percent
(4.5%) per annum.

The Court further finds that as security for the payment of the above-described note, Sharon A. Basham executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting on behalf of the Administrator of Veterans Affairs, now known as Secretary of Veterans Affairs, a mortgage dated August 3, 1972, covering the above-described property. Said mortgage was recorded on August 4, 1972, in Book 4028, Page 1174, in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the Defendant, Sharon A. DeLosier a/k/a Sharon Annette DeLosier f/k/a Sharon A. Basham, made default under the terms of the aforesaid note and mortgage by reason of her failure to make the monthly installments due thereon, which default has continued, and that by reason thereof the Defendant, Sharon A. DeLosier a/k/a Sharon Annette DeLosier f/k/a Sharon A. Basham, is indebted to the Plaintiff in the principal sum of \$6,557.99, plus interest at the rate of 4.5 percent per annum from July 3, 1988 until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the legal rate until fully paid, and the costs of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that the Defendant, County Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, has a lien on the property which is the subject matter of this action by virtue of ad valorem taxes in the amount of \$207.00, plus penalties and interest, for the year 1989. Said lien is superior to the interest of the Plaintiff, United States of America.

The Court further finds that the Defendant, County
Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, has a lien on the property
which is the subject matter of this action by virtue of personal
property taxes in the amount of \$4.00 for the year 1987 and \$3.00
for the year 1988. Said lien is inferior to the interest of the
Plaintiff, United States of America.

The Court further finds that the Defendant, Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, claims no right, title, or interest in the subject real property.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Robert L. DeLosier and The Fourth National Bank of Tulsa, have no right, title, or interest in the subject real property by virtue of their default herein.

Plaintiff have and recover judgment in rem against the Defendant, Sharon A. DeLosier a/k/a Sharon Annette DeLosier f/k/a Sharon A. Basham, in the principal sum of \$6,557.99, plus interest at the rate of 4.5 percent per annum from July 3, 1988 until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the current legal rate of percent per annum until paid, plus the costs of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be

advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendant, County Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, have and recover judgment in the amount of \$207.00, plus penalties and interest, for ad valorem taxes for the year 1989, plus the costs of this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendant, County Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, have and recover judgment in the amount of \$4.00 for the year 1987 and \$3.00 for the year 1988 for personal property taxes, plus the costs of this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendants, Robert L. DeLosier, The Fourth National Bank of Tulsa, and Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, have no right, title, or interest in the subject real property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell without appraisement the real property involved herein and apply the proceeds of the sale as follows:

First:

In payment of the costs of this action accrued and accruing incurred by the Plaintiff, including the costs of sale of said real property:

Second:

In payment of Defendant, County Treasurer,
Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in the amount of
\$207.00, plus penalties and interest, for
ad valorem taxes which are presently due and
owing on said real property;

Third:

In payment of the judgment rendered herein in favor of the Plaintiff.

Fourth:

In payment of Defendant, County Treasurer,
Tulsa County, Oklahoma, in the amount of
\$7.00, personal property taxes which are
currently due and owing.

The surplus from said sale, if any, shall be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further Order of the Court.

and after the sale of the above-described real property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the Defendants and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the Complaint, be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the subject real property or any part thereof.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

APPROVED:

TONY M. GRAHAM United States Attorney

NANCY NESBIRT BLEVINS, OBA 16634 Assistant United States Attorney

J. DENNIS SEMLER, OBA #8076
Assistant District Attorney
Attorney for Defendants,
County Treasurer and
Board of County Commissioners,
Tulsa County, Oklahoma

Judgment of Foreclosure Civil Action No. 89-C-998-E

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	MAR 7 1990
Plaintiff,	Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COUR
vs.	Of all platition door.
LARRY PAUL CARROLL; COUNTY TREASURER, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; and BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Tulsa County, Oklahoma,	
Dofondants	CIVIL ACTION NO. 88-C-1011-E

DEFICIENCY JUDGMENT

The Court upon consideration of said Motion finds that the amount of the Judgment rendered herein on January 17, 1989, in favor of the Plaintiff United States of America, and against the Defendant, Larry Paul Carroll, with interest and costs to date of sale is \$35,502.01.

The Court further finds that the appraised value of the real property at the time of sale was \$6,500.00.

The Court further finds that the real property involved herein was sold at Marshal's sale, pursuant to the Judgment of this Court entered January 17, 1989, for the sum of \$5,850.00 which is less than the market value.

The Court further **finds** that the said Marshal's sale was confirmed pursuant to the Order of this Court on the 1st day of February, 1990.

The Court further finds that the Plaintiff, United
States of America on behalf of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs,
is accordingly entitled to a deficiency judgment against the
Defendant, Larry Paul Carroll, as follows:

Principal Balance as of 1/17/89	\$29,211.84
Interest	5,347.97
Late Charges to Date of Judgment	211.20
Appraisal by Agency	425.00
Management Broker Fees to Date of Sale	125.00
Abstracting	181.00
TOTAL	\$35,502.01
Less Credit of Appraised Value	- 6,500.00
DEFICIENCY	\$29,002.01

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the United States of America on behalf of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs have and recover from Defendant, Larry Paul Carroll, a deficiency judgment in the amount of \$29,002.01, plus interest at the legal rate of 797 percent per annum on said deficiency judgment from date of judgment until paid.

FINANCE O MINER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

PB/css

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FEB 28 1990

CHARLES EDWARD CUNNINGHAM and DOLLIE L. CUNNINGHAM,

Plaintiffs.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

vs.

Case No. 87-977-E

OWENS-CORNING FIBERGIAS
CORPORATION, THE CELOTEX
CORPORATION, FAGLE-PICHER
INDUSTRIES, INC., ARMSTRONG
WORLD INDUSTRIES, INC., GAF
CORPORATION, KEENE CORPORATION,
PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION,
NICOLET, INC., RAYMARK
INDUSTRIES, INC., OWENSILLINOIS, INC., H. K. PORTER
COMPANY, INC., FIBREBOARD
CORPORATION, CROWN CORK & SEAL
COMPANY, INC., and COMBUSTION
ENGINEERING, INC.

Defendants.

FILED

MAR 6 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

STIPULATED JOINT MOTION FOR, AND ORDER OF, DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE, OF ALL CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS, ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES, INC., GAF CORPORATION AND KEENE CORPORATION Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a) (2)

MOTION

Plaintiffs, Charles Edward Cunningham and Dollie L. Cunningham, and the Defendants, Armstrong World Industries, Inc., GAF Corporation and Keene Corporation, jointly move this Court for an Order of Dismissal With Prejudice of the above-styled action.

ORDER

Upon the above and foregoing Joint and Stipulated Motion for Order of Dismissal With Prejudice, the above styled action is hereby dismissed with prejudice as to Armstrong World Industries, Inc., GAF Corporation and Keene Corporation, each party to bear its own costs.

S/ JAMES O. ELLISON

APPROVED:

Joseph/Bruegger

Baron & Budd

8333 Douglas, Suite 1000

Dallas, Texas 75225

(214) 369-3605

Attorney for the Plaintiffs

E. Ralph Walker

Charles J. Kalinoski

Margaret M. Chaplinsky

Michael J. Edwards, OBA #2644

Davis, Hockenberg, Wine, Brown, Koehn & Shors

One West Third, Suite 810

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

(918) 584-0810

Counsel for Defendants, Armstrong World

Industries, Inc. GAF Corporation, & Keene Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

This is to certify that on the 22 day of February, 1990, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was placed in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to all coursel of record as shown on the attached service list.

Michael J. Edwards

CUNNINGHAM

JOSEPH F. BRUEGGER BARON & BUDD 8333 DOUGLAS AVENUE, SUITE 1000 DALLAS, TEXAS 75225

BENJAMIN J. BUTTS SHORT, BARNES, ET AL. 101 N. ROBINSON, #1400 OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73102

WILLIAM & HALL FELLMAN, HALL, FRANDEN, WOODWARD & FARRIS PARK CENTRE, SUITE 1400 525 SOUTH MAIN TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74103

JOHN F. MCCORMICK, JR. PRAY, WALKER, JACKMAN, WILLIAMSON & MARLAR ONEOK PLAZA, NINTH FLOOR TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74103

ALFRED K. MORLAN JONES, GIVENS, GOTCHER, BOGAN & HILBORNE 3800 FIRST NATIONAL TOWER TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74103

JOE M. RUSSELL SMITH, RALSTON, RUSSELL & WRIGHT 302 NORTH MARKET STREET, SUITE 501 DALLAS, TEXAS 75202

IN T UNITED STATES DISTRICT CC T FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Intered

BILL CRUIKSHANK,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim defendant,

v.

CIVIL NO. 88-C-585-C

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant and Counterclaimant,

v.

GARY A. JONES, VERNON D. MITCHAEL, and WILLIAM E. HOWELL,

Counterclaim defendants.)

FILED

MAR 1 1990

Jack C. Silver, Clerk 148. DISTRICT COLLET

AGREED JUDGMENT

Pursuant to an agreement between defendant, United States of America, and additional defendant on counterclaim, Gary A. Jones, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Gary A. Jones is indebted to the United States of America in the sum of \$320,823.98, with statutory interest thereon from December 24, 1984.

Signed this _______, 1990.

(Signed) H. Dala Cook

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED:

MICHAEL D. POWELL

Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice Room 5B31, 1100 Commerce St. Dallas, Texas 75242-0599 (214) 767-0293

ATTORNEY FOR UNITED STATES

BILL V. BRUMLEY, JR.

Jones, Givens, Gotcher, Bogan

& Hilborne

3800 First National Tower Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

ATTORNEY FOR GARY A. JONES

FILED

JWN/lc 03/01/90

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MAR 6 1990 OF NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRIOT COURT

FLORA L. POWELL, individually, and as surviving wife of HUBERT C. POWELL, deceased,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 88-C-555-E ✓

ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY, a corporation; et al.,

Defendants.

<u>J U D G M E N T</u>

In accordance with the verdict of the jury, rendered on January 29, 1990, Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff, Flora L. Powell, and against the Defendants, Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc., and Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation, in the amount of Two Hundred Thousand and No/100 Dollars (\$200,000.00), less Twenty-Four Thousand Four Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$24,400.00), for a total judgment of One Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand Six Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$175,600.00), plus prejudgment interest at the rate of 12.35% per annum (12 Okla. Stat. §727) from the date of June 15, 1988, to the date of Judgment, and post-judgment interest at the rate of 7.97% per annum (28 U.S.C. §1961) from the date of judgment on the total of said principal sum and prejudgment interest, and her costs of the action if timely applied for under the Local Rules.

Dated this 5 day of March, 1990.

JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DANIEL W. LOWE, P.C., a Texas Professional Corporation,	, FILED
Plaintiff,	MAR 6 1990
vs.) NO. 89-C-267-Ejack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT
FRED L. BOETTCHER and PATRICK C. RYAN d/b/a BOETTCHER & RYAN,) } A' 9 : Netriet easur
Defendants.	j

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Upon the Application of Plaintiff Daniel W. Lowe, P.C., a Texas Professional Corporation and Defendants Fred L. Boettcher and Patrick C. Ryan d/b/a Boettcher & Ryan for an Order Authorizing Joint Stipulation and Motion for Dismissal With Prejudice, the Court, having reviewed the Joint Stipulation and Motion and being duly informed in the premises, and having determined that good and sufficient grounds exist for the granting of such Application, finds that Plaintiff and Defendant's Application should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Application of Plaintiff and Defendant for an Order Authorizing Joint Stipulation and Motion for Dismissal With Prejudice be granted; that the above captioned case is dismissed with prejudice as to its refiling; and that all parties shall bear their own costs and fees.

B. DAMES O. ELLISON

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 5 1990

ASSOCIATED BUSINESS TELEPHONE SYSTEMS CORPORATION,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 88-C-396-E

GREATER CAPITAL CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

The Court is advised that no action has been taken in these proceedings since January 10, 1989. Accordingly,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice.

ORDERED this 2 day of March, 1990.

JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IN T JNITED STATES DISTRICT CC FOR THE JORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

FILED

MAR 5 1990 @

-vs-

MICHAEL J. BASTYR, CSS 475 76 0314 Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURI

. -

CIVIL NO. 90-C-0043 B

CONSENT JUDGMENT

This matter coming on **before** this Court this ______ day of _______, 1990, and the Court **being** informed in the premises and it appearing that the parties have agreed and consent to a judgment as set forth herein; in accordance therewith;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff, United States of America, have and recover judgment against the Defendant, MICHAEL J. BASTYR, in the principal sum of \$852.45, plus pre-judgment interest and administrative costs, if any, as provided by Section 3115 of Title 38, United States Code, together with service of process costs of \$11.00. Future costs and interest at the legal rate of 7.97 %, will accrue from the entry date of this judgment and continue until this judgment is fully satisfied.

DATED this 5

day of March

1990

By

U.S. DISTRICT JUDG

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

HERBERT N. STANDEVEN

District Counsel

Veterans Administration

Counsel for Plaintiff

AGREED By:

LISA A. SETTLE, Attorney

.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

This is to certify that on the _____ day of _____, 1990, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed postage prepaid thereon to: MICHAEL J. BASTYR, 3702 S. 114th E. Ave., Tulsa, 9K) 741.6.

LISA A. SETTLE, VA Attorney

2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

WILLIE JEWEL PAYNE, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

vs.

THE CITY OF PICHER, OKLAHOMA, et al.,

Defendants.

MAR -5 1990 &

JACK C.SHLYER.CLERK H.S.DISTRICT/COURT

No. 88-C-1494B

JOINT STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

The parties hereto, by and through their respective attorneys, hereby jointly stipulate to the dismissal of this action, with each party to bear his own attorney's fees and costs.

Randy A. Rankin Terry H. Bitting

1515 South Denver

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119-3828

and

John Echols

Post Office Box 701196

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74170-1196

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Charact

Charles C. Chesnut

34 First Avenue Northeast

Miami, Oklahoma 74354

Mr. Robert C. Jenkins

Assistant District Attorney

Post Office Box 528

Jav. Oklahoma 74346

Ernest E. Haynes, Jr.

Assistant District Attorney

Rogers County Courthouse

Claremore, Oklahoma 74017

Attorneys for Defendants

511

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILED

MAR 5

S.C. COSTA COMPANY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AMERICAN TRUSTEE, INC., a corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk L'S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 88-C-306 B

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The plaintiff, S.C. Costa Company, inc., and the defendants American Trustee, Inc. ("ATI"), Richard L. Anderson, Margaret S. Buvinger, Russell Dorr, Paul H. Mock, T.M. Montgomery, David C. Norris, Creede Speake, Jr., Edmond Synar and Harold W. Wilson (collectively "individual defendants"), pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby stipulate to the dismissal of this action with prejudice.

> James R. Gotwals OBA # 3499 James R. Gotwals and Associates \$25 South Main, Suite 1100

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

James M. Sturdivant, OBA No. 8723 Gene C. Buzzard, OBA No. 1396

Patricia Ledvina Himes, OBA No. 5331

GABLE & GOTWALS

2000 Fourth National Bank Building

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

(918) 582-9201

DEFENDANTS FOR ATTORNEYS AMERICAN TRUSTEE, INC., RICHARD

L. ANDERSON, MARGARET S. BUVINGER, RUSSELL DORR, PAUL H. T.M. MONTGOMERY, DAVID E. NORRIS, CREEDE SPEAKE, JR., EDMOND SYNAR and HAROLD W. WILSON

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR 5 1990 O

Back C. Silver, Clerk

BRENT K. NEWCOMB,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NANCY J. INGLE, et al., Defendants. U. S. DISTRICT COURT

No. 88-C-635-E

JUDGMENT

This action came on for consideration before the Court, Honorable James O. Ellison, District Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly heard and a decision having been duly rendered,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Plaintiff Brent K. Newcomb take nothing from the Defendants Nancy J. Ingle, Jean A. Mackey and Howard Q. Day, that the action be dismissed on the merits, and that the Defendants recover of the Plaintiff their costs of action.

ORDERED this _____ day of March, 1990.

JAMES 0. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



Intered

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR -5 1990

JACK C.SILVER.CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NATIONAL FOOTBALL SCOUTING, INC., HARRY W. BUFFINGTON and LESLIE MILLER, Plaintiffs, No. 86-C-843-C vs. CONTINENTAL ASSURANCE COMPANY, et al., Defendants. (Consolidated) SUPERIOR HARD SURFACING CO., INC., and HAROLD WEST, Plaintiffs, No. 87-C-588-C vs. CONTINENTAL ASSURANCE COMPANY et al.,

ORDER

Defendants.

Before the Court is the petition of Continental Assurance Company for attorneys' fees against all plaintiffs.

On October 18, 1989 this Court granted summary judgment in defendants' favor in this action, which alleged violations of the Employment Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. §1001-1461. Defendant Continental Assurance Company now seeks fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(q)(1), which provides that "the court

in its discretion may allow a reasonable attorney's fee and costs of action to either party."

In <u>Gordon v. United States Steel Corp.</u>, 724 F.2d 106, 109 (10th Cir. 1983), the court **set forth** the following non-exclusive criteria:

- (1) the degree of the opposing parties' culpability or bad faith;
- (2) the ability of the opposing partles to personally satisfy an award of attorney's fees;
- (3) whether an award of attorney's fees against the opposing parties would deter others from acting under similar circumstances;
- (4) whether the parties requesting fees sought to benefit all participants and beneficiaries of an ERISA plan or to resolve a significant legal question regarding ERISA; and
- (5) the relative merits of the parties' position.

The Court notes that the first and fifth factors are often combined in making a fee analysis. Krogh v. Chamberlain, 708 F.Supp. 1235, 1239-40 (D.Utah 1989). In this case, the summary judgment motions were initially referred to the United States Magistrate, who entered a detailed Report and Recommendation, recommending that summary judgment not be granted. Upon this Court's review, which included the consideration of a ruling made by a federal judge in Arkansas which is not contained in any published reporter, the Court determined that summary judgment should be granted. However, many of the issues raised are novel

¹Some courts have suggested that the fifth factor only applies when a plaintiff is seeking fees. See Bittner v. Sadoff & Rudoy Industries, 728 F.2d 820, 829 (7th Cir. 1984). The Tenth Circuit has not adopted this position.

and complex. The Court simply cannot conclude that plaintiffs asserted a meritless position. See Sage v. Automation, Incorporated Pension Plan and Trust, 845 F.2d 885, 895-96 (10th Cir. 1988). See also Hope v. International Bhd of Elec'l Workers, 785 F.2d 826, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). No other showing of bad faith has been made.

Again, because precedent in the ERISA area is very much in flux, the Court sees no deterrence benefit to be gained from an award of fees in this instance. Indeed, such an award under these facts might produce a chilling effect on litigation of merit. Until the body of ERISA law becomes better developed, this Court believes that an award of attorney fees will rarely be appropriate.

It is the Order of the **Court** that the petition of defendant Continental Assurance Company for attorneys' fees is hereby DENIED.

It is the further Order of the Court that plaintiffs' request for fees pursuant to Rule 11 F.R.Cv.P. for responding to the motion is hereby DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this _____ day of March, 1990.

I. DALE COOK

Chief Judge, U. S. District Court

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT F I L E D

SHEEHAN PIPE LINE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, an Oklahoma Partnership,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION,

Defendant.

5 1990 MAR

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Case No. 89-C-1066-E

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

The Court, having considered the Stipulation of Dismissal filed by the parties herein, and being fully advised in the premises, finds that in accordance with the stipulation of the parties, this case should be, and the same hereby is dismissed, with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS Ze day of March, 1990.

James 0./

United #states District Judge

APPROVED:

Leomard I. Pataki

DØERNER, STUART, SAUNDERS,

DANIÆL & ANDERSON

1000 Atlas Life Building Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Richard T. McGonigle

HALL, ESTILL, HARDWICK, GABLE,

GOLDEN & NELSON

4100 Bank of Oklahoma Tower

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74172

Attorneys for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE I L E D NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 2 1990 &~~

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THIRTY-TWO THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED THIRTY-FOUR DOLLARS (\$32,334.00) IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY; and ONE 1985 FORD BRONCO, VIN 1FMDU15H9FLA20308; ONE 1986 GMC VANDUR R/V, VIN 1GDEG25H6G7503962; ONE 1986 LINCOLN 4-DOOR,

VIN 1LNBP96F9GY644883,

Defendants.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 89-C-713-B

JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE

This cause having come before this Court upon Plaintiff's Application filed herein, and being otherwise fully apprised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Judgment be entered against the following-described defendant personal property:

> 1985 Ford Bronco, VIN 1FMDU15H9FLA20308,

and against all persons or entities interested in such defendant personal property, and that the said defendant personal property be,

and the same is hereby forfeited to the United States of America for disposition by the United States Marshal according to law.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

APPROVED:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TONY M. GRAHAM

United States Attorney

CATHERINE J. DEPEW

Assistant United States Attorney

CJD/ch 00552

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE I L E D NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 2 1990

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U.S. DISTRICT COURT

v.

BEN E. ABBOTT,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 89-C-897-B

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

This matter comes on for consideration this day of Market, 1990, the Plaintiff appearing by Tony M. Graham, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Catherine J. Depew, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Defendant, Ben E. Abbott, appearing not.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the court file finds that Defendant, Ben E. Abbott, was served with Summons and Complaint on January 18, 1990.

The time within which the Defendant could have answered or otherwise moved as to the Complaint has expired and has not been extended. The Defendant has not answered or otherwise moved, and default has been entered by the Clerk of this Court. Plaintiff is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment against the Defendant, Ben E. Abbott, for the principal amount of \$15,933.47, plus accrued interest of \$555.89 as of August 31, 1989, plus interest

thereafter at the rate of four (4) percent per annum until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the current legal rate of 797 percent per annum until paid, plus costs of this action.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1990

Ü.S.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs.

CHARLES E. COLEMAN and RUBY C. COLEMAN,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 90-C-0058-B

AGREED JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF PAYMENT

Plaintiff, the United States of America, having filed its Complaint herein, and the defendants, having consented to the making and entry of this Judgment without trial, hereby agree as follows:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all parties thereto. The Complaint filed herein states a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- The defendants hereby acknowledge and accept service of the Complaint filed herein.
- Judgment in the principal sum of \$9,778.61, plus accrued interest of \$286.48 as of April 30, 1989, plus interest thereafter at the rate of 4 percent per annum until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the legal rate until paid, plus costs of this action, until paid in full.
- 4. Plaintiff's consent to the entry of this Judgment and Order of Payment is based upon certain financial information

which defendant has provided it and the defendants' express representation to Plaintiff that they are unable to presently pay the amount of indebtedness in full and the further representation of the defendants that they will well and truly honor and comply with the Order of Payment entered herein which provides terms and conditions for the defendants' payment of the Judgment, together with costs and accrued interest, in regular monthly installment payments, as follows:

- (a) Beginning on or before the 5th day of April, 1990, the defendants shall tender to the United States a check or money order payable to the U.S. Department of Justice, in the amount of \$100.00, and a like sum on or before the 5th day of each following month until the 5th day of April, 1991, when defendants agree to increase the monthly payment amount, until the entire amount of the Judgment, together with the costs and accrued postjudgment interest, is paid in full.
- (b) The defendants shall mail each monthly installment payment to: United States Attorney, Debt Collection Unit, 3600 U.S. Courthouse, 333 West 4th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103.
- (c) Each said payment made by defendants shall be applied in accordance with the U.S. Rules, <u>i.e.</u>, first to the payment of costs, second to the payment of postjudgment interest (as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1951) accrued to the date of the receipt of said payment, and the balance, if any, to the principal.

- Default under the terms of this Agreed Judgment will entitle the United States to execute on this Judgment without notice to the defendants.
- The defendants have the right of prepayment of this debt without penalty.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment against the Defendants, Charles E. Coleman and Ruby C. Coleman, in the principal amount of \$9,778.61, plus accrued interest in the amount of \$286.48 as of April 30, 1989, plus interest thereafter at the rate of 4 percent per annum until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the current legal rate of 7.97 percent per annum until paid, plus the costs of this action.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT

THOMAS R. BRETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

TONY M. GRAHAM United States Attorney

CATHERINE J. DEPEW Assistant United States Attorney

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

RONALD W. SUMMERS and) NANCY S. SUMMERS, his wife,)	
Plaintiffs,	
v. }	Case No. 89-C-790-E
MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD,	
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.,) SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMMUNICATION,)	FILED
USCC REAL ESTATE CORPORATION,) if living or in existence and)	r. 1 ha ha =
if not in existence their)	MAR 2 1990
known and unknown successors)	MAR 2 1990
and assigns,)	Jack C. Silver, Clerk
Defendants.)	II. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41, and upon the parties'
Stipulation For Dismissal With Prejudice of US Sprint
Communications Company Limited Partnership, as successor in interest to Southern Pacific Communications, from the above-captioned cause,

IT IS BY THE COURT ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiffs' case against Defendant, US Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership, as successor in interest to Southern Pacific Communications, be and the same is hereby dismissed with prejudice to any future action with the costs assessed as previously paid by the parties.

so ordered, this / st day of march, 1990.

5/ JAMES O FILISON

JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

FILE

Jack C. Street, Clerk U.S. DISTIBLE TO TREE

1990

KEVIN K. BULLER,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Counterclaimant

v .

KEVIN K. BULLER and HERBERT L. MASLAN,

Counterclaim defendants.

Civil Action No. 89-C-345-B

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT

Record Timb Spent by Judge or Magistrate

This action came before the Court upon the entry of default by the clerk and the motion of the United States for entry of default judgment. It appearing that there is no just reason for delay, and that this judgment may adjudicate all rights and defenses of the counterclaim defendant Herbert L. Maslan without effect upon the rights of the plaintiff and counterdefendant Kevin K. Buller, the Court directs entry of Judgment against Herbert L. Maslan. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Counterclaimant United States of America recover of the Counterclaim defendant HERBERT L. MASLAN

\$175,671.57 in tax, plus \$55,890.49 in interest, for a total of sum of \$231,562.06 plus interest thereon according to law.

Dated this _____ day of ______ 1990.

S/ THOMAS R. BRETT
THOMAS R. BRETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Interes

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA MAR -2 1990

R. LOUISE KNIGHT, et al,		JACK C. SILVER, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiffs,)
v.) 89-C-22-C
KNIGHT-CRAWFORD INDUSTRIES, I et al,	INC.,)))
Defendants.	15 120 150)
KNIGHT-CRAWFORD INDUSTRIES, 1	INC.,)
Third-Party Plaintiff,	14 1 7 1 4 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1)
v.	Tale Solid Tale)
DEAN B. KNIGHT, SR., et al,)
Third-Party Defendants)

ORDER

On February 23, 1990 Plaintiffs and Third Party Defendants were granted leave to file a motion for partial summary judgment upon the representation that opposing counsel had no objection to the granting of leave. Opposing counsel, however, advises the Court that Plaintiffs' counsel had no authority to represent the motion for leave to file out of time as "uncontested". Therefore, because of the misrepresentation of Plaintiffs' counsel, Plaintiffs' and Third Party Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is hereby stricken and the Clerk of Court is directed to return same.

Furthermore, in view of the impending trial date, neither party will be permitted to file any further motions except upon the request of the court.

so ordered this 2 day of much, 1990.

H. DALE COOK, CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

KIM MARTIN, Mother and Next Friend of RYAN MARTIN, a minor; KIM MARTIN and SAM MARTIN, Individually, Plaintiffs,

NAK 22 BEG ACK C. STEVER, CLERK ASSOCIATED TOURT

Plaintill

No. 89 C-952 C

AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY d/b/a LEDERLE LABORATORIES, and CONNAUGHT LABORATORIES, INC.,

Defendants.

OF
STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL
WITHOUT PREJUDICE

UPON STIPULATION of the parties to this action and consideration of all issues it is hereby ORDERED that this case be DISMISSED on this date, WITHOUT PREJUDICE and WITHOUT COSTS.

DATED:	This	 day	7_0 £
		_,]	L9

Mike Barkley, Esquire Barkley, Rodolph, Silva McCarthy & Rodolf 100 West 5th Street, Suite 410 Tulsa, OK 74103

Attorney for Connaught Laboratories

DATED: This _____ day of _____, 19_

John E. Clough, Esquire Haight, Brown & Bonesteel 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, CA 90406

Attorney for Defendant Lederle Laboratories Division American Cyanamid Company Stipulation and Order for Dismissal of Action Without Prejudice Page 2

It is FURTHER ORDERED that this DISMISSAL constitutes a WITHDRAWAL of this Civil Action as contemplated by the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Compensation Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-11.

DATED this 2 day of much, 1990.

By the Court,

JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 2 1990

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, for the use and benefit of DODSON & COCHRAN AIR CONDITIONING CO., INC.,

Jack C. Silver, Clerk U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. 89-C-260-E

J.T. CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.) and COMMERCIAL UNION INSURANCE) COMPANY,

Defendants.

ORDER

NOW on this 24 day of March, 1990, comes on for consideration the above styled matter and the Court, being fully advised in all premises, finds that during the pretrial conference of this matter, Defendant requested, and was granted, the opportunity to move for summary judgment, principally upon the issue of Plaintiff having failed to provide Performance and Payment Bonds under the contract.

This is an action to recover for work performed under the Miller Act, 40 U.S.C. §§ 270a and 270b. Section 270a provides in pertinent part:

§270a Bonds of contractors of public buildings or works

(a) Type of bonds required

Before any contract, exceeding \$25,000 in amount, for the construction, alteration, or repair of any public building or public work of the United States is awarded to any person, such person shall furnish to the United States the following bonds, which shall become binding upon the award of the contract to such person, who is hereinafter designated as "contractor":

- (1) A performance bond with a surety or sureties satisfactory to the officer awarding such contract, and in such amount as he shall deem adequate, for the protection of the United States.
- (2) A payment bond with a surety or sureties satisfactory to such officer for the protection of all persons supplying labor and material in the prosecution of the work provided for in said contract for the use of each such person. . .

above-delineated is statute that the Plaintiff urges inapplicable here, as such section in the contract describing such bonds was hand-altered by Plaintiff and the contract never formally signed, thus rendering it unenforceable and creating an oral Such a position is untenable, as it has agreement in its wake. already been explored and rejected by at least one federal court. In Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. United States, 526 F.2d 1127 (Ct. Cl. 1975), cert. denied 425 U.S.973, 96 S.Ct. 2172, 46 L.Ed.2d 797 (1976), the court found that although the performance and payment bonds were never obtained, upon acceptance by defendant of plaintiff's bid, a valid contract came into existence and that by failing to furnish the bonds within the required period of time, plaintiff breached an existing and enforceable contract. 526 F.2d Similarly, in the instant case, although the portion of at 1130. the contract dealing with the bonds was altered and the contract never formally signed, a valid and enforceable contract came into being upon the acceptance of Plaintiff's bid, which contract was of necessity governed by the application sections of 40 U.S.C. Thus Plaintiff breached the contract by failing to obtain §270a.

the required bonds.

Having determined that a contract existed and was breached by Plaintiff, the only remaining issue is the value of Plaintiff's partial performance under the contract. It is undisputed that the parties agreed to terminate the contract on September 12, 1988 and that Plaintiff would be paid for labor and materials furnished. Although Defendant's Brief in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment references Defendant's exhibits regarding the costs of completion, no such exhibits have been provided to the Court and the record before the Court is insufficient at this time to determine the actual value of Plaintiff's labor and materials. Therefore, the parties are directed to file submissions on March 16, 1990 with adequate documentation to elucidate the value of the labor and materials supplied by Any responses to such submissions must be filed by March 26, 1990, at which time the Court will determine any amounts to be paid under the contract. No other issues need be addressed, as the Court herein has resolved the issues of contractual existence and breach. The trial to the Court previously set for March 5, 1990 is accordingly stricken.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is granted, with the issue of payment for the value of Plaintiff's labor and materials reserved. The parties are directed to file simultaneous submissions on March 16, 1990 with adequate documentation to elucidate the value of the labor and materials supplied by Plaintiff. Any responses to such submissions must be filed by March 26, 1990, at which time the

Court will determine any amounts to be paid under the contract. No other issues need be addressed, as the Court herein has resolved the issues of contractual existence and breach. The trial to the Court previously set for March 5, 1990 is accordingly stricken.

JUDG JAMES O. ELLISON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LEE E. JEZIERSKI; SHERYL A. JEZIERSKI a/k/a SHERYL A. JEZIESKI; LLOYD FOULTS; JIM R. LAMBERT; LUCKY J. SAMS; ANITA D. SAMS; COUNTY TREASURER,) Tulsa County, Oklahoma; BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Tulsa County, Oklahoma; STATE OF OKLAHOMA ex rel. OKLAHOMA TAX COMMISSION; SERVICE COLLECTION ASSOCIATION, INC., a corporation; WESTINGHOUSE CREDIT CORPORATION,) a Delaware corporation; FIRST BANK OF OWASSO, a State Banking) Corporation; VERDIGRIS VALLEY ELECTRIC; DR. H. W. MEEKER, D.C. d/b/a HEALTH CLINIC; and HAROLD CARPENTER,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 89-C-338-B

JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

 Lisa Haws; the Defendant, Service Collection Association, Inc., a corporation, appears not, having previously filed its Disclaimer; the Defendant, Westinghouse Credit Corporation, a Delaware corporation, appears not, having previously filed its Disclaimer; the Defendant, First Bank of Owasso, a State Banking Corporation, appears not, having previously filed its Disclaimer; the Defendant, Verdigris Valley Electric, appears by its attorney Richard G. Harris; the Defendant, Harold Carpenter, appears by his attorney Robert J. Getchell; and the Defendants, Lee E. Jezierski, Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, Jim R. Lambert, Lloyd Foults, Lucky J. Sams, Anita D. Sams, and Dr. H. W. Meeker, D.C. d/b/a Health Clinic, appear not, but make default.

The Court being fully advised and having examined the file herein finds that the Defendant, Jim R. Lambert, was served with Summons and Amended Complaint on June 12, 1989; that the Defendant, State of Oklahoma ex rel. Oklahoma Tax Commission, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Amended Complaint on May 31, 1989; that the Defendant, Service Collection Association, Inc., a corporation, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Amended Complaint on May 31, 1989; that the Defendant, First Bank of Owasso, a State Banking Corporation, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Amended Complaint on June 26, 1989; that the Defendant, Verdigris Valley Electric, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Amended Complaint on June 1, 1989; that the Defendant, Dr. H. W. Meeker, D.C. d/b/a Health Clinic, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Amended Complaint on June 1,

1989; that the Defendant, Harold Carpenter, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Amended Complaint on June 3, 1989; that Defendant, County Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on April 27, 1989; and that Defendant, Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, acknowledged receipt of Summons and Complaint on April 26, 1989.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Lee E. Jezierski, Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, Lloyd Foults, Lucky J. Sams, and Anita D. Sams, were served by publishing notice of this action in the Tulsa Daily Business Journal & Legal Record, a newspaper of general circulation in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, once a week for six (6) consecutive weeks beginning October 25, 1989, and continuing to November 29, 1989, as more fully appears from the verified proof of publication duly filed herein; and that this action is one in which service by publication is authorized by 12 O.S. Section 2004(C)(3)(c). Counsel for the Plaintiff does not know and with due diligence cannot ascertain the whereabouts of the Defendants, Lee E. Jezierski, Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, Lloyd Foults, Lucky J. Sams, and Anita D. Sams, and service cannot be made upon said Defendants within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma or the State of Oklahoma by any other method, or upon said Defendants without the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma or the State of Oklahoma by any other method, as more fully appears from the evidentiary affidavit of a bonded abstracter filed herein with respect to the last known addresses of the Defendants, Lee E. Jezierski, Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a

Sheryl A. Jezieski, Lloyd Foults, Lucky J. Sams, and Anita D. The Court conducted an inquiry into the sufficiency of the service by publication to comply with due process of law and based upon the evidence presented together with affidavit and documentary evidence finds that the Plaintiff, United States of America, acting on behalf of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and its attorneys, Tony M. Graham, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma, through Nancy Nesbitt Blevins, Assistant United States Attorney, fully exercised due diligence in ascertaining the true name and identity of the parties served by publication with respect to their present or last known places of residence and/or mailing addresses. The Court accordingly approves and confirms that the service by publication is sufficient to confer jurisdiction upon this Court to enter the relief sought by the Plaintiff, both as to the subject matter and the Defendants served by publication.

It appears that the Defendants, County Treasurer, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, and Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, filed their Answers on May 17, 1989; that the Defendant, State of Oklahoma ex rel. Oklahoma Tax Commission, filed its Answer and Cross-Petition on June 15, 1989; that the Defendant, Service Collection Association, Inc., a corporation, filed its Disclaimer on June 12, 1989; that the Defendant, Westinghouse Credit Corporation, a Delaware corporation, filed its Disclaimer on June 12, 1989; that the Defendant, First Bank of Owasso, a State Banking Corporation, filed its Disclaimer on June 26, 1989; that the Defendant, Verdigris Valley Electric,

filed is Answer on June 28, 1989; that the Defendant, Harold Carpenter, filed his Answer on June 13, 1989; and that the Defendants, Lee E. Jezierski, Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, Jim R. Lambert, Lloyd Foults, Lucky J. Sams, Anita D. Sams, and Dr. H. W. Meeker, D.C. d/b/a Health Clinic, have failed to answer and their default has therefore been entered by the Clerk of this Court.

The Court further finds that this is a suit based upon a certain mortgage note and for foreclosure of a mortgage securing said mortgage note upon the following described real property located in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, within the Northern Judicial District of Oklahoma:

Lot Six (6), Block Five (5), Twin Cities Subdivision in Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, according to the recorded plat thereof.

The Court further finds that on August 14, 1985, Lee E. Jezierski and Sheryl A. Jezierski executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting on behalf of the Administrator of Veterans Affairs, now known as Secretary of Veterans Affairs, their mortgage note in the amount of \$24,500.00, payable in monthly installments, with interest thereon at the rate of eleven and one-half percent (11.5%) per annum.

The Court further finds that as security for the payment of the above-described note, Lee E. Jezierski and Sheryl A. Jezierski executed and delivered to the United States of America, acting on behalf of the Administrator of Veterans Affairs, now known as Secretary of Veterans Affairs, a mortgage dated August 14, 1985, covering the above-described property.

Said mortgage was recorded on August 15, 1985, in Book 4884, Page 2305, in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Lee E.

Jezierski and Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, made default under the terms of the aforesaid note and mortgage by reason of their failure to make the monthly installments due thereon, which default has continued, and that by reason thereof the Defendants, Lee E. Jezierski and Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, are indebted to the Plaintiff in the principal sum of \$23,938.77, plus interest at the rate of 11.5 percent per annum from July 1, 1988 until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the legal rate until fully paid, and the costs of this action accrued and accruing.

The Court further finds that the Defendant, State of Oklahoma ex rel. Oklahoma Tax Commission, has a lien on the property which is the subject matter of this action in the amount of \$359.96, plus penalties and interest accrued and accruing, by virtue of Motor Vehicle Tax Warrant No. MVX86000086 dated March 31, 1986, and recorded on June 10, 1986, in Book 4947, Page 2490 in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the Defendant, Verdigris Valley Electric, has a lien on the property which is the subject matter of this action in the amount of \$1,500.00 plus costs, by virtue of a Journal Entry of Judgment, Case No. 85-011813, District Court, Special Judges Division, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, dated July 29, 1985, and recorded on October 28, 1987, in Book 5060, Page 1481 in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the Defendant, Harold Carpenter, has a lien on the property which is the subject matter of this action in the amount of \$7,997.29 plus interest thereon at the rate of 10.19 percent per annum from February 1, 1989, until paid, together with puritive damages in the sum of \$25,000.00, and for the principal sum of \$3,793.04, plus interest thereon through the date of judgment in the amount of \$310.05, then interest on said principal sum thereafter at the rate of 10.19 percent per annum, from the date of judgment until paid, and costs in the amount of \$34.00, by virtue of an Affirmation of Judgment and Journal Entry of Judgment, Case No. CJ-89-00596, District Court, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, recorded on March 13, 1989, in Book 5171, Page 1396 in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, County
Treasurer and Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County,
Oklahoma, claim no right, title, or interest in the subject real
property.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Service Collection Association, Inc., a corporation; Westinghouse Credit Corporation, a Delaware corporation; and First Bank of Owasso, a State Banking Corporation, disclaim any right, title, or interest in the subject real property.

The Court further finds that the Defendants, Lee E.

Jezierski, Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, Jim R.

Lambert, Lloyd Foults, Lucky J. Sams, Anita D. Sams, and

Dr. H. W. Meeker, D.C. d/b/s Health Clinic, have no right, title, or interest in the subject real property by virtue of their default herein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Plaintiff have and recover judgment in rem against Defendants, Lee E. Jezierski and Sheryl A. Jezierski a/k/a Sheryl A. Jezieski, in the principal sum of \$23,938.77, plus interest at the rate of 11.5 percent per annum from July 1, 1988 until judgment, plus interest thereafter at the current legal rate of 7.97 percent per annum until paid, plus the costs of this action accrued and accruing, plus any additional sums advanced or to be advanced or expended during this foreclosure action by Plaintiff for taxes, insurance, abstracting, or sums for the preservation of the subject property.

Defendant, State of Oklahoma ex rel. Oklahoma Tax Commission, have and recover judgment in rem in the amount of \$359.96, plus penalties and interest accrued and accruing, by virtue of Motor Vehicle Tax Warrant No. MVX86000086 dated March 31, 1986, and recorded on June 10, 1986, in Book 4947, Page 2490 in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendant, Verdigris Valley Electric, have and recover judgment in rem in the amount of \$1,500.00 plus costs, by virtue of a Journal Entry of Judgment, Case No. 85-011813, District Court, Special Judges Division, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, dated July 29, 1985, and recorded on October 28, 1987, in Book 5060, Page 1481 in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Defendant, Harold Carpenter, have and recover judgment in rem in

the amount of \$7,997.29 plus interest thereon at the rate of 10.19 percent per annum from February 1, 1989, until paid, together with punitive damages in the sum of \$25,000.00, and for the principal sum of \$3,793.01, plus interest thereon through the date of judgment in the amount of \$310.05, then interest on said principal sum thereafter at the rate of 10.19 percent per annum, from the date of judgment until paid, and costs in the amount of \$94.00, by virtue of an Affirmation of Judgment and Journal Entry of Judgment, Case No. CJ-89-00596, District Court, Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, recorded on March 13, 1989, in Book 5171, Page 1396 in the records of Tulsa County, Oklahoma.

Defendants, Lloyd Foults; Jim R. Lambert; Lucky J. Sams; Anita D. Sams; Service Collection Association, Inc., a corporation; Westinghouse Credit Corporation, a Delaware corporation; First Bank of Owasso, a State Banking Corporation; Dr. H. W. Meeker, D.C. d/b/a Health Clinic; and County Treasurer and Board of County Commissioners, Tulsa County, Oklahoma, have no right, title, or interest in the subject real property.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that an Order of Sale shall be issued to the United States Marshal for the Northern District of Oklahoma, commanding him to advertise and sell with appraisement the real property involved herein and apply the proceeds of the sale as follows:

First:

In payment of the costs of this action accrued and accruing incurred by the Plaintiff, including the costs of sale of said real property:

Second:

In payment of the judgment rendered herein in favor of the Plaintiff;

Third:

In payment of Defendant, State of Oklahoma

ex rel. Oklahoma Tax Commission, in the

amount of \$359.96, plus penalties and

interest accrued and accruing;

Fourth:

In payment of **Defendant**, Verdigris Valley Electric, in the amount of \$1,500.00 plus costs;

Fifth:

In payment of Defendant, Harold Carpenter, in the amount of \$7,997.29 plus interest thereon at the rate of 10.19 percent per annum from February 1, 1989, until paid, together with punitive damages in the sum of \$25,000.00, and for the principal sum of \$3,793.04, plus interest thereon through the date of judgment in the amount of \$310.05, then interest on said principal sum thereafter at the rate of 10.19 percent per annum, from the date of judgment until paid, and costs in the amount of \$94.00.

The surplus from said sale, if any, shall be deposited with the Clerk of the Court to await further Order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that from and after the sale of the above-described real property, under and by virtue of this judgment and decree, all of the Defendants and all persons claiming under them since the filing of the Complaint, be and they are forever barred and foreclosed of any right, title, interest or claim in or to the subject real property or any part thereof.

The state of the s

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPROVED:

TONY M. GRAHAM United States Attorney

NANCY NESETT BLEVINS, OBA #5634
Assistant United States Attorney

J/ DENNIS SEMLER, OBA #8076
Assistant District Attorney
Attorney for Defendants,
County Treasurer and
Board of County Commissioners,
Tulsa County, Oklahoma

LISA HAWS, OBA #12695
Attorney for Defendant,
State of Oklahoma ex rel.
Oklahoma Tax Commission

RICHARD G. HARRIS, OBA #3908 Attorney for Defendant, Verdigris Valley Electric

ROBERT J. ÆETCHELL, OBA #11317
Attorney for Defendant,

Harold Carpenter

Judgment of Foreclosure Civil Action No. 89-C-338-B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

MAR 1 1990

J. A.C. STANI CHÁ HIS DISTILUI COU**XI**

vs.

RAY FRANKLIN BARB; BARBARA ANN BARB, Individually, and BARBARA ANN BARB as power of attorney for Ray Franklin Barb; COUNTY TREASURER, Mayes County, Oklahoma; and BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, Mayes County, Oklahoma,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 87-C-1034-B

DEFICIENCY JUDGMENT

The Court upon consideration of said Motion finds that the amount of the Judgment rendered herein on March 31, 1988, in favor of the Plaintiff United States of America, and against the Defendants, Ray Franklin Barb and Barbara Ann Barb, Individually, and Barbara Ann Barb as power of attorney for Ray Franklin Barb, with interest and costs to date of sale is \$52,682.76.

The Court further finds that the appraised value of the real property at the time of sale was \$27,250.00.

The Court further finds that the real property involved herein was sold at Marshal's sale, pursuant to the Judgment of this Court entered March 31, 1988, for the sum of \$24,353.00 which is less than the market value.

The Court further finds that the said Marshal's sale was confirmed pursuant to the Order of this Court on the 16th day of February, 1990.

The Court further finds that the Plaintiff, United
States of America on behalf of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs,
is accordingly entitled to a deficiency judgment against the
Defendants, Ray Franklin Barb and Barbara Ann Barb, Individually,
and Barbara Ann Barb as power of attorney for Ray Franklin Barb,
as follows:

Principal Balance as of 3/31/88	\$39,146.85
Interest	10,997.48
Late Charges to Date of Judgment	329.80
Appraisal by Agency	425.00
Management Broker Fees to Date of Sale	953.00
Abstracting	154.00
Publication Fees of Notice of Sale	150.58
Appraisers' Statements	105.00
Taxes	421.05
TOTAL	\$52,682.76
Less Credit of Appraised Value	- 27,250.00
DEFICIENCY	\$25,432.76

plus interest on said deficiency judgment at the legal rate of

percent per annum from date of deficiency judgment until

paid; said deficiency being the difference between the amount of

Judgment rendered herein and the appraised value of the property

herein.

United States of America on behalf of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs have and recover from Defendants, Ray Franklin Barb and Barbara Ann Barb, Individually, and Barbara Ann Barb as power of attorney for Ray Franklin Barb, a deficiency judgment in the amount of \$25,432.76, plus interest at the legal rate of 7.97 percent per annum on said deficiency judgment from date of judgment until paid.

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

PB/css

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1 199n

SPENCE RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BOB E. SURRETT, COLLEEN V.SURRETT, NEVER M. FAIL, JR., MARILYN K. FAIL, JOHN W. SUBLETT, LORENA P. SUBLETT, RUSSELL N. FAIL, PATSY FAIL, PETER L. BUTZ, SR., JANICE BUTZ, INSILCO CORPORATION, JIM WALTER HOMES, INC., JEANNE SPERLING, MARIE DAVIS, DAVID JOE DAVIS, JAMES L. WEBB, MARY G. WEBB, BURTON KERR, JUDY C. KERR, SECURITY NATIONAL BANK, SAPULPA RANCH, INC., BOYD G. MCKAY, PAULA MCKAY, JOSEPH WEIDER, PHYLLIS A.

Defendants,

WEIDER, and NICHOL ANN DAVIS,

and

DALLAS W. VANVALKENBURG, MARY ANN VANVALKENBURG, CECIL SIMMONS, ROGER D. ROGERS, LINDA K. ROGERS, JAMES BUTLER, JOY BUTLER, TOMMY JOHNSON, ERMA JOHNSON, LARRY MCGUIRE, MARTHA MCGUIRE, BARBARA L. BUCKLEY, FRED MEEKS, TERRY MEEKS, DALE SMITH, JEANA) SMITH, EVELYN ACHESON, ARLEY BAILEY, MARY BAILEY, JESSE WHEELER, MARY ANN WHEELER, THE CHURCH OF THE LIVING GOD,) WAYNE PETERSON, CARRIE PETERSON, JOHN LEE and RHONDA L. LEE,

> Additional Party Defendants.

Jack C. Silver, Clark U.S. DISTRICT COURT Case No. 88-C-566-E

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a)(1) AS TO THE ADDITIONAL PARTY DEFENDANT, EVELYN ACHESON, ONLY

NOW the plaintiff and the additional COMES defendant, Evelyn Acheson, and hereby stipulate pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1) to dismiss the above captioned action without prejudice as to said additional party defendant only. The plaintiff reserves all of its rights as to all other defendants and additional party defendants in said action.

Respectfully submitted,

DOYLE & HARRIS

Steven M. Harris, OBA #3913

Douglas R. Haughey, OBA #13290

P.O. Box 1679

Tulsa, OK 74101

(918) 743-1276

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Evelyn/Acheson, Additional

Party Defendant

Route 1, Box 371-Z-7

Sapulpa, OK 74066